EPA is also announcing that it will not be opening dockets for alternaria destruens, 1,2-dibromo-2-chloroethane, fenvaracetam, triethylhexahydrotriazine, and zucchini yellow mosaic virus-weak strain because these pesticides are not included in any products actively registered under FIFRA section 3. The Agency will take separate actions to cancel any remaining FIFRA section 24(c) Special Local Needs registrations with these active ingredients and to propose revocation of any affected tolerances that are not supported for import purposes only.

EPA is announcing the availability of an amended final work plan for the registration review of diquat dibromide. The work plan was revised to incorporate changes to the data requirements for registration review.

The revised work plan clarifies which sediment toxicity studies are needed for diquat dibromide. Additionally, the amended work plan describes the need for three new ecological studies, in addition to the studies listed in the original final work plan. The diquat dibromide amended final work plan may be found in registration review docket EPA–OPP–2009–0846, which is available on-line at http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1-registration_review/schedule.htm.

Lastly, EPA is announcing the availability of an updated registration review schedule which provides the timetable for opening dockets for the next 4 years of the program, from FY 2011 to FY 2014. EPA updates the registration review schedule at least once every year. The updated schedule and an explanation of the schedule are available on-line at http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1-registration_review/schedule.htm.

B. Docket Content

1. Review dockets. The registration review dockets contain information that the Agency may consider in the course of the registration review. The Agency may include information from its files including, but not limited to, the following information:
   • An overview of the registration review case status.
   • A list of current product registrations and registrants.
   • Federal Register notices regarding any pending registration actions.
   • Federal Register notices regarding current or pending tolerances.
   • Risk assessments.
   • Bibliographies concerning current registrations.
   • Summaries of incident data.
   • Any other pertinent data or information.

Each docket contains a document summarizing what the Agency currently knows about the pesticide case and a preliminary work plan for anticipated data and assessment needs. Additional documents provide more detailed information. During this public comment period, the Agency is asking that interested persons identify any additional information they believe the Agency should consider during the registration reviews of these pesticides. The Agency identifies in each docket the areas where public comment is specifically requested, though comment in any area is welcome.

2. Other related information. More information on these cases, including the active ingredients for each case, may be located in the registration review schedule on the Agency’s Web site at http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1-registration_review/schedule.htm.

Information on the Agency’s registration review program and its implementing regulations may be seen at http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1-registration_review.

3. Information submission requirements. Anyone may submit data or information in response to this document. To be considered during a pesticide’s registration review, the submitted data or information must meet the following requirements:
   • To ensure that EPA will consider data or information submitted, interested persons must submit the data or information during the comment period. The Agency may, at its discretion, consider data or information submitted at a later date.
   • The data or information submitted must be presented in a legible and useable form. For example, an English translation must accompany any material that is not in English and a written transcript must accompany any information submitted as an audiographic or videographic record. Written material may be submitted in paper or electronic form.
   • Submitters must clearly identify the source of any submitted data or information.
   • Submitters may request the Agency to reconsider data or information that the Agency rejected in a previous review. However, submitters must explain why they believe the Agency should reconsider the data or information in the pesticide’s registration review.

As provided in 40 CFR 155.58, the registration review docket for each pesticide case will remain publicly accessible for at least 6 months after the duration of the registration review process; that is, until all actions required in the final decision on the registration review case have been completed.

List of Subjects
Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: March 24, 2011.

Peter Caulkin,
Director, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.
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Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Request for Nominations; SAB Mercury Review Panel

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office provides notice that the SAB will form a panel to conduct an independent review of EPA’s Mercury Technical Support Document and is requesting additional public nominations of experts.

DATES: Nominations should be submitted by April 6, 2011 per instructions below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Any member of the public wishing further information regarding this Notice and Request for Nominations may contact Dr. Angela Nugent, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), SAB Staff Office, by telephone/voice mail at (202) 564–2188; by fax at (202) 565–2098 or via e-mail at nugent.angela@epa.gov. General information concerning the EPA Science Advisory Board can be found at the EPA SAB Web site at http://www.epa.gov/sab.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The SAB was established pursuant to the Environmental Research, Development, and Demonstration Authorization Act (ERDAA), codified at 42 U.S.C. 4365, to provide independent scientific and technical advice to the Administrator on the technical basis for Agency positions and regulations. The SAB is a Federal Advisory Committee chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C., App. 2.

On February 28, 2011 (76 FR 10896–10897) the EPA SAB Staff Office published a request for public nominations of experts to serve on a Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) panel to conduct
an independent review of EPA’s Mercury Office Technical Support Document. As described in that notice, the SAB Staff Office was responding to an EPA request for peer review of a March 2011 draft risk assessment for mercury, entitled Technical Support Document: National-Scale Mercury Risk Assessment Supporting the Appropriate and Necessary Finding for Coal and Oil-Fired Electric Generating Unit. This technical document was developed to support a proposed rule concerning regulation of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) released from coal-burning electric generating units in the United States (U.S. EGUs) under Section 112(n)(1)(A) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). This regulation may potentially use a Maximally Achievable Control Device (MACT) approach to set a technology-based standard for reducing HAP emissions.

