standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule in accordance with Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f) and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions which the Coast Guard concluded do not normally have individual or cumulative significant effects on the human environment. Since the proposed action involves establishment of security zones, the applicable categorical exclusion is found in Figure 2–1, paragraph 34(g) of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this preliminary determination is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:


2. Add § 165.123 to read as follows:

§ 165.123 Cruise Ships, Sector Southeastern New England, Captain of the Port (COTP) Zone.

(a) Location. The following areas are security zones: All navigable waters within the Southeastern New England Captain of the Port (COTP) Zone, extending from the surface to the sea floor:

(1) Within a 200-yard radius of any cruise ship that is underway and is under escort of U.S. Coast Guard law enforcement personnel or designated representative, or

(2) Within a 100-yard radius of any cruise ship that is anchored, at any berth or moored.

(b) Definitions. For the purposes of this section—

“Cruise ship” means a passenger vessel as defined in 46 U.S.C. 2101(22), that is authorized to carry more than 400 passengers and is 200 or more feet in length. A cruise ship under this section will also include ferries as defined in 46 CFR 2.10–25 that are authorized to carry more than 400 passengers and are 200 feet or more in length.

“Designated representative” means any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been designated by the COTP to act on the COTP’s behalf. The designated representative may be on a Coast Guard vessel, or onboard federal, state, or a local agency vessel that is authorized to act in support of the Coast Guard.

“Southeastern New England COTP Zone” is as defined in 33 CFR 3.05–20.

(c) Enforcement. The security zones described in this section will be activated and enforced upon entry of any cruise ship into the navigable waters of the United States (see 33 CFR 2.36(a) to include the 12 NM territorial sea) in the Southeastern New England COTP zone. This zone will remain activated at all times while a cruise ship is within the navigable waters of the United States in the Sector Southeastern New England COTP Zone. In addition, the Coast Guard may broadcast the area designated as a security zone for the duration of the enforcement period via Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

(d) Regulations. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in 33 CFR part 165, subpart D, no person or vessel may enter or move within the security zones created by this section unless granted permission to do so by the COTP Southeastern New England or the designated representative.

(2) All persons and vessels granted permission to enter a security zone must comply with the instructions of the COTP or the designated representative. Emergency response vessels are authorized to move within the zone, but must abide by the restrictions imposed by the COTP or the designated representative.

(3) No person may swim upon or below the surface of the water within the boundaries of these security zones unless previously authorized by the COTP or his designated representative.

(4) Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel or the designated representative, by siren, radio, flashing light or other means, the operator of the vessel shall proceed as directed.

(5) Vessel operators desiring to enter or operate within the security zone shall contact the COTP or the designated representative via VHF channel 16 or 508–437–3211 (Sector Southeastern New England command center) to obtain permission to do so.


V.B. Gifford, Jr.,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Southeastern New England.
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DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

45 CFR Parts 1355, 1356 and 1357

Federal Monitoring of Child and Family Service Programs; Request for Public Comment and Consultation Meetings

AGENCY: Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Administration on Children, Youth and Families (ACYF), Children’s Bureau (CB)

ACTION: Request for public comment and consultation meetings.

SUMMARY: The Children’s Bureau is interested in improving the process by which we review title IV–B and IV–E plan requirements. CB currently reviews a State’s compliance through Child and Family Service Reviews (CFSRs). Following two rounds of CFSRs in every State and the passage of several amendments to Federal child welfare laws since the CFSRs began, we believe it is time to reassess how CB reviews title IV–B and IV–E programs through the CFSR and identify enhancements and system improvements we could make.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the ADDRESSES section below on or before May 20, 2011. Please see SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for additional details on consultation meetings.
Ensure conformity with Federal child welfare systems. The reviews reinforce those systems to: Assure safety for all children; to assure permanent, nurturing homes for all children; and to enhance the well-being of children and their families. The reviews reinforce these goals.

The existing CFSRs enable CB to: (1) Ensure conformity with Federal child welfare requirements; (2) determine what is actually happening to children and families as they are engaged in child welfare services; and (3) assist States to enhance their capacity to help children and families achieve positive outcomes. CB conducts the reviews in partnership with State child welfare agency staff and other stakeholders involved in the provision of child welfare services. We have structured the reviews to help States identify strengths as well as areas needing improvement within their agencies and programs.

Each CFSR is a two-stage process consisting of a Statewide Assessment and an onsite review of child and family service outcomes and program systems. For the Statewide Assessment, CB prepares and transmits data profiles that contain aggregate data on the State’s foster care and in-home service populations. The data profiles allow each State to compare certain safety and permanency data indicators with national standards determined by CB. The on-site review includes case record reviews, interviews with children and families engaged in services, and interviews with other stakeholders. States determined not to have achieved substantial conformity in all the areas assessed are required to develop and implement Program Improvement Plans (PIPs) within two years addressing the areas of nonconformity. CB supports the States with technical assistance and monitors implementation of their plans. States that are unable to complete their PIPs successfully have some of their Federal child welfare funds withheld until they are in substantial conformity or have successfully completed a PIP as prescribed in the Federal regulations.

We believe that the CFSR has been a factor contributing to increased State and local attention to child welfare practice improvement and a renewed focus on child and family outcomes and the systems supporting positive outcomes. Stakeholders have also noted that there are areas where the CFSRs could contribute to even more positive changes. To that end, we are interested in learning from stakeholders in response to the questions below how they would envision a Federal review process that meets the statutory requirements in section 1123A of the Act and holds child welfare agencies accountable for achieving positive outcomes for children and families and continuously improving the quality of their systems for doing so.

