

of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);

- Do not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Are certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- Do not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- Do not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Are not economically significant regulatory actions based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- Are not significant regulatory actions subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- Are not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and

- Do not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, these actions do not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing these actions and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect

until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 17, 2011. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (*See* section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: March 8, 2011.

#### H. Curtis Spalding,

*Regional Administrator, EPA New England.*

Part 52 of chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

*Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart EE—New Hampshire

■ 2. Section 52.1534 is amended by adding paragraph (e) to read as follows:

##### § 52.1534 Control strategy: Ozone.

\* \* \* \* \*

(e) Determination of Attainment. Effective April 18, 2011, EPA is determining that the Boston-Manchester-Portsmouth (SE), New Hampshire 8-hour ozone nonattainment area has attained the 1997 8-hour ozone standard based on 2007–2009 monitoring data. Under the provisions of EPA's ozone implementation rule (*see* 40 CFR 51.918), this determination suspends the reasonable further progress and attainment demonstration requirements of section 182(b)(1) and related requirements of section 172(c)(9) of the Clean Air Act for as long as the area does not monitor any violations of the 1997 8-hour ozone standard. If a violation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS is monitored in the Boston-Manchester-Portsmouth (SE), New Hampshire 8-

hour ozone nonattainment area, this determination shall no longer apply. In addition, this area met its June 15, 2010 attainment deadline for the 1997 ozone standard.

[FR Doc. 2011–6306 Filed 3–17–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 63

[EPA–R09–OAR–2011–0213; FRL–9283–4]

### Delegation of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories; State of Arizona, Maricopa County Air Quality Department; State of California, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is amending certain regulations to reflect the current delegation status of national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) in Arizona and California. Several NESHAP were delegated to the Maricopa County Air Quality Department and the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District within the past 12 months. The purpose of this action is to update the listing in the Code of Federal Regulations.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on May 17, 2011 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by April 18, 2011. If we receive such comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** to notify the public that this direct final rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA–R09–OAR–2011–0213, by one of the following methods:

1. *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions.

2. *E-mail:* [steckel.andrew@epa.gov](mailto:steckel.andrew@epa.gov).

3. *Mail or delivery:* Andrew Steckel (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

*Instructions:* All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that

you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail. <http://www.regulations.gov> is an “anonymous access” system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

**Docket:** The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov> and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mae Wang, EPA Region IX, (415) 947-4124, [wang.mae@epa.gov](mailto:wang.mae@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. Background
  - A. Delegation of NESHAP
  - B. Maricopa County Delegations
  - C. Santa Barbara County Delegations
- II. EPA Action
  - A. Maricopa County
  - B. Santa Barbara County
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. Background**

**A. Delegation of NESHAP**

Section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA), authorizes EPA to delegate to State or local air pollution control agencies the authority to implement and enforce the standards set out in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40 (40 CFR), Part 63, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories. On November 26, 1993, EPA promulgated regulations, codified at 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart E (hereinafter referred to as “Subpart E”), establishing procedures for EPA’s approval of State rules or programs under section 112(l)

(see 58 FR 62262). Subpart E was later amended on September 14, 2000 (see 65 FR 55810).

Any request for approval under CAA section 112(l) must meet the approval criteria in 112(l)(5) and Subpart E. To streamline the approval process for future applications, a State or local agency may submit a one-time demonstration that it has adequate authorities and resources to implement and enforce any CAA section 112 standards. If such demonstration is approved, then the State or local agency would no longer need to resubmit a demonstration of these same authorities and resources for every subsequent request for delegation of CAA section 112 standards. However, EPA maintains the authority to withdraw its approval if the State does not adequately implement or enforce an approved rule or program.

**B. Maricopa County Delegations**

On March 2, 2000, EPA published a direct final action delegating to the Maricopa County Air Quality Department (Maricopa County) several NESHAP and approving Maricopa County’s delegation mechanism for future standards (see 65 FR 11231). That action explained the procedure for EPA to grant future delegations to Maricopa County by letter, with periodic **Federal Register** listings of standards that have been delegated. On March 5, 2010, and April 5, 2010, Maricopa County requested delegation of the following NESHAP contained in 40 CFR Part 63:

- Subpart WWWW—National Emission Standards for Hospital Ethylene Oxide Sterilizers
- Subpart YYYYY—NESHAP for Area Sources: Electric Arc Furnace Steelmaking Facilities
- Subpart ZZZZZ—NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundries Area Sources
- Subpart BBBB—NESHAP for Source Category: Gasoline Distribution Bulk Terminals, Bulk Plants, and Pipeline Facilities
- Subpart CCCCC—NESHAP for Source Category: Gasoline Dispensing Facilities
- Subpart DDDDD—NESHAP for Polyvinyl Chloride and Copolymers Production Area Sources
- Subpart EEEEE—NESHAP for Primary Copper Smelting Area Sources
- Subpart FFFFF—NESHAP for Secondary Copper Smelting Area Sources
- Subpart GGGGG—NESHAP for Primary Nonferrous Metals Area Sources—Zinc, Cadmium, and Beryllium

