

Parties: APL Co. Pte. Ltd.; American President Lines, Ltd.; A.P. Moller-Maersk A/S; CMA CGM, S.A.; Atlantic Container Line; China Shipping Container Lines Co., Ltd; China Shipping Container Lines (Hong Kong) Co., Ltd.; Companhia Libra de Navegacao; Compania Libra de Navegacion Uruguay S.A.; Compania Sud Americana de Vapores, S.A.; COSCO Container Lines Company Limited; Crowley Maritime Corporation; Evergreen Line Joint Service Agreement; Hamburg-Süd; Hapag-Lloyd AG; Hapag-Lloyd USA LLC; Hanjin Shipping Co., Ltd.; Hyundai Merchant Marine Co. Ltd.; Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha, Ltd.; Mediterranean Shipping Company, S.A.; Mitsui O.S.K. Lines Ltd.; Nippon Yusen Kaisha Line; Norasia Container Lines Limited; Orient Overseas Container Line Limited; Yang Ming Marine Transport Corp.; and Zim Integrated Shipping Services, Ltd.

Filing Party: Jeffrey F. Lawrence, Esq. and Donald J. Kassilke, Esq.; Cozen O'Connor; 1627 I Street, NW.; Suite 1100; Washington, DC 20006.

Synopsis: The amendment would clarify the authority of members to discuss and agree on matters relating to how chassis are made available to the market place.

Agreement No.: 012072-001.

Title: NYK/Yang Ming Americas North-South Service Slot Charter Agreement.

Parties: Nippon Yusen Kaisha; and Yan Ming (America) Corp.

Filing Party: Patricia M. O'Neill, Esq.; Corporate Counsel; NYK Line (North America) Inc.; 300 Lighting Way, 5th Floor; Secaucus, NJ 07094.

Synopsis: The amendment deletes Hanjin Shipping as a party to the Agreement.

Agreement No.: 012105-001.

Title: SCM Lines Transportes/CCNI Agreement.

Parties: Compania Chilena de Navegacion Interoceanica S.A. and SCM Lines Transportes Maritimos Sociedade Unipessoal, LDA.

Filing Party: John P. Vayda, Esq.; Nourse & Bowles, LLP; One Exchange Plaza; 55 Broadway; New York, NY 10006-3030.

Synopsis: The amendment expands the geographic scope of the agreement to include the U.S. East Coast, Mexico, Colombia, Venezuela, Jamaica, and the Dominican Republic. The parties have requested expedited review.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: April 8, 2011.

Karen V. Gregory,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-9003 Filed 4-12-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire shares of a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than April 28, 2011.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Kenneth Binning, Vice President, Applications and Enforcement) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105-1579:

1. *Susan Marie Horton, Cheney, Washington; Raymond Lee Pittman, Jr., Mesa, Arizona; Rosa Maria Pittman, Spokane, Washington; Ted Davis Rhodes, Spokane Valley, Washington; and Wheatland Bank Employee Stock Ownership Plan, Spokane, Washington;* together as a group acting in concert to retain voting shares of Community Financial Group, Inc., and thereby indirectly retain voting shares of Wheatland Bank, both of Spokane, Washington.

2. *Wheatland Bank Employee Stock Ownership Plan, Spokane, Washington, and its trustees, Susan Marie Horton, Cheney, Washington; Dennis Dale Bly, Davenport, Washington; and Jayne Therese Deife, Marlin, Washington;* to retain voting shares of Community Financial Group, Inc., and thereby indirectly retain voting shares of Wheatland Bank, both of Spokane, Washington.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 8, 2011.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2011-8821 Filed 4-12-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 102 3033]

Oreck Corporation; Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 9, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments electronically or in paper form. Comments should refer to a "Oreck, File No. 102 3033" to facilitate the organization of comments. Please note that your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including on the publicly accessible FTC Web site, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm>.

