Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) For the companies covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the rates listed above in the section “Final Results of Review;” (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the most recent final results in which that producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the producer of the merchandise in the most recent final results of review or in the most recent final results in which that producer participated; and, (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be 4.80 percent, the all-others rate established in the less than fair value investigation. See Investigation Final Determination. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 18, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

Issues in the Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Sample Transactions
Comment 2: Values Reported for Average Cost of Manufacturing
Comment 3: Transactions Outside the Ordinary Course of Trade
Comment 4: Matching Criteria
Comment 5: Zeroing
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Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From Brazil: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 7, 2010, the Department of Commerce (Department) issued the preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products (HRS) from Brazil for the period January 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008. Based on the results of our verification and the analysis of the comments received, the Department has made certain revisions to the subsidy rates for the respondent, Usinas Siderurgicas de Minas Gerais (USIMINAS) and its subsidiary, Companhia Siderurgica Paulista (COSIPA). The final subsidy rate for the reviewed company is listed below in the section entitled “Final Results of Review.”

DATES: Effective Date: April 25, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Myrna Lobo, Justin Neuman or Milton Koch, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2371, (202) 482–0486 and (202) 482–2584, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Since the issuance of Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 75 FR 64700 (October 20, 2010) (Preliminary Results), the following events have occurred. The Department issued its third supplemental questionnaire to the Government of Brazil (GOB) and to USIMINAS/COSIPA on November 9, 2010. On the same day, the Department informed parties of the postponement of the briefing schedule. The GOB and USIMINAS/COSIPA filed their responses on November 23, 2010, and December 3, 2010, respectively. On December 13, 2010, the United States Steel Corporation (USS or petitioner) submitted factual information in rebuttal to information contained in USIMINAS/COSIPA’s December 3, 2010 questionnaire response. On January 6, 2011, the Department issued a fourth supplemental questionnaire to USIMINAS/COSIPA and the company submitted its response on January 19, 2011. On January 20, 2011, the Department placed on the record information from the investigation of cold-rolled steel and the prior administrative review of the instant order on HRS. See Memorandum to The File from Justin M. Neuman, Analyst, Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Brazil: Additional Programs Memorandum and Calculation Memorandum, Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Brazil, dated January 20, 2011.

The File from Myrna Lobo, International Trade Compliance Analyst, through Dana S. Mermelstein, Program Manager, Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Brazil: Verification of the Questionnaire Responses Submitted by Usinas Siderurgicas de Minas Gerais (USIMINAS) and Companhia Siderurgica Paulista (COSIPA), dated March 7, 2011; see also Memorandum to The File from Myrna Lobo, International Trade Compliance Analyst, through Dana S. Mermelstein, Program Manager, Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Brazil: Verification of the Questionnaire Responses Submitted by the Government of Brazil, dated March 7, 2011.

On March 3, 2011, the Department issued a post-preliminary analysis memorandum on the countervailability of the FINEM loan program. See Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Brazil; Post-Preliminary Analysis Regarding the Provision of FINEM Loans, dated March 3, 2011 (Post-Preliminary Decision). On March 9, 2011, the Department notified parties of the briefing schedule, inviting comments on the Preliminary Results and the Post-Preliminary Decision. The Department received case briefs and rebuttal briefs from USS, Nucor Corporation (Nucor), a domestic interested party, and USIMINAS/COSIPA.1 Timely requests for a hearing were submitted by USS and Nucor; however, both parties subsequently withdrew their hearing requests.

Period of Review

The period for which we are measuring subsidies, i.e., the period of review (POR), is January 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008.

Scope of the Order

For purposes of this review, the products covered are certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products of a rectangular shape, of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal and whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) regardless of thickness, and in straight lengths, of a thickness less than 4.75 mm and of a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness. Universal mill plate (i.e., flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm, but not exceeding 1250 mm and of a thickness of not less than 4 mm, not in coils and without patterns in relief) of a thickness not less than 4.0 mm is not included within the scope of the order.

Specifically included in the scope are vacuum degassed, fully stabilized (commonly referred to as interstitial-free (“IF”)) steels, high strength low alloy (“HSLA”) steels, and the substrate for motor lamination steels. IF steels are recognized as low carbon steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as chromium, copper, niobium, molybdenum, and tungsten, or 0.012 percent of boron, or 0.10 percent of nickel, or 0.30 percent of tungsten, or 0.012 percent of boron, or 0.10 percent of molybdenum, or 0.10 percent of niobium, or 0.41 percent of titanium, or 0.15 percent of vanadium, or 0.15 percent of zirconium. All products that meet the physical and chemical description provided above are within the scope of the order unless otherwise excluded. The following products, by way of example, are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of the order:

