Additional time is needed to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to sales practices, manufacturing costs and corporate relationships pertaining to each company participating in the review as well as the company requesting revocation. Given the number and complexity of issues in this case, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are fully extending by 120 days the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than August 31, 2011. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 11, 2011.

Gary Taverman,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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Laminated Woven Sacks From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 27, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on laminated woven sacks from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). See Laminated Woven Sacks From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Second Administrative Review, 75 FR 81218 (December 27, 2010) (“Preliminary Results”). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the Preliminary Results. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, no changes have been made for the final results. We continue to find that the PRC-wide entity has sold subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (“POR”). August 1, 2009, through July 31, 2010.

DATES: Effective Date: April 15, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jamie Blair-Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2615.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 29, 2010, the Department initiated this review with respect to one company. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 75 FR 60076 (September 29, 2010). The review was initiated with respect to Zibo Aifudi Plastic Packaging Co., Ltd. (“Aifudi”) upon the self-request of Aifudi and the request of Petitioners.1 On November 3, 2010, Aifudi submitted a letter notifying the Department of its intent to withdraw and refusal to further participate in the ongoing administrative review.2 Petitioners did not withdraw their request for an administrative review of Aifudi. Therefore, the Department did not rescind the review with respect to Aifudi.

In the Preliminary Results, we set the deadline for interested parties to submit case briefs and rebuttal briefs to January 26, 2011, and January 31, 2011, respectively. Due to the early closure of the Department resulting from inclement weather on January 26, 2011, AMS3 filed a case brief on the morning of the next business day, January 27, 2011. Subsequently, the Department extended the deadline for rebuttal briefs by one day, to February 1, 2011.

Petitioners filed a rebuttal brief on February 1, 2011. The Department did not hold a public hearing pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(d), as no interested parties requested a hearing.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to these reviews are addressed in the “Laminated Woven Sacks From the People’s Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review” (“Decision Memo”), which is dated concurrently with this notice. A list of the issues which parties raised and to which we respond in the Decision Memo is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Decision Memo is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit, Main Commerce Building, Room 7046, and is accessible on the Department’s Web site at http://www.trade.gov/ia. The paper copy and electronic version of the memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is laminated woven sacks. Laminated woven sacks are bags or sacks consisting of one or more plies of fabric consisting of woven polypropylene strip and/or woven polyethylene strip, regardless of the width of the strip; with or without an extrusion coating of polypropylene and/or polyethylene on one or both sides of the fabric; laminated by any method either to an exterior ply of plastic film such as biaxially-oriented polypropylene (“BOPP”) or to an exterior ply of paper that is suitable for high quality print graphics; printed with three colors or more in register; with or without lining; whether or not closed on one end; whether or not in roll form (including sheets, lay-flat tubing, and sleeves); with or without handles; with or without special closing features; not exceeding one kilogram in weight. Laminated woven sacks are typically used for retail packaging of consumer goods such as pet foods and bird seed.

Effective July 1, 2007, laminated woven sacks are classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) subheadings 6305.33.0050 and 6305.33.0080. Laminated woven sacks were previously classifiable under HTSUS subheading 6305.33.0020. If entered with plastic coating on both sides of the fabric consisting of woven polypropylene strip and/or woven polyethylene strip, laminated woven sacks may be classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 3923.21.0080, 3923.21.0095, and 3923.29.0000. If entered without plastic coating on both sides of the fabric consisting of woven polypropylene strip and/or woven polyethylene strip, laminated woven sacks may be classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 3917.39.0050, 3921.90.1100, 3921.90.1500, and 5903.90.2500. If the polypropylene

1 Petitioners are the Laminated Woven Sacks Committee and its individual members, Coating Excellence International, LLC and Polytex Fibers Corporation.


3 AMS Associates, Inc., operating as Shapiro Packaging (“AMS”), importer of products produced by Aifudi.

4 “Paper suitable for high quality print graphics,” as used herein, means paper having an ISO brightness of 82 or higher and a Sheffield Smoothness of 250 or less. Coated free sheet is an example of a paper suitable for high quality print graphics.
strips and/or polyethylene strips making up the fabric measure more than 5 millimeters in width, laminated woven sacks may be classifiable under other HTSUS subheadings including 4601.99.0500, 4601.99.9000, and 4602.90.0000. Although HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results
In the Preliminary Results, we determined that the use of adverse facts available (“AFA”) is appropriate as the basis for the weighted-average dumping margin assigned to the PRC-wide entity, which includes Aifudi. There have been no changes since the Preliminary Results. Therefore, for the final results, we have adopted our positions in the Preliminary Results. Thus, the Department continues to find that the application of total AFA is warranted for the PRC-wide entity pursuant to sections 776(a)(2)(A) and (C) and 776(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).