Because comments will be made public, they should not include any sensitive personal information, such as an individual's Social Security Number; date of birth; driver's license number or other State identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. Comments also should not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, comments should not include any "[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which is obtained from any person and which is privileged or confidential. * * *," as provided in Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and Commission Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).¹

¹ The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the

Because paper mail addressed to the FTC is subject to delay due to heightened security screening, please consider submitting your comments in electronic form. Comments filed in electronic form should be submitted by using the following weblink: <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/oreck> and following the instructions on the Web-based form. To ensure that the Commission considers an electronic comment, you must file it on the Web-based form at the weblink <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/oreck>. If this Notice appears at <http://www.regulations.gov/search/index.jsp>, you may also file an electronic comment through that Web site. The Commission will consider all comments that www.regulations.gov forwards to it. You may also visit the FTC Web site at <http://www.ftc.gov/> to read the Notice and the news release describing it.

A comment filed in paper form should include the "Oreck, File No. 102 3033" reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room H-113 (Annex D), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions.

The Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act") and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives, whether filed in paper or electronic form. Comments received will be available to the public on the FTC Web site, to the extent practicable, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm>. As a matter of discretion, the Commission makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC Web site. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC's privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.shtm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Gold (415-848-5176), FTC, Western Region, San Francisco, 600

Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for April 7, 2010), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/actions.shtm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. All comments should be filed as prescribed in the **ADDRESSES** section above, and must be received on or before the date specified in the **DATES** section.

Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") has accepted, subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Order from Oreck Corporation ("respondent"). The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter involves the advertising, marketing, and sale of the Oreck Halo vacuum cleaner and the Oreck ProShield Plus portable air cleaner. Oreck has marketed these products directly to consumers through numerous Web sites, as well as through company-owned and franchised retail stores and third-party retail outlets.

The Oreck Halo is an upright vacuum cleaner that has a built-in light chamber and a HEPA filter bag. The light chamber generates ultraviolet light in the C spectrum onto floor surfaces while

vacuuming. According to the FTC complaint, Oreck has promoted the Oreck Halo as effective, through normal use, in killing virtually all bacteria, viruses, germs, mold and allergens that exist on carpets and other floor surfaces.

Specifically, the FTC complaint alleges that respondent represented, in various advertisements, that the Oreck Halo:

(1) Substantially reduces the risk of or prevents the flu; (2) substantially reduces the risk of or prevents other illnesses or ailments caused by bacteria, viruses, molds, and allergens, such as the common cold, diarrhea, upset stomachs, asthma, and allergy symptoms; and (3) will eliminate all or virtually all common germs and allergens found on the floors in users' homes. The complaint also alleges that Oreck claimed that the Oreck Halo's UV-C light is effective against germs, bacteria, dust mites, mold and viruses embedded in carpets. The complaint alleges that all of these claims are unsubstantiated and thus violate the FTC Act.

The FTC complaint also alleges that Oreck represented, in various advertisements, that the Oreck ProShield Plus portable air cleaner:

(1) Substantially reduces the risk of or prevents the flu; (2) substantially reduces the risk of or prevents other illnesses or ailments caused by bacteria, viruses, molds, and allergens, such as the common cold, asthma, and allergy symptoms; and (3) will eliminate all or virtually all airborne particles from a typical household room under normal living conditions. The complaint alleges that all of these claims are unsubstantiated and thus violate the FTC Act.

The complaint further alleges that Oreck claimed that scientific tests prove that users of the Oreck Halo will eliminate or virtually eliminate many common germs and allergens found on the floors in their homes; and that scientific tests prove that the Oreck ProShield Plus will eliminate or virtually eliminate many common viruses, germs and allergens from a typical household room under normal living conditions. According to the complaint, these claims are false and thus violate the FTC Act.

Finally, the complaint alleges that Oreck provided advertisements to its franchised stores for use in their marketing and sale of the Oreck Halo and the Oreck ProShield. According to the complaint, Oreck thereby provided means and instrumentalities to distributors of its products in furtherance of the deceptive and

Commission's General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

misleading acts or practices alleged in the complaint.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent respondent from engaging in similar acts or practices in the future. Specifically, Part I of the proposed order addresses the allegedly unsubstantiated claims regarding the Oreck Halo. Part I covers any representation that the Oreck Halo or any other vacuum cleaner:

