

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Foreign-Trade Zones Board****[Order No. 1750]****Grant of Authority for Subzone Status; Grundfos Pumps Manufacturing Corporation (Multi-Stage Centrifugal Pumps); Allentown, PA**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for “* * * the establishment * * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes,” and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board’s regulations (15 CFR part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

Whereas, the Lehigh Valley Economic Development Corporation, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 272, has made application to the Board for authority to establish a special-purpose subzone at the multi-stage centrifugal pump manufacturing facility of Grundfos Pumps Manufacturing Corporation, located in Allentown, Pennsylvania (FTZ Docket 21–2010, filed 3–24–2010);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 15679, 3–30–2010) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner’s report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board’s regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status for activity related to the manufacturing of multi-stage centrifugal pumps at the Grundfos Pumps Manufacturing Corporation facility located in Allentown, Pennsylvania (Subzone 272A), as described in the application and **Federal Register** notice, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC this 31st day of March 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–8449 Filed 4–7–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3610–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration****[A-821-801, A-823-801]****Solid Urea From the Russian Federation and Ukraine: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders**

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 1, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the third sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on solid urea from the Russian Federation (Russia) and Ukraine, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See *Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review*, 75 FR 74685 (December 1, 2010) (*Notice of Initiation*). The Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of these orders. As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping as indicated in the “Final Results of Reviews” section of this notice.

DATES: Effective Date: April 8, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Dustin Ross or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; **telephone:** (202) 482–0747 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On December 1, 2010, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders¹ on solid urea from Russia and

Ukraine pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Notice of Initiation*.

The Department received notices of intent to participate in these sunset reviews from the domestic interested parties, the urea-producing members of the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Nitrogen Producers, CF Industries, Inc., and PCS Nitrogen Fertilizer, L.P., within the 15-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as manufacturers of a domestic like product for each proceeding.

The Department received complete substantive responses to the *Notice of Initiation* from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received no substantive responses from any respondent interested parties. In accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department is conducting expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on solid urea from Russia and Ukraine.

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to the orders is solid urea, a high-nitrogen content fertilizer which is produced by reacting ammonia with carbon dioxide. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item number 3102.10.00.00. Previously such merchandise was classified under item number 480.3000 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the orders is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Solid Urea From the Russian Federation and Ukraine” from Gary Taverman to Ronald K. Lorentzen dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memo), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion

¹ *Antidumping Duty Order; Urea From the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics*, 52 FR 26367 (July 14, 1987); *Solid Urea From the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics; Transfer of the Antidumping Duty Order on Solid Urea From the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to the Commonwealth of*

Independent States and the Baltic States and Opportunity to Comment, 57 FR 28828 (June 29, 1992).