The SAB Staff Office has determined that the SAB, rather than CASAC, will conduct the review. Therefore, the new panel will be formed under the authority of the SAB. Nominations of experts in response to the February 28, 2011 Federal Register Notice will be considered for the new SAB panel and the period for nominations will be extended.

Request for Nominations: The SAB Staff Office is seeking nominations of nationally and internationally recognized experts with research experience and expertise in the following disciplines, particularly related to mercury: atmospheric fate, transport and modeling; aquatic fate, transport and modeling; bioaccumulation; human exposure; epidemiology; toxicology, including reproductive and neurotoxicology, biostatistics, and risk assessment.

EPA contact for background information pertaining to this review: For questions concerning the development of EPA’s mercury assessment, on the Web site at http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/utility/pro/ hg_risk_tsd_3-17-11.pdf, please contact Dr. Zachary Pekar at (919) 541–3704 or pekar.zachary@epa.gov.

Process and Deadline for Submitting Nominations: Any interested person or organization may nominate qualified individuals in the areas of expertise described above for possible service on this expert ad hoc Panel. Nominations should be submitted in electronic format (which is preferred over hard copy) following the instructions for “Nominating Experts to Advisory Panels and Ad Hoc Committees Being Formed” provided on the SAB Web site. The instructions can be accessed through the “Nomination of Experts” link on the blue navigational bar on the SAB Web site at http://www.epa.gov/sab. To receive full consideration, nominations should include all of the information requested.

EPA’s SAB Staff Office requests: contact information about the person making the nomination; contact information about the nominee; the disciplinary and specific areas of expertise of the nominee; the nominee’s curriculum vitae; sources of recent grant and/or contract support; and a biographical sketch of the nominee indicating current position, educational background, research activities, and recent service on other national advisory committees or national professional organizations.

Persons having questions about the nomination procedures, or who are unable to submit nominations through the SAB Web site, should contact Dr. Angela Nugent, DFO, as indicated above in this notice. Nominations should be submitted in time to arrive no later than April 6, 2011 and welcomes diversity. In an effort to obtain nominations of diverse candidates, EPA encourages nominations of women and men of all racial and ethnic groups.

The EPA SAB Staff Office will acknowledge receipt of nominations. The names and biosketches of qualified nominees identified by respondents to this Federal Register notice, and additional experts identified by the SAB Staff, will be posted in a List of Candidates on the SAB Web site at http://www.epa.gov/sab. Public comments on this List of Candidates will be accepted for 21 calendar days. The public will be requested to provide relevant information or other documentation on nominees that the SAB Staff Office should consider in evaluating candidates.

For the EPA SAB Staff Office, a balanced subcommittee or review panel includes candidates who possess the necessary domains of knowledge, the relevant scientific perspectives (which, among other factors, can be influenced by work history and affiliation), and the collective breadth of experience to adequately address the charge. In the SAB Mercury Technical Support Document Review Panel, the SAB Staff Office will consider public comments on the List of candidates, information provided by the candidates themselves, and background information independently gathered by the SAB Staff Office. Selection criteria to be used for Panel membership include: (a) Scientific and/or technical expertise, knowledge, experience (primary factors); (b) availability and willingness to serve; (c) absence of financial conflicts of interest; (d) absence of an appearance of a lack of impartiality; and (e) skills working in committees, subcommittees and advisory panels; and, for the Panel as a whole, (f) diversity of expertise and viewpoints.

The SAB Staff Office’s evaluation of an absence of financial conflicts of interest will include a review of the “Confidential Financial Disclosure Form for Special Government Employees Serving on Federal Advisory Committees at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency” (EPA Form 3110–48). This confidential form allows Government officials to determine whether there is a statutory conflict between that person’s public responsibilities (which includes membership on an EPA Federal advisory committee) and private interests and activities, or the appearance of a lack of impartiality, as defined by Federal regulation. The form may be viewed and downloaded from the following URL address at http://www.epa.gov/sab/pdf/epaform3110-48.pdf.

The approved policy under which the EPA SAB Office selects subcommittees and review panels is described in the following document: Overview of the Panel Formation Process at the Environmental Protection Agency Science Advisory Board (EPA–SAB–EC–02–010), which is posted on the SAB Web site at http://www.epa.gov/sab/pdf/ec02010.pdf.

Dated: March 24, 2011.
Anthony F. Maciorowski, Deputy Director, EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office.
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FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Federal Communications Commission
Recharter and Seeks Nominations for Membership on the Communications Security, Reliability, and Interoperability Council

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) has rechartered and is seeking nominations and expressions of interest for membership on the Communications Security, Reliability, and Interoperability Council (CSRIC or Council). The Council is a Federal Advisory Committee that provides guidance and expertise on best practices...