(1) Reduces the risk of or prevents the flu; (2) reduces the risk of or prevents illnesses or ailments caused by bacteria, viruses, molds, or allergens, such as the common cold, diarrhea, upset stomachs, asthma and allergy symptoms; (3) will eliminate all or virtually all germs, bacteria, dust mites, molds, viruses or allergens from a user's floor; and (4) will eliminate any percent or numerical quantity of germs, bacteria, dust mites, molds, viruses or allergens from a user's floor. Part I also applies to representations that ultraviolet light is effective against germs, bacteria, dust mites, molds, viruses or allergens embedded in carpets. Part I prohibits Oreck from making any of the above representations unless the representation is non-misleading and, at the time of making such representation, Oreck possesses and relies upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that is sufficient in quality and quantity based on standards generally accepted in the relevant scientific fields, when considered in light of the entire body of relevant and reliable scientific evidence, to substantiate that the representation is true. The proposed order defines "competent and reliable scientific evidence" as "tests, analyses, research or studies that have been conducted and evaluated in an objective manner by qualified persons and are generally accepted in the profession to yield accurate and reliable results."

Part II of the proposed order addresses the allegedly unsubstantiated claims regarding the Oreck ProShield Plus. Part II covers any representation that the Oreck ProShield Plus or any other air cleaner: (1) Reduces the risk of or prevents the flu; (2) reduces the risk of or prevents illnesses or ailments caused by bacteria, viruses, molds, or allergens, such as the common cold, asthma and allergy symptoms; (3) will eliminate all or virtually all indoor airborne particles under normal living conditions; and (4) will eliminate any percent or numerical quantity of indoor air contaminants under normal living conditions. Part II prohibits Oreck from making any of the above representations unless the representation is non-misleading and, at the time of making such representation, Oreck possesses

and relies upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that is sufficient in quality and quantity based on standards generally accepted in the relevant scientific fields, when considered in light of the entire body of relevant and reliable scientific evidence, to substantiate that the representation is true.

Part III of the proposed order prohibits respondent from making representations, other than representations covered under Parts I or II, about the absolute or comparative health benefits of any product, unless the representation is non-misleading, and, at the time of making such representation, respondent possesses and relies upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that is sufficient in quality and quantity based on standards generally accepted in the relevant scientific fields, when considered in light of the entire body of relevant and reliable scientific evidence, to substantiate that the representation is true.

Part IV of the proposed order addresses the allegedly false claims that scientific tests prove that the Oreck Halo or ProShield Plus eliminate or virtually eliminate many common germs, viruses or allergens from the user's floor or air. Part IV prohibits respondent, when advertising any product, from misrepresenting the existence, contents, validity, results, conclusions, or interpretations of any test, study, or research.

Part VI of the proposed order requires the payment of \$750,000 intended for redress to consumers. To facilitate the payment of redress, Part V of the proposed order requires Oreck to provide to the Commission a searchable electronic file containing the name and contact information of all consumers who purchased the Oreck Halo or the Oreck ProShield Plus from January 1, 2009 through August 31, 2010.

Part VII of the proposed order requires Oreck to send a letter to all of its franchisees requesting that they immediately stop using all advertising and marketing materials previously provided to them by Oreck. The required letter is appended to the proposed order as Attachment A.

Parts VIII, IX, X and XI of the proposed order require respondent to keep copies of relevant advertisements and materials substantiating claims made in the advertisements; to provide copies of the order to its personnel; to notify the Commission of changes in corporate structure that might affect compliance obligations under the order; and to file compliance reports with the Commission. Part XII provides that the

order will terminate after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify their terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-8757 Filed 4-12-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

[Document Identifier: OS-0990-0321]

30-Day Notice; Agency Information Collection Request; 30-Day Public Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HHS.

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary (OS), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of a proposed collection for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

To obtain copies of the supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, e-mail your request, including your address, phone number, OMB number, and OS document identifier, to

Sherette.funncoleman@hhs.gov, or call the Reports Clearance Office on (202) 690-5683. Send written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections within 30 days of this notice directly to the OS OMB Desk Officer; faxed to OMB at 202-395-5806.

Title: HHS Web Site Customer Satisfaction Survey—0990-0321—Reinstatement with change—Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs.