

With relatively minor language revisions, DOJ could send a more constructive message, counseling in favor of some restraint in this area. What is missing from the proposed Final Judgment is simply some indication of the factors that would be relevant to consider in assessing the “reasonable necessity” of a non-solicitation restraint—factors such as:

- the nature and scope of the recruiting engagement;
- the extent to which the search consultant is given access to proprietary details about the client’s business;
- the breadth of the proposed non-solicitation restraint in relation to the scope of the recruiting engagement and any proprietary information conveyed by the client in the course of facilitating the engagement; and
- the duration and geographic scope of the proposed non-solicitation restraint in relation to the scope of the recruiting engagement.

The AESC would therefore propose that DOJ consider adding this language as a new Section V.B. to the proposed Final Judgment, with the current Section V.B. being re-designated as Section V.C., etc.:

B. All no direct solicitation provisions that relate to agreements with recruiting agencies described in Section 5.A.3 shall be narrowly tailored such that the scope of the no direct solicitation provision bears a reasonable relationship to the scope of the recruiting engagement, including with respect to geographic reach, duration, and the number of personnel and business units affected.

Inclusion of additional language as simple and straightforward as this would establish a useful reference for executive search consultants and their clients when entering into non-solicitation terms. This would help to ensure against overly broad contractual restrictions that have the effect of placing significant numbers of individuals off limits to recruiters, thus expanding the pool of accessible talent from which to draw when conducting executive searches. The chief beneficiary of such a trend would be individual corporate executives and employees whose range of opportunities would be enhanced. This outcome is entirely in keeping with the policies that motivated the DOJ’s action in the Lucasfilm matter, and we hope that you will give serious consideration to revising the proposed Final Judgment accordingly.

Sincerely,

Peter M. Felix,  
President, Association of Executive Search Consultants.

[FR Doc. 2011–10121 Filed 4–27–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employee Benefits Security Administration

#### Proposed Collection of Information; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Employee Benefits Security Administration, Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (the Department), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA 95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department’s information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. The Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. A copy of the ICR may be obtained by contacting the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. ICRs also are available at [reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain) (<http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office shown in the Addresses section on or before June 27, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** G. Christopher Cosby, Department of Labor, Employee Benefits Security Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, (202) 693–8410, FAX (202) 693–4745 (these are not toll-free numbers); E-mail: [ebbsa.opr@dol.gov](mailto:ebbsa.opr@dol.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The Department of Labor’s Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) maintains a program designed to provide education and technical assistance to participants and beneficiaries as well as to employers, plan sponsors, and service providers related to their health and retirement benefit plans. EBSA assists participants in understanding their rights, responsibilities, and benefits under employee benefit law and intervenes informally on their behalf with the plan sponsor in order to assist them in obtaining the health and retirement benefits to which they may have been inappropriately denied, which can avert the necessity for a formal investigation or a civil action. EBSA maintains a toll-free telephone number through which inquirers can reach Benefits Advisors in ten Regional Offices.

EBSA also has made a request for assistance form available on its Web site for those wishing to contact EBSA online. Contact with EBSA is entirely voluntary. To date, the Web form has

included only basic identifying information which is necessary for EBSA to contact the inquirer. The proposed collection of information would require the same identifying information—first name, last name, street address, city, zip code, and telephone number. In order to improve customer service and enhance its capacity to handle greater inquiry volume, EBSA is proposing to include additional information on the form such as the plan type, broad categories of problem type, contact information for responsible parties, and a mechanism for the inquirer to attach relevant documents.

This information will be used by EBSA to make informed and efficient decisions when contacting inquirers who have requested EBSA’s informal assistance with understanding their rights and obtaining benefits they may have been denied inappropriately. EBSA also will use the information to evaluate its service to inquirers, support the development of a broader understanding of the nature of current issues in employee benefit plans, and to respond to requests for information regarding employee benefit plans from members of Congress and governmental oversight entities in accordance with ERISA section 513.

