confine your comments to issues for which we seek comments in this notice, and explain the basis for your comments. Include sufficient information with your comments to allow us to authenticate any scientific or commercial data you include.

The comments and recommendations that will be most useful and likely to influence agency decisions are: (1) Those supported by quantitative information or studies; and (2) Those that include citations to, and analyses of, the applicable laws and regulations. We will not consider or include in our administrative record comments we receive after the close of the comment period (see DATES) or comments delivered to an address other than those listed above (see ADDRESSES).

B. May I review comments submitted by others?

Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the address listed under ADDRESSES. The public may review documents and other information applicants have sent in support of the application unless our allowing viewing would violate the Privacy Act or Freedom of Information Act. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

II. Background

To help us carry out our conservation responsibilities for affected species, the Endangered Species Act of 1973, section 10(a)(1)(A), as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), require that we invite public comment before final action on these permit applications.

III. Permit Applications

A. Endangered Species

Applicant: Tom Stehn, Whooping Crane Recovery Plan Coordinator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 2, Austin, TX, PRT–013808

The applicant requests renewal of a permit to import captive-bred/captive-hatched and wild live specimens, captive-bred/wild-collected viable eggs, biological samples from captive-bred/wild specimens, and salvaged materials from captive-bred/wild specimens of whooping cranes (Grus americana) from Canada, for completion of identified tasks and objectives mandated under the Whooping Crane Recovery Plan. Salvage materials may include, but are not limited to, whole or partial specimens, feathers, eggs and egg shell fragments. This notification covers activities to be conducted by the applicant over a 5-year period.

Applicant: Rosamond Gifford Zoo at Burnet Park, Syracuse, NY; PRT–28295A

The applicant requests a permit to re-import two captive born female Asian elephants (Elephas maximus) and one captive born male Asian elephant from African Lion Safari and Game Farm, Ontario, Canada for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

Applicant: Ronald Grubbs, Cuthbert, GA; PRT–37429A

The applicant requests a permit to import a sport-hunted trophy of one male bontebok (Damaliscus pygargus pygargus) culled from a captive herd maintained under the management program of the Republic of South Africa, for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

Dated: March 11, 2011.

Brenda Tapia,
Program Analyst/Data Administrator, Branch of Permits, Division of Management Authority.
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Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision for the One Nevada Transmission Line (ON Line) Project, Nevada

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD) to authorize the granting of Rights-of-Way (ROW) for the construction of the One Nevada Transmission Line (ON Line) Project. Lands described in the ROW authorizations are located within the BLM Ely District Office’s management area in White Pine, Nye, and Lincoln counties, Nevada; and the BLM Southern Nevada District in Clark County, Nevada. The Ely District Office was designated the project lead by the BLM Nevada State Director. The Ely District Manager has signed the ROD, which constitutes the final decision of the BLM. The appeal period for this decision will end 30 days after publication of this notice in accordance with 43 CFR 4.411(a).

ADDRESSES: Printed copies of the ROD or electronic files on compact disk are available upon request from the Field Manager, Egan Field Office, Bureau of...
Land Management, HC 33 Box 33500, Ely, Nevada 89301. The ROD is also available at the following Web site: http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/ely_field_office. Printed copies of the ROD are available for public inspection at several locations listed in the
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this notice.  
A limited number of copies of the document will be available at the following BLM offices:
—Las Vegas District Office, 4701 North Torrey Pines, Las Vegas, Nevada;
—Ely District Office, 702 North Industrial Way, Ely, Nevada; and
—Nevada State Office, 1340 Financial Boulevard, Reno, Nevada.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Doris Metcalf, telephone: (775) 289–1852, or e-mail: doris_metcalf@blm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Printed copies of the ROD are available for public inspection at the following locations:
—University of Nevada–Reno, Getchell Library, Government Publication Dept., Reno, Nevada;
—Washoe County Library, 301 South Center Street, Reno, Nevada;
—White Pine County Library, 950 Campton Street, Ely, Nevada;
—Nye County Library, 167 S. Central Street, Tonopah, Nevada;
—Lincoln County Library, 63 Main Street, Pioche, Nevada; and
—Clark County Library, 1401 E. Flamingo Rd., Las Vegas, Nevada.

The main issues addressed in the Final EIS were visual resources, biological resources, lands with wilderness characteristics, and socioeconomic effects. Three alternatives were analyzed in the Final EIS: (1) The Proposed Action, in which the power line would be located largely within West-Wide Energy Corridors; (2) an alternative alignment within the corridors; and (3) no Action, which would be to not authorize the ROW.

After careful consideration of many factors, including the need to provide electricity to the Western United States and strengthening and stabilizing the economies of White Pine, Nye, Lincoln, and Clark Counties, and balancing those factors with the need to protect air, visual and biological resources, the BLM has selected the agency preferred alternative. The BLM’s preferred alternative will approve all of the facilities described in the Proposed Action, including the transmission-line route, except the R–SS–Site B sub-alternative, including the access road and Falcon-Gondor loop-ins will replace the Robinson Summit Substation components. Various site-specific applicant-committed mitigation measures will be implemented at the development stage to protect other resources and uses.

Comments on the Online Draft Supplemental EIS received from the public, cooperating agencies and internal BLM reviewers were incorporated into the Final EIS. The comments resulted in corrections, clarifying text, and the addition of new text used in the analysis of impacts. The Online Final EIS addresses impacts of the power line on private lands and those administered by the BLM.

This decision is subject to appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals (IBLA) as provided in 43 CFR part 4, within 30 days from the date of publication of this Notice of Availability in the Federal Register. The ROD contains instructions for filing an appeal with the IBLA.

The ROD for this project addresses only BLM’s decisions for public lands and resources administered by BLM.

Rosemary Thomas,
District Manager, Ely District Office.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Antitrust Division

United States v. GrafTech International Ltd. and Seadrift Coke, L.P.; Public Comments and Response on Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h) (“APPA” or “Tunney Act”), the United States hereby responds to the public comment received regarding the proposed Final Judgment in this case. After careful consideration of the comment submitted, the United States continues to believe that the proposed Final Judgment will provide an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violations alleged in the Complaint. The United States will move the Court for entry of the proposed Final Judgment after the public comment and this response have been published in the Federal Register, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 16(d).

The United States filed a civil antitrust complaint on November 29, 2010, seeking to enjoin GrafTech International Ltd.’s (“GrafTech”) proposed acquisition of Seadrift Coke L.P. (“Seadrift”). The Complaint alleged that the acquisition likely would substantially lessen competition in the worldwide sale of petroleum needle coke used to manufacture graphite electrodes, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. That loss of competition likely would result in higher prices, reduced output and less favorable terms of sale in the global petroleum needle coke market.

Simultaneously with the filing of the Complaint, the United States filed a proposed Final Judgment, which is designed to remedy the expected anticompetitive effects of the acquisition, and a Stipulation signed by the plaintiffs and the defendants, consenting to the entry of the proposed Final Judgment after compliance with the requirements of the Tunney Act, 15 U.S.C. 16. Pursuant to those