Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date: 01/09/2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that as a result of the President’s major disaster declaration on 04/08/2011, Private Non-Profit organizations that provide essential services of governmental nature may file disaster loan applications at the address listed above or other locally announced locations. The following areas have been determined to be adversely affected by the disaster:

Primary Counties: Hawaii Honolulu Maui

The Interest Rates are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For Physical Damage:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit Organizations with Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>3.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit Organizations without Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>3.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Economic Injury:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit Organizations without Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>3.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 12526E and for economic injury is 12527E. (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers 59002 and 59008)

Joseph P. Loddo, Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION [Disaster Declaration #12501 and #12502]

Missouri Disaster Number MO–00047

AGENCY: U.S. Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Amendment 1.

SUMMARY: This is an amendment of the Presidential declaration of a major disaster for Public Assistance Only for the State of Missouri (FEMA–1961–DR), dated 03/23/2011.

Incident: Severe winter storm and snowstorm.

Incident Period: 01/31/2011 through 02/05/2011.

Effective Date: 04/11/2011.

Physical Loan Application Deadline Date: 05/23/2011.

Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date: 12/23/2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice of the President’s major disaster declaration for Private Non-Profit organizations in the State of Missouri, dated 03/23/2011, is hereby amended to include the following areas as adversely affected by the disaster:

Primary Counties: Camden.

All other information in the original declaration remains unchanged.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers 59002 and 59008)

Joseph P. Loddo, Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION [License No. 09/79–0454]

Emergence Capital Partners SBIC, L.P.: Notice Seeking Exemption Under Section 312 of the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that Emergence Capital Partners SBIC, L.P., 160 Bovet Road, Suite 300, San Mateo, CA 94402, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (“the Act”), in connection with the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under Section 312 of the Act and Section 107.730. Financings which constitute Conflicts of Interest, of the Small Business Administration (“SBA”) Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.730). Emergence Capital Partners SBIC, L.P. proposes to provide equity financing to InsideView Technologies, Inc., 444 DeHaro Street, Suite 210, San Francisco, CA 94107 (“InsideView”). The financing is contemplated for general operating purposes.

The financing is brought within the purview of § 107.730(a)(1) of the Regulations because Emergence Capital Partners, L.P. and Emergence Capital Associates, L.P., Associates of Emergence Capital Partners SBIC, L.P., own in aggregate more than ten percent of InsideView. Therefore, InsideView is considered an Associate of Emergence Capital Partners SBIC, L.P. and the transaction is considered as financing an Associate, requiring prior written exemption from SBA.

Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on the transaction on or before May 4, 2011 to the Associate Administrator for Investment, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street, SW., Washington, DC 20416.

Dated: April 7, 2011.

Sean Greene, Associate Administrator for Investment.

SUMMARY: The FAA assigned the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC) a new task to provide advice and recommendations to the FAA about how to prioritize rulemaking projects. This task addresses, in part, one of the Department of Transportation’s Future of Aviation Advisory Committee (FAAC) recommendations. This notice informs the public of a new ARAC activity and solicits membership for the new Rulemaking Prioritization Working Group.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katherine Haley, Office of Rulemaking, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: 202–493–5708, facsimile: 202–267–5075; e-mail: Katherine.L.Haley@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The FAA established ARAC to provide advice and recommendations to the FAA Administrator on the FAA’s rulemaking activities. ARAC’s objectives are to improve the development of the FAA’s regulations by providing
Committee meeting included a group should consider all drivers that safety is a critical factor, the working group should not limit the criteria to safety. While CAST methodology as a reference and analysis of accident and incident data.

1998, the FAA founded the CAST to include the Commercial Aviation Safety Team (CAST) methodology. In 1998, the FAA founded the CAST to provide advice and recommendations on developing a framework and methodologies to assist the FAA in assessing and sequencing potential rulemaking projects.

The objective of the Rulemaking Prioritization Working Group is to provide advice and recommendations on developing a framework and methodologies to assist the FAA in assessing and sequencing potential rulemaking projects. The FAA will provide the Rulemaking Prioritization Working Group with a subset of issues to test the prototype. These issues are potential rulemaking projects from the FAA’s four-year regulatory look-ahead. When developing the prototype, the working group should review models and methodologies as references, including the Commercial Aviation Safety Team (CAST) methodology. In 1998, the FAA founded the CAST to develop an integrated, data-driven strategy to reduce the commercial aviation fatality risk in the United States and promote new government and industry safety initiatives throughout the world. The CAST methodology identifies top safety areas through the analysis of accident and incident data.