In addition to the foregoing, we would like to clarify that, although several of the questions below address Tribal involvement in Federal reviews of title IV–B and IV–E plan requirements, until regulations are in effect otherwise, Indian Tribes operating title IV–E programs of their own are not subject to CFSRs. However, Indian Tribes have participated in CFSRs in the past and Indian children are part of the CFSRs. Therefore, we are interested at this time in gaining their insight into how the process could be improved.

Questions

Please identify the question to which you are responding. If you have additional comments, please identify them by citing to the appropriate section of the regulations or review process, if appropriate:

1. How could ACF best promote and measure continuous quality improvement in child welfare outcomes and the effective functioning of systems that promote positive outcomes for children and families?

2. To what extent should data or measures from national child welfare databases (e.g., the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System, the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System) be used in a Federal monitoring process and what measures are important for State/Tribal/local accountability?

3. What role should the child welfare case management information system or systems that States/Tribes/local agencies use for case management or quality assurance purposes play in a Federal monitoring process?

4. What roles should State/Tribal/local child welfare agencies play in establishing targets for improvement and monitoring performance towards those targets? What role should other stakeholders, such as courts, clients and other child-serving agencies play?

5. In what ways should targets and performance goals be informed by and integrated with other Federal child welfare oversight efforts?

6. What specific strategies, supports, incentives, or penalties are needed to ensure continued quality improvement and achievement of positive outcomes for children and families that are in substantial conformity with Federal child welfare laws?

7. In light of the ability of Tribes to directly operate title IV–E programs through recent changes in the statute, in what ways, if any, should a Federal review process focus on services delivered to Indian children?

8. Are there examples of other review protocols, either in child welfare or related fields, in which Tribal/State/local governments participate that might inform CB’s approach to reviewing child welfare systems?
We welcome any other comments you have about Federal review of child welfare programs, including the current CFSR process.

**Additional Consultation Opportunities:** In addition to this opportunity to comment, CB plans to hold four in-person consultations in ACF Regions III, VI, VIII and IX and two meetings in our offices in Washington, DC.

CB invites State representatives, Tribal leaders and/or their representatives, judges, families and youth served by the child welfare system and other interested stakeholders to attend these in-person meetings or call in via the conference call number to provide their input on the questions raised above. Registration for the meeting/call–2: May 3, 2011, 12–2 EDT.

Register for the meeting/call of your choice by sending an e-mail to: cw@jbsinternational.com to register.

**Region III—April 20, 2011, 10:00–12:00 EDT**

150 S. Independence Mall West, Suite 864, Philadelphia, PA 19106–3499. Please send an e-mail to: cw@jbsinternational.com to register.

**Region VI—April 18, 2011, 10:00–12:00 CDT**

1301 Young Street, Room 1119, Dallas, TX 75202. Please send an e-mail to: cw@jbsinternational.com to register.

**Region VII—April 27, 2011, 10:00–12:00 MDT**

999 18th Street, South Terrace, Suite 499, Denver, CO 80202. Please send an e-mail to: cw@jbsinternational.com to register.

**Region IX—April 20, 2011, 10:30–12:30 PDT**

90 7th Street, 9th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Please send an e-mail to: cw@jbsinternational.com to register.

The Children’s Bureau is also hosting Tribal Roundtables for Tribal leaders and/or their representatives. The dates of these sessions are listed below:

- August 2–3 in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma;
- August 16–17 in Seattle, Washington; September 13–14 in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

A portion of the agenda for these roundtables will be set aside to discuss Federal monitoring of child and family services programs under titles IV–B and IV–E. The Children’s Bureau will send information directly to Tribal leaders regarding attendance at these roundtables.

Dated: March 31, 2011.

Bryan Samuels,
Commissioner, Administration on Children, Youth and Families.
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BILLING CODE 4184–25–P

---

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

47 CFR Parts 1, 17, 22, 24, 25, 27, 80, 87, and 90

[WT Docket Nos. 08–61 and 03–187; DA 11–558]

Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Invites Comment on Draft Environmental Notice Requirements and Interim Procedures Affecting the Antenna Structure Registration Program

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Bureau invites comment, pursuant to the rules of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), on draft rules and interim procedures designed to ensure that the environmental effects of proposed communications towers, including their effects on migratory birds, are fully considered prior to construction.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before May 5, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** All filings should refer to WT Docket Nos. 08–61 and 03–187. Comments may be filed using: (1) The Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS), (2) the Federal Government’s eRulemaking Portal, or (3) by filing paper copies. See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings. 63 FR 24121 (1998).

**Electronic Filers:** Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the ECFS: http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs2/or the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.

- **Paper Filers:** Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission’s Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- **All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission’s Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th St., SW., Room TW–A325, Washington, DC 20554. The filing hours are 8 a.m. to 7 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of before entering the building.**

- **Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743.**

- **U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.**

**People with Disabilities:** To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to gcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice), 202–418–0432 (tty).

Parties should send a copy of each filing to the Spectrum and Competition Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554, or by e-mail to manita.baghdadi@fcc.gov. Parties shall also serve one copy with the Commission’s copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI), Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, (202) 488–5300, or via e-mail to fcc@bcpiweb.com.

Filings and comments will be available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554. They may also be purchased from the Commission’s duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II,