wireless telecommunications bureau extends period for filing comments and reply comments on petition for declaratory ruling asking to clarify the scope of preemption of wireless entry regulation

agency: federal communications commission

action: notice; extension of filing and reply comment period

summary: in this document, the wireless telecommunications bureau extends the deadline for filing comments and reply comments in response to the public notice seeking comment on the december 3, 2010 petition for declaratory ruling (petition) filed by CTIA—the wireless association (petitioners). The petitioners asked the commission to clarify "the scope of section 332(c)(3)(A)'s ban on state and local entry regulation." the petitioners stated that the connecticut department of public utility control (Connecticut PUC) "ordered that wireless providers must apply for and obtain a certificate of public convenience and necessity (CPCN) from the [Connecticut PUC] before they can request permission to access public rights-of-way." the petitioners asked the commission to declare that Connecticut's CPCN requirement is a form of entry regulation that is prohibited by section 332(c)(3).

On April 1, 2011, the petitioners along with the Connecticut PUC (collectively, the "Parties") submitted a joint request for a 60-day extension of the comment and reply comment deadlines in this proceeding. the parties state that the Connecticut PUC recently published draft changes to the requirements at issue in this matter and that a 60-day extension is "in the public interest because it will allow commenters a meaningful period of time to review, analyze, and respond to any final actions the [Connecticut PUC] takes on the draft decision."

The Bureau finds that granting the Parties' request and extending the
comment and reply comment deadlines by 60 days is in the public interest. Extending the comment period will ensure that parties have sufficient time to consider and address developments in this matter and the extent to which they meet the controversy at issue in the Petition. Therefore, interested parties will now have until June 10, 2011 to file comments and July 11, 2011 to file reply comments as opposed to the April 11, 2011 and May 11, 2011 deadlines set forth in the Public Notice.

Pursuant to §§1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated above. Comments may be filed using: (1) The Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). (2) the Federal Government’s eRulemaking Portal, or (3) by filing paper copies. See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings, 63 FR 24121 (1998).

- Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number.

Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail (although we continue to experience delays in receiving U.S. Postal Service mail). All filings must be addressed to the Commission’s Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission’s Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th St., SW., Room TW–A325, Washington, DC 20554. The filing hours are 8 a.m. to 7 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of before entering the building.
- Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743.
- U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 445 12th Street, SW., Washington DC 20554.

People with Disabilities: To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice), 202–418–0432 (tty). Federal Communications Commission.

Nese Guendelsberger,
Chief, Spectrum and Competition Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

[FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[DOCKET NO. 11–06]

Indigo Logistics, LLC, Liliya Ivanenko, and Leonid Ivanenko—Possible Violations of Section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 and the Commission’s Regulations; Order of Investigation and Hearing

AGENCY: Federal Maritime Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Order of Investigation and Hearing.


DATES: The Order of Investigation and Hearing was served April 7, 2011.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 7, 2011 the Federal Maritime Commission instituted an Order of Investigation and Hearing entitled Indigo Logistics, LLC; Liliya Ivanenko; and Leonid Ivanenko—Possible Violations of Section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 and the Commission’s Regulations at 46 CFR part 515. Acting pursuant to Section 11 of the Shipping Act, 46 U.S.C. 41302, that investigation is instituted to determine:

1. Whether Indigo Logistics, LLC, Liliya Ivanenko, and Leonid Ivanenko violated Section 19 of the Shipping Act, 46 U.S.C. 40901, 40902, and the Commission’s regulations at 46 CFR part 515, by acting as an ocean freight forwarder without a license or evidence of financial responsibility;

2. Whether, in the event violations of Section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 are found, civil penalties should be assessed against Indigo Logistics, LLC, Liliya Ivanenko, and Leonid Ivanenko, and, if so, the amount of penalties to be assessed; and

3. Whether, in the event violations are found, appropriate cease and desist orders should be issued.

The Order may be viewed in its entirety at http://www.fmc.gov.

Karen V. Gregory,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–9199 Filed 4–15–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730–01–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 3090–0200; Docket 2011–0001; Sequence 1]

General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation; Information Collection; Sealed Bidding

AGENCY: Office of the Chief Acquisition Officer, GSA.

ACTION: Notice of request for comments regarding a renewal to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Regulatory Secretariat (MVCR) will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement regarding sealed bidding.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

DATES: Submit comments on or before: June 17, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael O. Jackson, Procurement Analyst, Contract Policy Branch, at telephone (202) 208–4949 or michaelo.jackson@gsa.gov.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by Information Collection 3090–0200 by any of the following methods:

- Regulations.gov: http://www.regulations.gov. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by inputting “Information Collection 3090–0200” under the heading “Enter Keyword or ID” and selecting “Search”. Select the link “Submit a Comment” that corresponds with “Information Collection 3090–0200”. Follow the instructions provided at the “Submit a Comment” screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “Information Collection 3090–0200” on your attached document.