same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party’s attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(j)(3)(ii).

As explained in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders. See also the Import Administration Web site at http://ia.ita.doc.gov.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Operations, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3508 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(j)(3)(ii), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of “Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation” for requests received by the last day of May 2011. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of May 2011, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provision, which measures “gap” period, of the order if such a gap period is applicable to the POR.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: April 22, 2011.
GARY TAVERMAN,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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BILLING CODE 3510–0S–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–849]
Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From the People’s Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: May 2, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Magd Zalok or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4162 or (202) 482–5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background


Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department may rescind an administrative review with respect to a particular exporter or producer if the Department concludes that during the POR there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise by that exporter or producer. As noted above, the Department has found and continues to find no evidence that Hunan Valin had shipments or entries of subject merchandise during the POR and no interested party has commented on the issue. Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department is rescinding the antidumping duty administrative review with respect to Hunan Valin.

Assessment

The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties at the cash
Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers whose entries will be liquidated as a result of this rescission notice, of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s assumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order (“APO”)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: The SSC will meet to discuss the items contained in the following agenda:

1. Overview of SSC role, responsibilities and objectives of meetings.
   a. Magnuson-Stevens Reauthorization Act (MSRA)/Annual Catch Limit (ACL) review of language—Overfishing Limit (OFL) vs. Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC) and legal implications of each.
   b. Role of SSC and how decisions/motions get treated at Council level.
   c. Review of decisions/logic behind 2010 amendment.
   d. Brief Annual Catch Limit Plan Development Group (ACLG), SSC, Southeast Data, Assessment and Review (Sedar) data evaluation meeting summaries.

2. Review of decisions/logic behind 2010 amendment.

a. Consistent rationale or need to develop record that explains why 2011 species should be treated differently than 2010 amendment.

3. Use of annual average catch for determining OFL.
   a. Review of other methods for determining OFL and methods for determining ABC.
   b. Recommendations to the Council for OFL for each species/species group and jurisdiction.
   c. Recommendations to the Council for ABC for each species/species group and jurisdiction.

Other Business

Next Meeting

The SSC will convene on May 24 and 25, 2011, from 9:30 a.m. until 5 p.m. The meeting is open to the public, and will be conducted in English.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. For more information or request for sign language interpretation and/or auxiliary aids, please contact Mr. Miguel A. Rolón, Executive Director, Caribbean Fishery Management Council, 268 Muñoz Rivera Avenue, Suite 1108, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918–1920, telephone: (787) 766–5926.

Dated: April 27, 2011.

Tracey L. Thompson,
Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.