for reporting production, planted and harvested acreage, and other key information needed to participate in USDA programs. These process changes may allow for program data that is common across agencies to be collected once and utilized or redistributed to agency programs in which the producer chooses to participate. It also may provide a single Web site for producers to report commodity information if they so choose, or access their previously reported information.

C. Natural Resources Conservation Service

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) is considering a review of its regulations consistent with its other ongoing streamlining efforts to improve the processes that deliver technical and financial assistance to program users. To inform this process, NRCS welcomes comments on approaches that will allow NRCS to enhance its delivery of technical assistance and streamline the application process and participation in financial assistance programs. NRCS is specifically interested in comments on such approaches as allowing customers to apply for programs or services online 24/7, reducing the number of office visits required through the use of mobile technologies, accelerating payments to clients after a practice is applied, and simplifying conservation plan documents.

D. Food Safety and Inspection Service

The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is considering a review of its regulations to identify potential improvements in information collection procedures to improve the quality of data available to inform and support regulatory decision making. For example, FSIS is considering collecting additional information about establishment verification testing, such as testing for pathogens. FSIS is also considering potential means to decrease the recordkeeping burden on industry, by possibly reducing label submission requirements. In addition, FSIS is also considering how its new Public Health Information System could potentially be used to share data and reduce data reporting requirements. To aid this effort, FSIS invites the public to comment on how best to improve data quality and minimize the recordkeeping burden on industry.

III. Questions for Commenters

In providing comments, the public is encouraged to respond to the questions as they pertain to the four areas identified above:

(1) Are there regulations or reporting requirements that have become outdated and, if so, how can they be modernized to accomplish their regulatory objectives better?

(2) Do agencies currently collect information that they do not need or use effectively to achieve regulatory objectives?

(3) Is there information that agencies should begin collecting to achieve regulatory objectives?

(4) Are there regulations, reporting requirements, or regulatory submission or application processes that are unnecessarily complicated, or that could be streamlined to achieve regulatory objectives in ways that are more efficient?

(5) Are there regulations, submission and application processes, or reporting requirements that have been overtaken by technological developments? Can new technologies be used to modify, streamline, or do away with existing regulatory or reporting requirements?

This is a non-exhaustive list that is meant to assist in the formulation of comments and is not intended to limit the issues that commenters may choose to address. Although we are contemplating on focusing our initial review on the four areas identified above, we welcome comments from the public on any of USDA’s regulations and ways to improve them to help USDA agencies advance the mission of the Department consistent with the Executive Order. We encourage the public to comment on those rules that have been in effect for a sufficient amount of time to warrant meaningful evaluation. USDA notes that this RFI is issued solely for information and program-planning purposes. While responses to this RFI do not bind USDA to any further actions related to the response, all submissions will be made publicly available on http://www.regulations.gov.

Signed in Washington, DC, on April 12, 2011.

Thomas J. Vilsack,
Secretary of Agriculture.
Replacing the pilots’ handset on the P8 panel, replacing 5 attendant handsets, and replacing the AAU on the E2–5 shelf in the main equipment center, as applicable.


Replacing the left and right EICAS computers in the E8 rack, making wire changes in the E8 shelf, changing the left and right EICAS computer connector keying on the E8 shelf, loading OPC software into both left and right EICAS computers.


Model 767–300 series airplanes.


Installing new EICAS OPS and EICAS OPC software, as applicable.


**FAA’s Determination**

We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of these same type designs.

**Proposed AD Requirements**

This proposed AD would continue to require the AFM limitations specified in AD 2008–09–07. This proposed AD would also require the actions specified in the service information described previously, which would terminate the AFM limitations. This proposed AD also includes a provision that provides relief from the proposed requirements for airplanes with EICAS OPS versions other than Version 6.

