

Control Number and should be sent to: Colette Pollard, Reports Management Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., L'Enfant Building, Room 8202, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 402-3400 (this is not a toll-free number) for copies of the proposed forms and other available information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Harry Messner, Office of Asset Management, Policy and Participation Standards Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone number (202) 402-2626 (this is not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

*Title of Proposal:* Use Restriction Agreement Monitoring and Compliance.

*OMB Control Number, if applicable:* 2502-0577.

*Description of the need for the information and proposed use:* This information is necessary for HUD to ensure that owners of certain multifamily housing projects comply with use restriction requirements once the mortgage agreement is terminated. This information is also used to monitor owner compliance with the Use Restriction Agreement provisions.

*Agency form numbers, if applicable:* HUD-90060, HUD-90061, HUD-90065, HUD-90066, HUD-93140, HUD-93142, HUD-93143, HUD-93144, HUD-90067, HUD-90068, HUD-90069, HUD-90070, HUD-93150, HUD-93155, HUD-90075.

*Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of*

*respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response:* The estimated number of respondents is 590; the frequency of responses is on occasion/annual; estimated time to gather and prepare the necessary documents (combined) is 2 hours per submission, and the estimated total annual burden hours are 1,112.

*Status of the proposed information collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: April 5, 2011.

**Ronald Y. Spraker,**

*Associate General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.*

[FR Doc. 2011-8598 Filed 4-11-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5094-C-07]

### Changes to the Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS): Management Operations Scoring Notice

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** HUD published a document in the *Federal Register* of February 23, 2011, concerning request for public comments on the Management Operations interim scoring notice. The document inadvertently omitted a word with respect to the tenant accounts receivable metric.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 25, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Claudia Yarus, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Real Estate Assessment Center (REAC), 550 12th Street, SW., Suite 100, Washington, DC 20410 at 202-475-8830 (this is not a toll-free number). Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 800-877-8339. Additional information is available from the REAC Internet site at <http://www.hud.gov/offices/react/>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The proposed management operations scoring information was published on August 21, 2008 (73 FR 49575). This proposal included a metric for tenant rents collected, in which the highest

score would have been given for a successful collection rate of 97 percent of the total rent due; the intermediate score would have been given for a successful collection rate of at least 93 percent but less than 97 percent; and the lowest score would have been given for a collection rate of less than 93 percent. Stated in terms of accounts receivable, these 3 tiers of scoring would be: for the highest score, a 3 percent or .03 ratio of accounts receivable; for the intermediate score, at least 7 percent or .07 ratio of accounts receivable to less than a 3 percent or .03 ratio of accounts receivable; and for the lowest score, less than a 7 percent or .07 ratio of accounts receivable.

The interim Management Operations Scoring Notice was published on February 23, 2011 (76 FR 10050). This interim notice is effective as of March 25, 2011, and HUD is accepting public comments on this notice until April 25, 2011. In this interim notice, the same metric is stated as "tenant accounts receivable" and was intended to be adjusted slightly compared to the proposal.

The interim notice states that "A PHA will receive 5 points if it has a tenant accounts receivable ratio of less than 1.5. It will receive 2 points if it has a tenant accounts receivable ratio of equal to or greater than 1.5 and less than 2.5. It will receive zero points if it has a tenant accounts receivable ratio of equal to or greater than 2.5." (See 76 FR 10051, 3rd column). A chart immediately following this text restates the same figures. Both the paragraph and the chart inadvertently omitted the word "percent" following each of these ratios.

Taken literally on a one-year basis, a tenant accounts receivable ratio of, for example, 1.5 would mean that one-and-one half times the amount of total tenant charges (rents and other charges to the tenants) by a housing authority would be uncollected, an obvious impossibility, or, alternatively, over a 2-year basis, a PHA had failed to collect 100 percent of all tenant charges in a given year, and was still owed 50 percent of all the tenant charges from a previous year, a performance so unlikely as to be virtually impossible, and one having no reasonable relation to the proposal.

HUD submits that the language supports that it was not HUD's intention to give a high score in this metric to such a low-performing PHA even if one existed. What was meant was that 1.5 percent (or .015) of the tenant charges would be uncollected. Stated in the terms that the proposed rule used, PHAS would require PHAs to collect 98.5 percent of the rents rather than 97

percent to receive the highest score, a reasonable adjustment from the proposal. This correction properly conforms the language to the clearly intended meaning.

**II. Correction**

In the **Federal Register** of February 23, 2011, in FR Doc. 2011-2658, on page 10051, in the third column, the second full paragraph (beginning “A PHA will receive 5 points if \* \* \*”) and the subsequent chart should be corrected to add the word “percent” after the figures “1.5” and “2.5” wherever those figures occur, to read as follows:

A PHA will receive 5 points if it has a tenant accounts receivable ratio of less than 1.5 percent. It will receive 2 points if it has a tenant accounts receivable ratio of equal to or greater than 1.5 percent and less than 2.5 percent. It will receive zero points if it has a tenant accounts receivable ratio of equal to or greater than 2.5 percent.