The working group should use the CAST methodology as a reference and not limit the criteria to safety. While safety is a critical factor, the working group should consider all drivers that influence the need to consider rulemaking; e.g., safety, capacity, cost, environmental impacts, harmonization, operations, and other needs.

The March 2011 ARAC Executive Committee meeting included a presentation of selected ideas and proposed actions for the Executive Committee members to consider. This notice advises the public that the FAA has assigned, and the Executive Committee has accepted, a task to develop a report including recommendations on how to prioritize rulemaking projects.

The Task
The FAA has tasked the ARAC working group to provide advice and recommendations on developing a framework and methodologies to assist the FAA in assessing and sequencing potential rulemaking projects.

The working group is expected to develop a report containing recommendations on how the agency should prioritize rulemaking projects. This report should document both majority and minority positions on the findings and the rationale for each position. Any disagreements should be documented, including the rationale for each position and the reasons for the disagreement. In developing its recommendations, the working group shall:

1. Review FAAC Recommendation #22, which can be found at http://www.dot.gov/faac/FAA_Recommendations.pdf.
2. Define a process to evaluate rulemaking projects.
3. Evaluate and consider the parameters and criteria of the risk assessment methodology, ensuring the most effective project receives the highest priority. This includes considering all drivers of rulemaking; e.g., safety, capacity, cost, environmental impacts, harmonization, operations, and other needs.
4. Explore models and/or methodologies that would be helpful in developing the risk assessment methodology. This includes reviewing the CAST methodology, which can be found at http://www.cast-safety.org/index.cfm.
5. Develop a classification system to rank rulemaking projects.
6. Develop a model to use as a prototype and test it with the subset of issues the FAA provides.
7. Consider ARAC’s role after the FAA implements the rulemaking prioritization methodology.

Schedule: The recommendations must be forwarded to the ARAC Executive Committee for review and approval no later than December 2011. The working group may be assigned additional tasks related to the development of the recommendations. If you wish to become a member of the Working Group Activity, you must participate in the working group by attending all meetings, and providing written comments when requested to do so. You must devote the resources necessary to support the working group in meeting any assigned deadlines. You must keep your management chain and those you may represent advised of working group activities and decisions.

ARAC Acceptance of Task
The ARAC Executive Committee has accepted the task and assigned it to the Rulemaking Prioritization Working Group. The working group serves as staff to ARAC and assists in the analysis of the assigned task. ARAC must review and approve the working group’s recommendations. If ARAC accepts the working group’s recommendations, it will send them to the FAA.

Working Group Activity
The Rulemaking Prioritization Working Group must comply with the procedures adopted by ARAC. As part of the procedures, the working group must:

1. Recommend a work plan for completion of the task, including the rationale supporting such a plan, for consideration at the next ARAC Executive Committee meeting held following publication of this notice.
2. Provide a status report at each meeting of the ARAC Executive Committee.
3. Draft the recommendation report and required analyses and/or any other related materials or documents.
4. Present the final recommendations to the ARAC Executive Committee for review and approval.

Participation in the Working Group
The Rulemaking Prioritization Working Group will be comprised of technical experts having an interest in the assigned task. A working group member need not be a representative or a member of the full committee. The FAA would like a wide range of members to ensure all aspects of rulemaking are considered in development of the recommendations.

If you wish to become a member of the Working Group Activity, write the person listed under the caption FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT expressing that desire. Describe your interest in the task and state the expertise you would bring to the working group. We must receive all requests by May 9, 2011. The Executive Committee and the FAA will review the requests and advise you whether or not your request is approved.

If you are chosen for membership on the working group, you must actively participate in the working group by attending all meetings, and providing written comments when requested to do so. You must devote the resources necessary to support the working group in meeting any assigned deadlines. You must keep your management chain and those you may represent advised of working group activities and decisions.
to ensure the proposed technical solutions do not conflict with your sponsoring organization’s position when the subject is presented to ARAC for approval. Once the working group has begun deliberations, members will not be added or substituted without the approval of the FAA and the working group chair.

The Secretary of Transportation determined the formation and use of ARAC is necessary and in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the FAA by law.

