the EIS. A public scoping meeting will be held in San Francisco, California, in the spring of 2011. We will mail a separate announcement to the public with the exact date, time, and location of the public scoping meeting. We will accept both oral and written comments at the scoping meeting.

NEPA Compliance

We will conduct environmental review in accordance with the requirements of NEPA, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), its implementing regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), other applicable regulations, and our procedures for compliance with those regulations. We anticipate that a draft EIS will be available for public review in the fall of 2011.

DATED: April 7, 2011.

Alexandra Pitts,
Regional Director, Pacific Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. 2011–8813 Filed 4–12–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

[INT–FES 11–02]

Cle Elum Dam Fish Passage Facilities and Fish Reintroduction Project; Kittitas County, WA

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Cle Elum Dam Fish Passage Facilities and Fish Reintroduction Project.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is notifying the public that it has prepared an FEIS on the proposed Cle Elum Dam Fish Passage Facilities and Fish Reintroduction Project. The Washington State Department of Ecology is a joint lead with Reclamation in the preparation of the FEIS, in coordination with the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Yakama Nation. The Bonneville Power Administration has assumed the role of a cooperating agency. The FEIS will also be used to comply with requirements of the Washington State Environmental Policy Act.

Reclamation published a Draft EIS in the Federal Register on February 3, 2010 (75 FR 56262) with a public comment period ending on March 22, 2010. Revisions were made in the FEIS to incorporate responses to comments. The FEIS also identifies Alternative 3, Right Bank Juvenile Passage with Right Bank Adult Passage without Barrier Dam as the preferred alternative.

DATES: Reclamation will not make a decision on the proposed action until at least 30 days after filing of the FEIS with the Environmental Protection Agency. After the 30-day waiting period, Reclamation will complete a Record of Decision. The Record of Decision will identify the selected action for implementation and will discuss factors and rationale used in making the decision.

ADDRESSES: Bureau of Reclamation, Columbia-Cascades Area Office, attention: Candace McKinley, Environmental Program Manager, 1917 Marsh Road, Yakima, Washington 98901.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Candace McKinley, Environmental Program Manager, Telephone (509) 575–5848, ext. 276, fax: (509) 454–5650. The FEIS and other information on this project can be found at http://www.usbr.gov/pn/programs/ucao_misc/fishpassage/. To receive a hard copy or compact disc of the FEIS refer to the above contact.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, Reclamation is evaluating the construction of downstream juvenile fish passage and upstream adult fish passage alternatives at the dam for the Cle Elum Dam Fish Passage Facilities Project. Cle Elum Dam did not include fish passage facilities when constructed in 1933; consequently, fish passage to upstream habitat for fish species was blocked.

As part of the effort to restore fish above Cle Elum Dam, the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, in collaboration with the Yakama Nation, is evaluating the implementation of a project to reintroduce fish populations above the dam. The reintroduction plan would involve the transportation and release of adults for natural spawning and potentially hatchery supplementation techniques to restore fish above the dam.

Early in 2001, Yakima River basin interest groups urged Reclamation to incorporate fish passage facilities as part of the reconstruction of Keechelus Dam under the Safety of Dams program. Reclamation determined that fish passage facilities could not be added under existing Safety of Dams authority. However, in the January 2002 Record of Decision for Keechelus Dam Modification EIS, Reclamation committed to seek funding under existing authorities to conduct a feasibility study for providing fish passage at all Yakima Project storage dams. Additionally, Reclamation agreed to mitigation agreement terms and Hydraulic Project Approval conditions with Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife to investigate fish passage feasibility. In 2003, Reclamation prevailed in a suit filed by the Yakama Nation concerning the NEPA and Endangered Species Act compliance for the Keechelus Safety of Dams project. The Yakama Nation then appealed that decision to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. In 2006, Reclamation and the Yakama Nation entered into a settlement agreement to resolve litigation in which the parties agreed to collaborate to prepare technical plans and a planning report for fish passage at Cle Elum and Bumping Lake Dams. This FEIS is part of the agreed-upon planning process for Cle Elum Dam only. An EIS for Bumping Lake fish passage will be prepared separately at a future time.