**Change to Existing AD**

This proposed AD would retain the requirements of AD 2008–09–07. Since that AD was issued, the AD format has been revised, and certain paragraphs have been rearranged. As a result, the corresponding paragraph identifiers have changed in this proposed AD, as listed in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVISED PARAGRAPH IDENTIFIERS</th>
<th>Requirement in AD 2008–09–07</th>
<th>Corresponding requirement in this proposed AD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>paragraph (f)</td>
<td>paragraph (g)</td>
<td>paragraph (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paragraph (g)</td>
<td>paragraph (h)</td>
<td>paragraph (h)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 1,078 airplanes of U.S. registry. We estimate the costs to comply with the following proposed requirements:

**ESTIMATED COSTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFM revision (retained from AD 2008–09–07) EICAS OPS installation (new proposed action)</td>
<td>1 work-hour × $85 per hour = $85 ..........</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$85</td>
<td>$91,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 work-hour × $85 per hour = $85 ..........</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>91,630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have no definitive data for the number of U.S.-registered airplanes subject to the proposed concurrent requirements in this proposed AD, but we provide the following estimated per-airplane costs to comply with the concurrent requirements.

**ESTIMATED COSTS FOR CONCURRENT ACTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Service Bulletin</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wiring change ........................</td>
<td>767–23–0159 ..........</td>
<td>5 work-hours × $85 per hour = $425.</td>
<td>$501 ..........</td>
<td>926</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have no definitive data for the number of U.S.-registered airplanes subject to the proposed concurrent requirements in this proposed AD, but we provide the following estimated per-airplane costs to comply with the concurrent requirements.
Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

(1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866, (2) Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979), (3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and (4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends §39.13 by removing airworthiness directive (AD) 2008–09–07, Amendment 39–15488 (73 FR 21811, April 23, 2008), and adding the following new AD:


Comments Due Date

(a) The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by May 5, 2011.

Affected ADs

(b) This AD supersedes AD 2008–09–07, Amendment 39–15488.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to all The Boeing Company 757–200, 757–200PF, 757–200CB, 757–300, 767–200, 767–300, and 767–300F series airplanes; certified in any category.

Subject

(d) Joint Aircraft System Component (JASC)/Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 31, Instruments.

Unsafe Condition

(e) This AD was prompted by an error in the operating program software (OPS) of the engine indication and crew alerting system (EICAS). The error prevents the display of an advisory message to the flight crew of a left engine fuel filter contamination and imminent bypass condition, which may indicate an imminent multiple engine thrust loss or engine malfunction event due to fuel contamination. We are issuing this AD to prevent malfunction and thrust loss on both engines, which could result in a forced off-airport landing.

Compliance

(f) Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

Restatement of Requirements of AD 2008–09–07, With No Changes

Revision of Airplane Flight Manual (AFM)

(g) Except as provided by paragraphs (h) and (i) of this AD: Within 30 days after May 8, 2008 (the effective date of AD 2008–09–07), revise the Limitations section of the applicable AFM to include the following. This may be done by inserting a copy of this AD into the AFM.

If the STATUS cue shows while on the ground after engine start or during flight, select the status page on the secondary EICAS display, and verify the “L ENG FUEL FILT” message is not shown. If the “L ENG FUEL FILT” message is not shown on the status page, the secondary engine parameters may be reselected on the secondary EICAS display, or the display may be blanked. If the “L ENG FUEL FILT” message is shown on the status display, accomplish the ENGINE FUEL FILTER non-normal checklist as published in the Boeing Quick Reference Handbook. If on the ground, check the Dispatch Deviations Guide (DDG), or operator equivalent.

In the event that the status level “L ENG FUEL FILT” and advisory level “L ENG FUEL FILT” messages are simultaneously shown, an impending fuel filter bypass condition exists on both engines. With both messages shown, airplane fuel system contamination may be present and may result in erratic engine operation or flameout.

Further flight crew action in response to either or both the “L ENG FUEL FILT” status-level message and the “L ENG FUEL FILT” advisory level messages being shown are not established by Boeing or the FAA. Any further flight crew action should be determined by individual operator policy.

Boeing policy on flight crew use of status-level messages has not changed. After engine start, any condition having an adverse effect on safe continuation of the flight appears as an EICAS alert message (Warning, Caution, or Advisory). If other status-level messages are shown as a consequence of complying with these temporary operating instructions, the flight crew should respond in accordance with the appropriate operator policy. Dispatch of the airplane with an inoperative EICAS display unit is prohibited.