- Alloy hot-rolled steel products in which at least one of the chemical elements exceeds those listed above (including e.g., ASTM specifications A543, A387, A514, A517, and A906).
- SAE/AS/ISO grades of series 2300 and higher.
- Ball bearing steels, as defined in the HTSUS.
- Tool steels, as defined in the HTSUS.
- Silico-manganese (as defined in the HTSUS) or silicon electrical steel with a silicon level exceeding 1.50 percent.
- ASTM specifications A710 and A736.
- USS Abrasion-resistant steels (USS AR 400, USS AR 500).
- Hot-rolled steel coil which meets the following chemical, physical and mechanical specifications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C (max)</th>
<th>Mn (max)</th>
<th>P (max)</th>
<th>S (max)</th>
<th>Si (max)</th>
<th>Cr (max)</th>
<th>Cu (max)</th>
<th>Ni (max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.10–0.14</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.30–0.50</td>
<td>0.30–0.50</td>
<td>0.20–0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Width = 44.80 inches maximum; Thickness = 0.063–0.198 inches; Yield Strength = 50,000 ksi minimum; Tensile Strength = 70,000–88,000 psi.

1The Department rejected USIMINAS/COSIPA’s case brief received on March 16, 2011, because it contained untimely new factual information. See Letter to USIMINAS/COSIPA from the Department, Re: Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Brazil (March 17, 2011). Subsequently, the Department allowed USIMINAS/COSIPA to resubmit its case brief without the untimely factual information, which it received on March 18, 2011.

- Hot-rolled steel coil which meets the following chemical, physical and mechanical specifications:
The merchandise subject to the order is dispositive. Written description of the merchandise covered by the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Brazil, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum), and which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum also contains a complete analysis of the programs covered by this review, the methodologies used to calculate the subsidy rates, and discusses any changes since the Preliminary Results and Post-Preliminary Decision to the subsidy rates. A list of the comments raised in the briefs and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is appended to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Room 7046 of the main Department building, and can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn.

---

**Hot-rolled steel coil which meets the following chemical, physical and mechanical specifications:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C (max)</th>
<th>Mn (max)</th>
<th>P (max)</th>
<th>S (max)</th>
<th>Si (max)</th>
<th>Cr (max)</th>
<th>Cu (max)</th>
<th>Ni (max)</th>
<th>V (wt.) (max)</th>
<th>Nb (min)</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>0.01–0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Width = 39.37 inches; Thickness = 0.181 inches maximum; Yield Strength = 70,000 psi minimum for thicknesses ≤ 0.148 inches; Tensile Strength = 80,000 psi minimum for thicknesses > 0.148 inches. Tensile Strength = 80,000 psi minimum.
Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the results of verification, our consideration of information submitted by USIMINAS/COSIPA and the GOB in supplemental questionnaire responses received subsequent to the issuance of the Preliminary Results, and our analysis of comments received, we have made changes to the calculations of the Preliminary Results and Post-Preliminary Decision. These changes are discussed in detail in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, and the Memorandum to the File from The Team, Calculations for the Final Results: Usinas Siderurgicas de Minas Gerais S.A. and Companhia Siderurgica Paulista (USIMINAS/COSIPA), dated concurrently with this notice, a public version of which is on file in the CRU.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we calculated a net subsidy for USIMINAS/COSIPA, the only producer/exporter subject to this review, of 0.46 percent ad valorem. This rate is de minimis. See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1).

Assessment and Cash Deposit Instructions

The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. The Department will instruct CBP to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise by USIMINAS/COSIPA entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008, without regard to countervailing duties. We will also instruct CBP to collect cash deposits for USIMINAS/COSIPA at the rate of 0.00 percent on all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results of review. The cash deposit rates for all companies not covered by this review are not changed by the results of this review.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act. Dated: April 18, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I

List of Issues Addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Whether it is Appropriate to Apply Adverse Facts Available to Find the FINEM Loans Countervailable

Comment 2: Selection of an Appropriate Benchmark for BNDES Loans

Comment 3: Calculation of FOB Sales Value
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We invited interested parties to comment on our Preliminary Results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made certain changes to our margin calculations for the individually examined respondent, Hebei Starbright Tire Co., Ltd. (“Starbright”). The final dumping margins for this review are listed in the “Final Results Margins” section below.

DATES: Effective Date: April 25, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Raquel Silva or Andrew Medley, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6475 and (202) 482–4987, respectively.

Background


On February 3, 2011, the Department received Titan’s withdrawal of its request for a hearing. On February 7, 2011, the Department received Titan’s case brief and published an extension for the issuance of its final results of the review. See Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from the People’s Republic of China; Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the 2008–2009 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order, 76 FR 6603 (February 7, 2011). On February 8, 2011, the Department received both Bridgestone’s and Starbright’s case briefs. Bridgestone’s withdrawal of a request for a hearing was submitted on February 9, 2011. On February 14, 2011, all parties submitted their rebuttal case briefs.

On February 22, 2011, the Department sent Starbright a letter regarding alleged new factual information submitted in its case brief. Starbright submitted its response to the Department’s letter on