Public Disclosure

Before including your name, address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in any correspondence, you should be aware that your entire correspondence—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your correspondence to withhold your personal identifying information from public view, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

DATED: January 5, 2011.

Karl E. Wirkus,
Regional Director, Pacific Northwest Region.

[FR Doc. 2011–8862 Filed 4–12–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Possible Shutdown of Investigative Activities


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to shut down its investigative activities in the event of the absence of an appropriation.

concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at \textit{http://www.usitc.gov}. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission is issuing this notice because of the potential for an absence of an appropriation as of 12:01 a.m. on Saturday, April 9, 2011. If the Commission does not receive funding by 8:45 a.m. on Monday, April 11, 2011, the agency will shut down its investigative activities for the duration of the absence of appropriation. These activities include, but are not limited to, proceedings conducted under the authority of Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930, including antidumping and countervailing duty investigations and reviews; investigations and ancillary proceedings conducted under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1990; and investigations conducted under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930.

If a shutdown occurs, the schedules for all investigative activities will be tolled. All hearings and conferences will be postponed, subject to the exception described below. Once the Commission receives funding and the period of the shutdown ends, all schedules will resume starting with the day on which the Commission recommences operations. For example, if the shutdown lasts four days (e.g., April 11–14), then the deadline for the filing of any document on April 14 would be extended four days to April 18, 2011. If a rescheduled deadline falls on a nonbusiness day, the deadline will be extended to the next business day. The agency may reconsider schedules after resuming operations.

Notwithstanding the general tolling of schedules, each staff conference in preliminary antidumping and countervailing duty investigations scheduled to take place on April 20, 21, or 22, 2011, will take place as scheduled if the Commission resumes operations by April 14, 2011. Should the shutdown not end before April 14, 2011, all conferences will be rescheduled pursuant to the general tolling provisions described above.

The Commission’s World Wide Web site, at \textit{http://www.usitc.gov}, will be updated to the extent practicable to provide information on the status of the agency.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 335 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1335), and in 31 U.S.C. 1341 et seq.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 8, 2011.

James R. Holbein, 
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011–8842 Filed 4–12–11; 8:45 am]

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**[OMB Number 1105–NEW]**

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: Elder Justice Roadmap Project**

**AGENCY:** Civil Division, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-day notice of information collection under review.

The Civil Division of Department of Justice (DOJ) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. June 13, 2011. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Laurie Feinberg, 601 D Street, NW., Room 9109, Washington, DC 20004; (202) 305–1789.

Written comments concerning this information collection should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attn: DOJ Desk Officer. The best way to ensure your comments are received is to e-mail them to \textit{oir_submission@omb.eop.gov} or fax them to 202–395–7285. All comments should reference the 8 digit OMB number for the collection or the title of the collection. If you have questions concerning the collection, please call Laurie Feinberg at 202–305–1789 or the DOJ Desk Officer at 202–395–3176.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Overview of This Information Collection**

(1) **Type of Information Collection:** New collection.

(2) **Title of the Form/Collection:** Elder Justice Roadmap Project.

(3) **Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:** None.

(4) **Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:** Adult practitioners, advocates and researchers in professions related to elder justice. A recent review of literature related to elder justice indicates that the field remains largely fragmented and without a clear set of priorities or a roadmap for advancement. The purpose of this data collection is to identify policy, practice, and research priorities in the field of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation and to help develop a strategic roadmap for activities to address those priorities. In the first phase of the study, concept mapping will be used to create a visual representation of the ways that professionals in the field perceive the priorities for elder justice. Concept mapping is a well-documented method of applied research that makes explicit, implicit theoretical models that can be used for planning and action. The process requires respondents to brainstorm a set of statements relevant to the topic of interest (“brainstorming” task), individually sort these statements into piles based on perceived similarity (“sorting” task), rate each statement on one or more scales (“rating” task), and interpret the graphical representation that result from several multivariate analyses. The collection of data for all concept mapping activities will be facilitated via a dedicated project Web site. The second phase of the study includes a series of six face-to-face