Exception to AFM Limitations Requirement

(h) If all affected airplanes in an operator's fleet have been verified by the operator to have EICAS computer part number S242N701–1001 and only EICAS OPS versions other than Version 6 software that are FAA approved for that airplane, then accomplishment of the actions specified in paragraph (g) of this AD is not required.

---

### ESTIMATED COSTS FOR CONCURRENT ACTIONS—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Service Bulletin</th>
<th>Service Bulletin</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EICAS OPS/OPC installation</td>
<td>767–31–0114</td>
<td>1 work-hour × $85 per hour = $85.</td>
<td>Negligible .........</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New Requirements of This AD

EICAS OPS Installation

(i) Except as provided by paragraph (k) of this AD: Within 90 days after the effective date of this AD, install EICAS OPS Version 7 in the left and right EICAS computers, in accordance with the applicable service information specified in paragraph (i)(1) or (i)(2) of this AD. Accomplishment of the applicable requirements of paragraphs (i) and (j) of this AD terminates the requirements of paragraph (g) of this AD, provided that those actions have been accomplished on all airplanes operated within an operator’s fleet.


Concurrent Requirements

(j) For airplanes subject to the requirements of paragraph (i) of this AD: Before or concurrently with accomplishment of the requirements of paragraph (i) of this AD, do the applicable actions specified in paragraphs (j)(1) through (j)(12) of this AD.


(3) For Model 767–200 and –300 airplanes, as identified in Boeing Service Bulletin 767–23–0159, Revision 2, dated January 11, 2007: Replace the pilots’ handset on the P8 panel, load OPC software into both left and right EICAS computers, change the left and right EICAS computer connector keying on the E8 shelf, change the left and right EICAS computer connector keying on the E8 shelf, load OPC software into both left and right EICAS computers; in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0101, Revision 2, dated July 29, 1999. These actions are also required by AD 2004–10–05.

(4) For Model 767–200 and –300 series airplanes, as identified in Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0099, Revision 3, dated February 8, 2001: Replace the left and right EICAS computers in the E8 rack, make wire changes in the E8 shelf, load OPC software into both left and right EICAS computers; in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0105, dated December 5, 2002. These actions are also required by AD 2004–10–05.


(7) For Model 767–200, 767–300, and 767–300F series airplanes, as identified in Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0091, Revision 4, dated July 7, 2005: Replace the left and right EICAS computers in the E8 rack, make wire changes in the E8 shelf, change the left and right EICAS computer connector keying on the E8 shelf, load OPC software into both left and right EICAS computers; in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0091, Revision 4, dated July 7, 2005. These actions are also required by AD 2004–10–05.

(8) For Model 767–200 and 767–300 series airplanes, as identified in Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0098, Revision 2, dated October 21, 1999: Replace the left and right EICAS computers in the E8 rack, make wire changes in the E8 shelf, change the left and right EICAS computer connector keying on the E8 shelf, load OPC software into both left and right EICAS computers; in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0098, Revision 2, dated October 21, 1999. These actions are also required by AD 2004–10–05.

(9) For Model 767–300 series airplanes, as identified in Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0100, Revision 3, dated February 8, 2001: Replace the left and right EICAS computers in the E8 rack, make wire changes in the E8 shelf, change the left and right EICAS computer connector keying on the E8 shelf, load OPC software into both left and right EICAS computers; in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0099, Revision 3, dated February 8, 2001. These actions are also required by AD 2004–10–05.

(10) For Model 767–200 and 767–300 series airplanes, as identified in Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0100, Revision 2, dated July 29, 1999: Replace the left and right EICAS computers in the E8 rack, make wire changes in the E8 shelf, change the left and right EICAS computer connector keying on the E8 shelf, load OPC software into both left and right EICAS computers; in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–31–0100, Revision 2, dated July 29, 1999. These actions are also required by AD 2004–10–05.