Tenant accounts receivable value	Points
<1.5 percent .....	5
≥1.5 percent but <2.5 percent .....	2
≥2.5 percent .....	0

Dated: April 5, 2011.

**Sandra B. Henriquez,**

*Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.*

[FR Doc. 2011-8597 Filed 4-11-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement**

[Docket No. BOEM-2011-0008]

**Commercial Leasing for Wind Power on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Off Delaware, Determination of No Competitive Interest**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement (BOEMRE), Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice provides BOEMRE’s determination that no competitive interest exists in acquiring a commercial wind lease in the area offshore Delaware proposed in the January 26, 2011, Notice of Proposed Lease Area and Request for Competitive Interest (RFCI) (76 FR 4716). The location of a proposed lease area was identified through the issuance of a Request for Interest (RFI) in the **Federal Register** on April 26, 2010 (75 FR 21653).

Bluewater Wind Delaware, LLC submitted the only valid expression of commercial interest in response to the April 26, 2010, RFI and BOEMRE received no additional expressions of interest in response to the January 26, 2011, RFCI. BOEMRE will proceed with the noncompetitive lease process for the proposed lease area offshore Delaware as described in the RFCI.

This DNCI is published pursuant to subsection 8(p)(3) of the OCS Lands Act, which was added by section 388 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct) (43 U.S.C. 1337(p)(3)), and the implementing regulations at 30 CFR part 285. Subsection 8(p)(3) of the OCS Lands Act requires that OCS renewable energy leases, easements, and rights-of-way be issued “on a competitive basis unless the Secretary determines after public notice of a proposed lease, easement, or right-of-way that there is no competitive interest.” The authority to make such determinations has been delegated to BOEMRE. This DNCI provides notice to the public that BOEMRE has determined that there is no competitive interest in leasing the proposed area.

BOEMRE received public comment submissions from four parties in response to the January 26, 2011, RFCI. A discussion of these comments is found below.

**DATES:** Effective April 12, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Erin C. Trager, Projects and Coordination Branch, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement, Office of Offshore Alternative Energy Programs, 381 Elden Street, Mail Stop 4090, Herndon, Virginia 20170-4817; telephone (703) 787-1713.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Purpose of This DNCI**

This DNCI provides public notice that BOEMRE has determined that there is no competitive interest in acquiring a lease in the area described in the January 26, 2011, RFCI. Bluewater Wind Delaware, LLC submitted the only valid expression of commercial interest in response to the RFI and BOEMRE received no additional expressions of interest in response to the RFCI. As a result, no competitive interest exists in the proposed leasing area. Subsequent to the publication of this determination, BOEMRE may proceed with the noncompetitive lease process outlined at 30 CFR 285.231(d) through (i).

**Summary of Public Comments Received in Response to the January 26, 2011, RFCI**

On February 10, 2011, the comment period closed for the Delaware RFCI. BOEMRE received four responses during the public comment period, including comments from two groups representing waterway users, one marine waterway operator, and one company associated with the surf clam/ocean quahog fishing industry. Comments received in response to the RFCI are available at the following URL: <http://www.boemre.gov/offshore/RenewableEnergy/stateactivities.htm#Delaware>

Some of the comments received requested a change to the area of interest considered for leasing, and included suggestions such as identifying areas for exclusion, mitigation, or further study. Other comments suggested that BOEMRE undertake a more coordinated outreach effort with public stakeholders, to complement existing coordination efforts with government stakeholders in the BOEMRE/Delaware Renewable Energy Task Force. In addition, other comments expressed concern with navigational safety and socioeconomic impacts to the surf clam/ocean quahog fishery in the proposed lease area as a result of wind facility development.

In identifying a lease area for analysis under the National Environmental Policy Act, BOEMRE will consider excluding the area designated as a potential U.S. Coast Guard vessel anchorage area, first identified by the U.S. Coast Guard in its response to the Delaware RFI. Comments received from waterways operators in response to the Delaware RFCI indicate support for excluding a designated anchorage area from a proposed lease area to replace unofficial anchorage areas currently in use throughout the area of interest, which may be displaced by future development.

In response to concerns regarding the proposed 500-meter buffer between the proposed lease area and the adjacent Traffic Separation Scheme (TSS), BOEMRE has decided that additional information is needed to evaluate whether this proposed buffer should be widened. BOEMRE will collaborate with the U.S. Coast Guard to better quantify the amount and location of vessel activity and research ways to analyze the effects of wind energy facility infrastructure on marine vessel traffic in the area to better inform any future mitigation in a proposed lease area. If data suggest that heavy traffic transits within 0.5 nautical mile of the edge of the TSS, BOEMRE has the discretion to