Rescission in-Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(f)(2), the Department may rescind an NSR, in whole or in part, if: (1) At the end of the normal period of review, there has not been an entry and sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States of subject merchandise; and (2) an expansion of the normal period of review to include an entry and sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States of subject merchandise would be likely to prevent the completion of the NSR within the time limits set forth by 19 CFR 351.214(i).

Based on the record evidence, we are unable to make an affirmative determination that subject merchandise produced and exported by Fengyu actually entered the United States for consumption during the POR. Thus, in the absence of a reviewable entry, consistent with 19 CFR 351.214(f)(2)(i), we are rescinding this NSR with respect to Fengyu. Because we are rescinding this NSR with respect to Fengyu, the presumption that Fengyu is part of the PRC-wide entity will remain in effect. Therefore, effective the date of publication of these final results, entries of subject merchandise produced and exported by Fengyu will be subject to the PRC-wide cash deposit rate of 198.63 percent. See I&D Memorandum at Comment 1.

Final Results of the Review

The Department has determined that the following margin exists for the period February 1, 2009, through January 31, 2010:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERTAIN PRESERVED MUSHROOMS FROM THE PRC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Producer-exporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhangzhou Tongfa Foods Industry Co., Ltd.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment Rates

Consistent with these final results, and pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), the Department will direct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we calculated importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping margins calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any importer-specific (or costumer) assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above de minimis.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements, when imposed, will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for all shipments of subject merchandise exported by Tongfa and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For subject merchandise produced and exported by Tongfa, the cash-deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review; (2) for subject merchandise produced by Tongfa, but exported by any other party, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter; and (4) for subject merchandise produced and exported by Fengyu, the cash deposit rate continues to be the PRC-wide rate (i.e., 198.63 percent). Since the cash deposit rate calculated for Tongfa in the final results is zero or de minimis, a zero cash deposit will be required for entries of subject merchandise both produced and exported by Tongfa. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: March 18, 2011.

Kim Glas,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

Comment 1. Rescission of Review

Dated March 31, 2011.

Kim Glas,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Meeting of the Manufacturing Council

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of an open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Manufacturing Council will hold a meeting to discuss competitiveness, energy issues, export/import issues and workforce development issues affecting the U.S. manufacturing sector and to receive briefings from the Departments of Commerce, the Treasury, Labor, and Energy on their activities relating to the U.S. manufacturing sector.

DATES: April 7, 2011.

Time: 9:30 a.m.–11:30 a.m.

ADDRESSES: U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. All guests are requested to register in advance. This program will be physically accessible to people with disabilities. Seating is limited and will be on a first come, first served basis. Requests for sign language interpretation, other auxiliary aids, or pre-registration, should be submitted no later than March 31, 2011, to Jennifer Pilat, the Manufacturing Council, Room 4043, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone 202–482–4501, jennifer.pilat@trade.gov. Last minute requests will be accepted, but may be impossible to fill.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jennifer Pilat, the Manufacturing Council, Room 4043, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: 202–482–4501, e-mail: jennifer.pilat@trade.gov.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Council was re-chartered on April 8, 2010, to advise the Secretary of Commerce on matters relating to the U.S. manufacturing industry. No time will be available for oral comments from members of the public attending the meeting. Any member of the public may submit pertinent written comments concerning the Council’s affairs at any time before or after the meeting. Comments may be submitted to Jennifer Pilat at the contact information indicated above. To be considered during the meeting, comments must be received no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on March 31, 2011, to ensure transmission to the Council prior to the meeting. Comments received after that date will be distributed to the members but may not be considered at the meeting.

Copies of Council meeting minutes will be available within 90 days of the meeting.

Dated: March 18, 2011.

Jennifer Pilat,
Executive Secretary, The Manufacturing Council.

[FR Doc. 2011–6903 Filed 3–23–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–201–830]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Mexico: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: March 24, 2011.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background
On October 1, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Mexico. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order: Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 75 FR 60733 (October 1, 2010). On October 29, 2010, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Department received a timely request from Nucor Corporation (Nucor) and Cascade Steel Rolling Mills, Inc. (Cascade Steel), domestic producers of carbon wire rod, to conduct an administrative review of the sales of Aceros San Luis S.A. de C.V. (Aceros), ArcelorMittal Las Truchas, S.A. de C.V. (AMLT), DeAcero de C.V. (DeAcero), Siderurgica Lazaro Cardenas Las Truchas S.A. de C.V. (Sicartsa), and Talleres y Aceros S.A. de C.V. (Talleres). On October 29, 2010, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Department also received a timely request from ArcelorMittal USA, Inc. (ArcelorMittal),3 Gerda Ameristeel US Inc. (Gerda), and Evraz Rocky Mountain Steel (Evraz), domestic producers of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod, to conduct an administrative review of the sales of AMLT, Sicartsa, Ternium Mexico SA. de C.V. (Ternium), DeAcero, Aceros, Talleres, and Altos Hornos de Mexico S.A. de C.V. (Altos Hornos). On November 1, 2010, AMLT, a Mexican producer of the subject merchandise requested an administrative review of its exports subject to the antidumping order referenced above.

On November 29, 2010, the Department published in the Federal Register the notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review with respect to the following companies for the period October 1, 2009, through September 30, 2010: Aceros, Altos Hornos, AMLT, DeAcero, Sicartsa, Talleres, and Ternium. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 75 FR 73036 (November 29, 2010) (Initiation Notice). In the Initiation Notice, the Department stated that in the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination, based on data from CBP. See Memorandum from Eric B. Greynolds, Program Manager, to Melissa Skinner, Director, Operations, Office 3, entitled “Respondent Selection,” dated January 10, 2011. The Department selected AMLT and Sicartsa as mandatory respondents in this review.2

Scope of the Order
The merchandise subject to this order is certain hot-rolled products of carbon steel and alloy steel, in coils, of approximately round cross section, 5.00 mm or more, but less than 19.00 mm, in solid cross-sectional diameter. Specifically excluded are steel products possessing the above-noted physical characteristics and meeting the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) definitions for (a) stainless steel; (b) tool steel; (c) high nickel steel; (d) ball bearing steel; and (e) concrete reinforcing bars and rods. Also excluded are (f) free machining steel products (i.e., products that contain by weight one or more of the following elements: 0.03 percent or more of lead, 0.05 percent or more of bismuth, 0.08 percent or more of sulfur, more than 0.04 percent of phosphorus, more than 0.05 percent of selenium, or more than 0.01 percent of tellurium). Also excluded from the scope are 1080 grade tire cord quality wire rod and 1080 grade tire bead quality wire rod. This grade 1080 tire cord quality rod is defined as: (i) Grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod measuring 5.0 mm or more but not more than 6.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no non-deformable inclusions greater than 20 microns and no deformable inclusions greater than 35 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04–114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.15 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.30 mm or less with 3 or fewer breaks per ton, and (vii) containing by weight the following elements in the proportions shown: (1) 0.78 percent or more of carbon, (2) less than 0.01 percent of aluminum, (3) 0.040 percent or less, in the aggregate, of phosphorus and sulfur, (4) 0.006 percent or less of nitrogen, and (5) not more than 0.15 percent, in the aggregate, of copper, nickel, and chromium.

1 ArcelorMittal did not join in the request for a review of AMLT or Sicartsa. On February 28, 2011, ArcelorMittal withdrew its participation in this administrative review.

2 We are currently in the process of determining whether AMLT is the successor-in-interest to Sicartsa in an on-going antidumping duty changed circumstances review.