##### II. Focus of Comments

The Department is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the collections of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., by permitting electronic submissions of responses.

A summary of the ICR and the current burden estimates follows:

*Agency:* Employee Benefits Security Administration, Department of Labor.

*Title:* Web Intake Form.

*Type of Review:* New collection of information.

*OMB Number:* 1210–NEW.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households, businesses or other for-profits and not-for-profits.

*Respondents:* 30,000.

*Responses:* 30,000.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 15,000.

*Estimated Total Burden Cost (Operating and Maintenance):* \$3,100.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the ICRs for OMB approval of the information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 22, 2011.

**Joseph S. Piacentini,**

*Director, Office of Policy and Research,  
Employee Benefits Security Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-10265 Filed 4-27-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-29-P**

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

### National Endowment for the Arts

#### Arts Advisory Panel

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), as amended, notice is hereby given that one meeting of the Arts Advisory Panel to the National Council on the Arts will be held at the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506 as follows (ending time is approximate):

Research (application review): May 11, 2011, by teleconference. This meeting, from 2 p.m. to 3 p.m. EDT, will be closed.

The closed portions of meetings are for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendations on financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including information given in confidence to the agency. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman of November 10, 2009, these sessions will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

Further information with reference to these meetings can be obtained from Ms. Kathy Plowitz-Worden, Office of Guidelines & Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, or call 202/682-5691.

Dated: April 22, 2011.

**Kathy Plowitz-Worden,**

*Panel Coordinator, Panel Operations,  
National Endowment for the Arts.*

[FR Doc. 2011-10216 Filed 4-27-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7537-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2011-0096]

### Draft Regulatory Guide: Issuance, Availability

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance and availability of Draft Regulatory Guide, DG-1197, "Inservice Inspection of Prestressed Concrete Containment Structures with Grouted Tendons."

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Herman Graves, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone: (301) 251-3307 or e-mail to [Madhumita.Sircar@nrc.gov](mailto:Madhumita.Sircar@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing for public comment a draft guide in the agency's "Regulatory Guide" series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the NRC's regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

The draft regulatory guide (DG), titled, "Inservice Inspection of Prestressed Concrete Containment Structures with Grouted Tendons," is temporarily identified by its task number, DG-1197, which should be mentioned in all related correspondence.

DG-1197, proposed Revision 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.90, describes an approach that the staff of the NRC considers acceptable for use in developing an appropriate surveillance program for prestressed concrete containment structures with grouted tendons. The purpose of this guide is to provide recommendations for inservice inspection (ISI) of containments and quality standards that should be maintained when portland cement grout is used for the corrosion protection of prestressing steel.

The recommendations described in this draft regulatory guide are an approach acceptable to the NRC staff for satisfying the requirements of General Design Criterion (GDC) 53, "Provisions for Containment Testing and Inspection," as specified in Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," to Title 10, Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and

Utilization Facilities," of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR part 50). Among the specific requirements of GDC 53 are that the containment be designed to permit (1) appropriate periodic inspection of all important areas and (2) an appropriate surveillance program.

##### II. Further Information

The NRC staff is soliciting comments on DG-1197. Comments may be accompanied by relevant information or supporting data, and should mention DG-1197 in the subject line.

**ADDRESSES:** Please include Docket ID NRC-2011-0096 in the subject line of your comments. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC Web site and on the Federal rulemaking Web site, <http://www.regulations.gov>. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

The NRC requests that any party soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed. You may submit comments by any one of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web Site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for documents filed under Docket ID NRC-2011-0096. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher, telephone: 301-492-3668; e-mail: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov).

- *Mail comments to:* Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWB-05-B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

- *Fax comments to:* RADB at 301-492-3446.

You can access publicly available documents related to this notice using the following methods:

- *NRC's Public Document Room (PDR):* The public may examine and have copied, for a fee, publicly available documents at the NRC's PDR, O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are