of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order.

Reasons: Unique features of this instrument include its arbitrary excitation angle, large frequency, force, displacement range and spectral output purity. It is also unique in that it included the ability to rotate to varying degrees.

Docket Number: 11–017. Applicant: University of Chicago Argonne, LLC, Lemont, IL 60439. Instrument: Electron Guns for Caribu EBIS Charge Breeder. Manufacturer: Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Russia. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 11200, March 1, 2011. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. Reasons: The main requirement to the EBIS charge breeder is its high efficiency and long maintenance free operational period.

Dated: April 8, 2011.

Gregory W. Campbell, Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, International Trade Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–9109 Filed 4–13–11; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–580–818]

Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Republic of Korea: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: April 14, 2011.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background


Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and the final results of review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Because the Department will require additional time to review and analyze supplemental information expected from the Government of Korea and the respondent, Hyundai HYSCO Ltd., and may issue further supplemental questionnaires, it is not practicable to complete this review by the original deadline (i.e., May 3, 2011). Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by 120 days to not later than August 31, 2011, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777f(i)(1) of the Act.