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DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

9 CFR Part 94

[Docket No. APHIS–2009–0034]

RIN 0579–AD12

Changes in Disease Status of the Brazilian State of Santa Catarina With Regard to Certain Ruminant and Swine Diseases; Technical Amendment

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule; technical amendment.

SUMMARY: In a final rule that was published in the Federal Register on November 16, 2010, and effective on December 1, 2010, we added the Brazilian State of Santa Catarina to the list of regions that are free of rinderpest, foot-and-mouth disease (FMD), African swine fever (ASF), classical swine fever (CSF), and swine vesicular disease (SVD). These are dangerous and destructive communicable diseases of swine and ruminants.

In a final rule 1 published in the Federal Register on November 16, 2010 (75 FR 69851–69857, Docket No. APHIS–2009–0034), with an effective date of December 1, 2010, we amended the regulations by adding the Brazilian State of Santa Catarina to the list in § 94.1 of regions that are free of rinderpest and FMD, the list in § 94.11 of regions that are declared to be free of rinderpest and FMD but that are subject to certain restrictions because of their proximity to or trading relationships with rinderpest or FMD-affected regions, the lists in §§ 94.4 and 94.10 of regions that are free of CSF, and the list in § 94.12 of regions that are free of SVD. We also excluded Santa Catarina from the list in § 94.8 of regions where ASF is known to or reasonably believed to exist.

Section 94.13 of the regulations lists regions of the world that have been determined to be free of SVD, but that are subject to certain restrictions because of their proximity to or trading relationships with SVD-affected regions. Section 94.25 of the regulations lists regions of the world that have been determined to be free of CSF, but that are subject to certain restrictions because of their proximity to or trading relationships with CSF-affected regions.

Because we have not declared the rest of Brazil to be free of SVD or CSF, the importation of pork and pork products into the United States from Santa Catarina since the final rule became effective.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 94

Animal diseases, Imports, Livestock, Meat and meat products, Milk, Poultry, and poultry products, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, we are amending 9 CFR part 94 as follows:

§ 94.25 of regions that are subject to certain import restriction on pork and pork products because of their proximity to or trading relationships with SVD- and CSF-affected countries. Therefore, this document amends § 94.13, introductory text, and § 94.25, paragraph (a), to add Santa Catarina to those lists.

USDA’s Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is responsible for regulating the importation of meat and meat products to ensure that they are safe for human consumption. Individual meat exporting establishments must be certified to FSIS in order to be eligible to export meat and meat products to the United States. FSIS has not certified any such establishments in Santa Catarina, and therefore no pork or pork products have been imported into the United States from Santa Catarina since the final rule became effective.

1 To view the final rule and related documents, go to http://www.regulations.gov/fdmspublic/component/main?main=DocketDetail&rId=APHIS–2009–0034.

\footnote{To view the final rule and related documents, go to http://www.regulations.gov/fdmspublic/component/main?main=DocketDetail&rId=APHIS–2009–0034.}
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration
14 CFR Part 129
RIN 2120–AJ45
Operations Specifications; Correction
AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.
ACTION: Final rule; correction.
SUMMARY: The FAA is correcting a final rule published on February 10, 2011 (76 FR 7482). In that rule, the FAA amended its regulations to clarify and standardize the rules for applications by foreign air carriers and foreign persons for part 129 operations specifications and establish new standards for amendment, suspension, and termination of those operations specifications. This document corrects errors in the codified text of that document.
DATES: The final rule and this correction will become effective on April 11, 2011.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical questions contact Darcy D. Reed, International Programs and Policy Division, AFS–50, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; e-mail: Darcy.D.Reed@faa.gov; Telephone: 202–385–8078. For legal questions contact Lorna John, Office of the Chief Counsel, Regulations Division, AGC–200, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; e-mail: Lorna.John@faa.gov; Telephone: 202–267–3921.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Background
In that final rule the FAA revised its regulations to clarify and standardize the rules for applications by foreign air carriers and foreign persons for part 129 operations specifications and establish new standards for amendment, suspension, and termination of those operations specifications. As part of the amendment, the FAA added new § 129.9(a)(2) and (b)(2) concerning the designation of an agent for service. The DATES section correctly listed the compliance date for § 129.9(a)(2) and (b)(2) as February 10, 2012. Section 129.9(a)(2) and (b)(2) in the regulatory text incorrectly stated that the compliance date was “Within 1 year after February 10, 2012.”
Correction
In FR Doc. 2011–2834, beginning on page 7484 in the Federal Register of February 10, 2011, make the following corrections:
Corrections to Regulatory Text
§ 129.9 [Corrected]
1. On page 7489, in the third column, in § 129.9(a)(2) and (b)(2), remove the words “February 10, 2012” and add in their place the words “February 10, 2011”.
Issued in Washington, DC, on March 15, 2011.
Pamela Hamilton-Powell,
Director, Office of Rulemaking.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
28 CFR Part 0
[Tax Division Directive No. 139]
Redelegation of Authority to Compromise and Close Civil Claims
AGENCY: Department of Justice.
ACTION: Final rule.
SUMMARY: This Tax Division directive delegates to a Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General all of the Assistant Attorney General’s authority to compromise and close civil claims, except when such action is opposed by the client agency or agencies. This Tax Division directive further delegates settlement authority of the Chiefs of the Civil Trial Sections, the Court of Federal Claims Section, the Appellate Section, the Office of Review, and the Deputy Assistant Attorneys General, to compromise and close civil claims. In addition, this directive allows discretionary redelegation of limited authority by a Section Chief to his or her Assistant Chiefs and Reviewers. This directive supersedes Directive No. 135.
DATES: Effective Date: March 21, 2011.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Meland, Tax Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, (202) 307–6567.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule relates to internal agency management. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, notice of proposed rulemaking and opportunity for comment are not required, and this rule may be made effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. This regulation does not have an impact on small entities and, therefore, is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act. This action pertains to agency management, personnel and organization and does not substantially affect the rights or obligations of non-agency parties and, accordingly, is not a “rule” as that term is used by the Congressional Review Act (Subtitle E of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA)). Therefore, the reporting requirement of 5 U.S.C. 801 does not apply.
List of Subjects in 28 CFR Part 0
Authority delegations (Government agencies), Government employees, Organization and functions (Government agencies).
Accordingly, 28 CFR part 0 is amended as follows:
PART 0—ORGANIZATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
1. The authority citation for part 0 continues to read as follows:
2. The Appendix to subpart Y of part 0 is amended by removing Tax Division Directive No. 135 and adding in its place Tax Division Directive No. 139, to read as follows:
Appendix to Subpart Y of Part 0—Redelegations of Authority To Compromise and Close Civil Claims
* * * * *
[Tax Division Directive No. 139]
By virtue of the authority vested in me by Part 0 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, particularly Sections 0.70, 0.160, 0.162, 0.164, 0.165, and 0.168, it is hereby ordered as follows:
Section 1. The Chiefs of the Civil Trial Sections, the Court of Federal Claims Section, and the Appellate Section are authorized to reject offers in compromise, regardless of amount, provided that such action is not opposed by the agency or agencies involved.