TABLE 1—CREDIT SERVICE BULLETINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boeing Service Bulletin</th>
<th>Revision</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Airplanes excluded from compliance approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>767–23–0159</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>December 5, 2002</td>
<td>No exceptions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>767–31–0100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>June 17, 1999</td>
<td>Acceptable only for airplanes VL871 through VL873.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exception to OPS Installation Requirement

(k) For any airplane verified by the operator to have EICAS computer part number S242N701–1001 and only EICAS OPS versions other than Version 6 software that are FAA approved for that airplane, the actions specified in paragraphs (i) and (j) of this AD are not required.

Parts Installation

(l) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install EICAS OPS Version 6 software on any airplane.

Credit for Actions Accomplished in Accordance With Previous Service Information

(m) Accomplishment before the effective date of this AD of the actions specified in a service bulletin identified in table 1 of this AD is acceptable for compliance with the applicable requirements of paragraph (j) of this AD, except as noted.
Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(n)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in the Related Information section of this AD. Information may be e-mailed to 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certificate holding district office.

Related Information

(o) For more information about this AD, contact Christopher Shams, Aerospace Engineer, Propulsion Branch, ANM–140S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone 425–917–6733; fax 425–917–6390; e-mail christopher.shams@faa.gov.

(p) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H–65,Seattle, Washington 98124–2207; telephone 206–544–5000, extension 1; fax 206–766–5680; e-mail me.boecom;@boeing.com; Internet https://www.myboeingfleet.com. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 13, 2011.

Ali Bahrami,
Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–9524 Filed 4–19–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Parts 1 and 31

[REG–146097–09]

RIN 1545–BJ01

Guidance on Reporting Interest Paid to Nonresident Aliens; Hearing

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of public hearing on proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document contains a rescheduled notice of public hearing on a notice of proposed rulemaking (REG–146097–09) that was published in the Federal Register on Friday, January 7, 2011 (76 FR 1105) providing guidance on the reporting requirements for interest on deposits maintained at U.S. offices of certain financial institutions and paid to nonresident alien individuals.

DATES: The public hearing is being rescheduled from Monday, April 25, 2011 to Wednesday, May 18, 2011, at 10 a.m.

ADDRESSES: The public hearing is being held in the auditorium, Internal Revenue Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. Send submissions to: CC: PA: LPD: PR (REG–146097–09), room 5203, Internal Revenue Service, P.O. Box 7604, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, DC 20044. Submissions may be hand-delivered Monday through Friday between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. to CC: PA: LPD: PR (REG–146097–09), Courier’s Desk, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. Alternatively, taxpayers may submit electronic outlines of oral comments via the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Concerning the proposed regulations, Kathryn Holman at (202) 622–3840; concerning submissions of comments, the hearing, and/or to be placed on the building access list to attend the hearing, Richard A. Hurst at Richard.A.Hurst@irs counsel.treas.gov or (202) 622–7180 (not toll-free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The subject of the public hearing is the notice of proposed rulemaking (REG–146097–09) that was published in the Federal Register on Friday, January 7, 2011 (76 FR 1105).

Persons, who wish to present oral comments at the hearing that submitted written comments, must submit an outline of the topics to be discussed and the amount of time to be devoted to each topic (signed original and eight (8) copies) by Friday, April 8, 2011.

A period of 10 minutes is allotted to each person for presenting oral comments. After the deadline for receiving outlines has passed, the IRS will prepare an agenda containing the schedule of speakers. Copies of the agenda will be made available, free of charge, at the hearing or in the Freedom of Information Reading Room (FOIA RR) (Room 1621) which is located at the 11th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., entrance, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Because of access restrictions, the IRS will not admit visitors beyond the immediate entrance area more than 30 minutes before the hearing starts. For information about having your name placed on the building access list to attend the hearing, see the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.

LaNita Van Dyke,
Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration).

[FR Doc. 2011–9609 Filed 4–19–11; 8:45 am]
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Safety Zone; Michigan Bankers Association Fireworks, Lake Huron, Mackinac Island, MI

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

TABLE 1—CREDIT SERVICE BULLETINS—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boeing Service Bulletin</th>
<th>Revision</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Airplanes excluded from compliance approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>