ARAC meetings are open to the public. However, ARAC Rulemaking Prioritization Working Group meetings are not open to the public, except to the extent individuals with an interest and expertise are selected to participate. The FAA will make no public announcement of working group meetings.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 13, 2011.

Pamela Hamilton-Powell, Executive Director, Aviation Rulemaking Prioritization Working Group.

[FR Doc. 2011–9399 Filed 4–18–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment and Request for Public Scoping Comments for the Air Tour Management Plan Program at Big Cypress National Preserve

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment and to Request Public Scoping Comments.

SUMMARY: The FAA, with National Park Service (NPS) as a cooperating agency, has initiated development of an Air Tour Management Plan (ATMP) for Big Cypress National Preserve (Big Cypress), pursuant to the National Parks Air Tour Management Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106–181) and its implementing regulations (14 CFR Part 136, Subpart B, National Parks Air Tour Management). The objective of the ATMP is to develop acceptable and effective measures to mitigate or prevent the significant adverse impacts, if any, of commercial air tour operations on the natural resources, cultural resources, and visitor experiences of a national park unit and any tribal lands within or abutting the park. It should be noted that the ATMP has no authorization over other non-air-tour operations such as military and general aviation operations. In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and FAA Order 1050.1E, an Environmental Assessment (EA) is being prepared.

The FAA and NPS are now inviting the public, agencies, tribes, and other interested parties to provide comments, suggestions, and input on the scope of issues to be addressed in the environmental process.

DATES: By this notice, the FAA as lead agency is requesting comments on the scope of the EA for the ATMP at Big Cypress. Comments must be submitted by May 19, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Keith Lusk—Mailing address: P.O. Box 92007, Los Angeles, California 90090–2007. Telephone: (310) 725–3808. Street address: 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261. Written comments on the scope of the EA should be submitted electronically via the electronic public comment form on the NPS Planning, Environment and Public Comment System at: http://parkplanning.nps.gov/BICY_ATMP, or sent to the mailing address above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A public scoping packet that describes the project in greater detail is available at:

- http://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/arc/programs/air_tour_management_plan/park_specific_plans/big_cypress.cfm
- http://parkplanning.nps.gov/BICY_ATMP

Notice Regarding FOIA: Individuals may request that their name and/or address be withheld from public disclosure. If you wish to do this, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. Commenters using the website can make such a request by checking the box “keep my contact information private.” Such requests will be honored to the extent allowable by law, but you should be aware that pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, your name and address may be disclosed. We will make all submissions from organizations, businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses available for public inspection in their entirety.

Issued in Hawthorne, CA, on April 12, 2011.

Keith Lusk, Program Manager, Special Programs Staff, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 2011–9402 Filed 4–18–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Potential Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Runway 13 Extension and Associated Actions for the Devils Lake Regional Airport in Devils Lake, ND

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of availability of a final EA and FONSI/ROD for the evaluation of the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed Runway 13 extension and associated actions for Devils Lake Regional Airport in Devils Lake, North Dakota.

SUMMARY: The FAA has issued the final EA and FONSI/ROD for the proposed Runway 13 extension and associated actions for Devils Lake Regional Airport. The EA was prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, FAA Orders 1050.1E, “Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures” and FAA Order 5050.4B, “NEPA Implementing Instructions for Airport Actions”.

Point of Contact: Ms. Patricia Duesler, Environmental Protection Specialist, FAA Bismarck Airports District Office (ADO), 2301 University Drive, Building 23B, Bismarck, North Dakota, 58504. Telephone number (701) 323–7380.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA is issuing a final EA and FONSI/ROD that evaluated the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed runway extension and associated actions at Devils Lake Regional Airport located in Devils Lake, North Dakota. Based on the analysis contained in the final EA, the FAA has determined the selected alternative has no associated significant impacts to resources identified in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1E, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures and FAA Order 5054.4B, National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Instructions for Airport Actions. Therefore, no environmental impact statement will be prepared. The runway extension project is needed to enhance the utility and safety of the Devils Lake Regional Airport for current and projected levels of aviation by the design aircraft family.

Eight alternatives were studied for meeting the purpose and need. Four of the eight alternatives (including new location) were reviewed, analyzed, discarded due to the degree of environmental impacts and not meeting