

techniques will be utilized to observe the samples. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* March 11, 2011.

Docket Number: 11-024. *Applicant:* Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW Rochester, MN 55905. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to evaluate tissue looking for ultrastructural indicators of disease, as well as other experiments including cell culture morphology, transplant and host tissue interactions, and implant artifacts and breakage. Techniques to be used include standard transmission electron microscopy preparative procedures as well as specialized techniques including immunoelectron microscopy, negative staining and electron tomography. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* March 14, 2011.

Docket Number: 11-025. *Applicant:* California State University—Long Beach, 1250 Bellflower Blvd., Long Beach, CA 90840. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* Neaspec GmbH, Germany. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to study plasmonic metal-based materials as well as phonon modes of thin surfaces such as silica, silicon nitride or silicon carbide materials. Experiments will be performed using near-field microscopy measurements, coupling light with an atomic microscope tip and recording optical signals in amplitude and phase. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* March 30, 2011.

Dated: April 8, 2011.

Gregory Campbell,
Director, IA Subsidies Enforcement Office.
[FR Doc. 2011-9108 Filed 4-13-11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-

36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before May 4, 2011. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 11-023. *Applicant:* UChicago Argonne, LLC., 9700 South Cass Ave., Lemont, IL 60439. *Instrument:* Mythen 1K Detector System. *Manufacturer:* Dectris Ltd., Switzerland. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used for resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS) to study the electronic structure of highly correlated systems. This instrument is unique in that it has a small pixel pitch (50 microns); high detection efficiency, single photon counting with high dynamic range; and a small, lightweight and compact design. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* March 29, 2011.

Dated: April 8, 2011.

Gregory Campbell,
Acting Director, IA Subsidies Enforcement Office.

[FR Doc. 2011-9107 Filed 4-13-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Colorado, et al.; Notice of Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 11-009. *Applicant:* University of Chicago Argonne, LLC., Lemont, IL 60439-4873. *Instrument:* Electrode Coater. *Manufacturer:* A-Pro Co., Ltd., South Korea. *Intended Use:*

See notice at 76 FR 11200, March 1, 2011. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. *Reasons:* This instrument is unique in that it is semi-automated and suitable for a laboratory environment, and specially tailored for lithium-ion electrodes.

Docket Number: 11-010. *Applicant:* University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706. *Instrument:* Vitrobot Mark IV. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 11200, March 1, 2011. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. *Reasons:* This instrument is unique in following ways: the instrumental parameters must be computer controlled and enable storing of parameter protocols, including humidity, blotting time and pressure, and equilibration time; mitigation of errors must be derived from the handling of TEM grids including loading, application of sample, plunging, and transfer to storage by automating some of these tasks; and sample blotting must be done automatically with user controlled programmable blot times and pressures.

Docket Number: 11-011. *Applicant:* National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL) at Michigan State University. *Instrument:* Differential Plunger Device. *Manufacturer:* Institut für Kernphysik-Universität zu Köln (Cologne University), Germany. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 1120, March 1, 2011. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. *Reasons:* The instrument is specific to the research in level lifetime measurements of rare isotopes.

Docket Number: 11-014. *Applicant:* Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907. *Instrument:* Vibration Test System-Shaker in Trunion with Matching Amplifier and Cooling Blower. *Manufacturer:* TIRA, Germany. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 11200, March 1, 2011. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know

of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. *Reasons:* Unique features of this instrument include its arbitrary excitation angle, large frequency, force, displacement range and spectral output purity. It is also unique in that it included the ability to rotate to varying degrees.

Docket Number: 11–017. *Applicant:* University of Chicago Argonne, LLC, Lemont, IL 60439. *Instrument:* Electron Guns for Caribu EBIS Charge Breeder. *Manufacturer:* Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Russia. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 11200, March 1, 2011. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. *Reasons:* The main requirement to the EBIS charge breeder is its high efficiency and long maintenance free operational period.

Dated: April 8, 2011.

Gregory W. Campbell,

*Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office,
Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011–9109 Filed 4–13–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–580–818]

Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Republic of Korea: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: April 14, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gayle Longest, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482–3338.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 17, 1993, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the countervailing duty order on corrosion-

resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from Korea. *See Countervailing Duty Orders and Amendments of Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations: Certain Steel Products from Korea*, 58 FR 43752 (August 17, 1993). On August 2, 2010, the Department published a notice of “Opportunity to Request Administrative Review” of this countervailing duty order. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 75 FR 45094 (August 2, 2010). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we published a notice of initiation of the administrative review on September 29, 2010, for the January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009, period of review (POR). *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 75 FR 60076 (September 29, 2010). The preliminary results for this review are currently due no later than May 3, 2011.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and the final results of review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Because the Department will require additional time to review and analyze supplemental information expected from the Government of Korea and the respondent, Hyundai HYSCO Ltd., and may issue further supplemental questionnaires, it is not practicable to complete this review by the original deadline (*i.e.*, May 3, 2011). Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by 120 days to not later than August 31, 2011, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 8, 2011.

Gary Taverman,

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2011–9111 Filed 4–13–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–843]

Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 13, 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (“CAFC”) affirmed the United States Court of International Trade’s (“CIT’s”) decision sustaining the Department of Commerce’s (“the Department’s”) redetermination on remand of the final results of the antidumping duty investigation on certain lined paper products (“CLPP”) from India. *See Association of American School Paper Suppliers v. United States*, Court No. 2010–1219 (CAFC December 13, 2010) (CAFC Rule 36 affirmation); *see also Association of American School Paper Suppliers v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 06–00395, Slip Op. 09–136 (CIT December 10, 2009) (“AASPS, Slip. Op. 09–136”).¹ This case arises out of the Department’s final determination of sales at less than fair value (“LTFV”) in the antidumping duty investigation of CLPP from India.² As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, the Department is amending the *Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order*.³

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christopher Hargett, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

¹ This action includes Court No. 06–00395 and Court No. 06–00399.

² *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, and Negative Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Lined Paper Products from India*, 71 FR 45012 (August 8, 2006) (“*Final Determination*”).

³ *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People’s Republic of China; Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India, Indonesia and the People’s Republic of China; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India and Indonesia*, 71 FR 56949 (September 28, 2006) (“*Antidumping Duty Order*”).