Use of Adverse Facts Available
In accordance with section 776(b) of the Act, we determine that the use of AFA as the basis for the weighted-average dumping margin is appropriate for the PRC-wide entity. As explained in the Preliminary Results, Aifudi withdrew its participation from this administrative review, did not submit any information on the record regarding its separate rate status, and did not respond to requests for information from the Department. As such, Aifudi has not rebutted the presumption of PRC government control and does not qualify for a separate rate. Therefore, the Department continues to find that Aifudi should be treated as part of the PRC-wide entity.

Because we have determined that Aifudi is part of the PRC-wide entity, the PRC-wide entity is under review. Pursuant to section 776(a)(2)(A) and (C) of the Act, we find that Aifudi failed to respond to the Department’s questionnaires, withheld information requested by the Department, and impeded the conduct of this review. Accordingly, the Department continues to find that it is appropriate to base the dumping margin of the PRC-wide entity on the facts otherwise available on the record. Further, because Aifudi’s failure to provide the requested information constitutes circumstances under which it is reasonable to conclude that less than full cooperation has been shown, pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act, the Department has determined that, when selecting from among the facts otherwise available, an adverse inference is warranted with respect to the PRC-wide entity.

As AFA, we have applied the highest dumping margin on the record of any segment of this proceeding, which is 91.73 percent. Furthermore, as required by section 776(c) of the Act, we corroborated this margin with respect to the PRC-wide entity, to the extent practicable. For a detailed explanation of how we corroborated this margin, see Preliminary Results.

Final Results of Review
The weighted-average dumping margins for the POR are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted average percent margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRC-Wide Entity</td>
<td>91.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment
Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. For assessment purposes, we calculated importer (or customer)-specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. Where appropriate, we calculated an ad valorem rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total entered values associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting ad valorem rate against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise. Where appropriate, we calculated a per-unit rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit rate against the entered quantity of the subject merchandise. Where an importer (or customer)-specific assessment rate is de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent), the Department will instruct CBP to

Reimbursement of Duties
This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders
This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
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Addreses:
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department of commerce

national oceanic and atmospheric administration

Science Advisory Board; Notice of Public Meeting

Agency: Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce (DOC).

Action: Notice of public meeting.

Summary: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the NOAA Science Advisory Board. The members will discuss and provide advice on issues outlined in the agenda below.

Dates: The meeting is scheduled for: Monday, May 16, from 3–5 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time.

Addresses: Conference call. Public access is available at: NOAA, SSMC 3, Room 12836, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD.

For further information contact: Dr. Cynthia Decker, Executive Director, Science Advisory Board, NOAA, Rm. 11230, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910. (Phone: 301–734–1156, Fax: 301–713–1499, E-mail: Cynthia.Decker@noaa.gov)

Supplementary information: The Science Advisory Board (SAB) was established by a Decision Memorandum dated September 25, 1997, and is the only Federal Advisory Committee with responsibility to advise the Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere on strategies for research, education, and application of science to operations and information services. SAB activities and advice provide necessary input to ensure that National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) science programs are of the highest quality and provide optimal support to resource management.

Matters To Be Considered: The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

Date and Time: Monday, May 16 from 3–5 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time.

Status: The meeting will open to public participation at NOAA, SSMC 3, Room 12836, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD. with a 5-minute public comment period from 4:50–4:55 p.m. The SAB expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted verbal or written statements. In general, each individual or group making a verbal presentation will be limited to a total time of one minute. Written comments should be received in the SAB Executive Director’s Office by May 11, 2011 to provide sufficient time for SAB review. Written comments received by the SAB Executive Director after May 11, 2011, will be distributed to the SAB, but may not be reviewed prior to the meeting date.

Agenda

1. Recommendations on Coastal and Marine Spatial Planning from the Ecosystem Sciences and Management Working Group.


Dated: April 11, 2011.

Mark E. Brown,
Chief Financial Officer/Chief Administrative Officer, Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–9230 Filed 4–14–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–OS–P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

procurement list additions and deletions

Agency: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

Action: Additions to and deletions from the procurement list.

Summary: This action adds products and services to the Procurement List that will be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities, and deletes products and services from the Procurement List previously furnished by such agencies.

Dates: Effective Date: May 16, 2011.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Barry S. Lineback, Telephone: (703) 603–7740, Fax: (703) 603–0655, or e-mail CMTEFedReg@AbilityOne.gov.

Supplementary Information:

Additions


After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the products and services and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the products and services listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48c and 41 CFR 51–2.4.

Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the products and services to the Government.

2. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the products and services to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner- O’Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the products and services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

End of Certification

Accordingly, the following products and services are added to the Procurement List:

Products

NSN: 8465–00–NIB–0211—Pouch, Four 3-