- Subpart HHHHH—NESHAP: Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations at Area Sources
- Subpart LLLLL—NESHAP for Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers Production Area Sources
- Subpart MMMMM—NESHAP for Carbon Black Production Area Sources
- Subpart NNNNN—NESHAP for Chemical Manufacturing Area Sources: Chromium Compounds
- Subpart OOOOO—NESHAP for Flexible Polyurethane Foam Production and Fabrication Area Sources
- Subpart PTTTT—NESHAP for Lead Acid Battery Manufacturing Area Sources
- Subpart QQQQQ—NESHAP for Wood Preserving Area Sources
- Subpart RRRRR—NESHAP for Clay Ceramics Manufacturing Area Sources
- Subpart SSSSS—NESHAP for Glass Manufacturing Area Sources
- Subpart TTTTTT—NESHAP for Secondary Nonferrous Metals Processing Area Sources

On May 6, 2010, EPA granted delegation to Maricopa County for these NESHAP, along with any amendments to previously-delegated NESHAP, as of July 1, 2008. Subsequently, on October 7, 2010, Maricopa County requested delegation of the following NESHAP contained in 40 CFR Part 63:

- Subpart WWWW—NESHAP: Area Source Standards for Plating and Polishing Operations
- Subpart XXXXX—NESHAP Area Source Standards for Nine Metal Fabrication and Finishing Source Categories
- Subpart YYYYYY—NESHAP for Area Sources: Ferrous Production Facilities
- Subpart ZZZZZ—NESHAP: Area Source Standards for Aluminum, Copper, and Other Nonferrous Foundries

On December 14, 2010, EPA granted delegation to Maricopa County for these NESHAP, along with any amendments to previously-delegated NESHAP, as of July 1, 2009. Today’s action is serving to notify the public of the May 6, 2010, and December 14, 2010, delegations and to codify these delegations into the Code of Federal Regulations.

**C. Santa Barbara County Delegations**

On October 6, 2003, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) submitted on behalf of nine California districts a request for delegation of all Federal section 112 standards that apply to area sources, with the exception of the dry cleaning and chromium electroplating

standards for which State or local rules have already been approved (*see* 61 FR 25397 and 64 FR 12762). This request was approved on December 19, 2003 (*see* 68 FR 70726). In that approval, it was explained that future requests by other districts could be approved by letter, followed by a **Federal Register** notice to codify the delegations into the CFR.

On April 1, 2010, the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (Santa Barbara County) asked CARB to make a delegation request on their behalf for CAA section 112 area source standards. CARB submitted the request on behalf of the district on May 20, 2010. On July 30, 2010, EPA Region IX approved this request by letter, granting the Santa Barbara County the authority to implement and enforce existing area source standards unchanged as promulgated by EPA.

## II. EPA Action

### A. Maricopa County

Today's document serves to notify the public of the delegation of NESHAP to Maricopa County on May 6, 2010, and December 14, 2010. Today's action will codify these delegations into the CFR.

### B. Santa Barbara County

This document serves to notify the public that, with the exception of the dry cleaning and chromium electroplating standards, EPA granted delegation of unchanged Federal section 112 area source standards to Santa Barbara County on July 30, 2010. Today's action will codify these delegations into the CFR. Santa Barbara County will also receive delegation of any future area source standards or revisions 90 days after promulgation of these standards or revisions, unless the district chooses to decline delegation of a particular future standard by notifying the EPA Region IX office in writing. If no such notification is received, the delegation will go into effect 90 days after promulgation of the standard or revision, without any additional action from the district or EPA. Additionally, as mentioned in 74 FR 12591 (March 25, 2009), and pursuant to 40 CFR sections 63.9(a)(4)(ii) and 63.10(a)(4)(ii), EPA Region IX waives the requirement that notifications or reports for delegated area source standards be submitted to EPA as well as Santa Barbara County.

## III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve delegation requests that comply with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations.

42 U.S.C. 7412(l); 40 CFR 63.91(b). Thus, in reviewing delegation submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address disproportionate human health or environmental effects with practical, appropriate, and legally permissible methods under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have Tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the delegations are not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a

copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 17, 2011. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. Parties with objections to this direct final rule are encouraged to file a comment in response to the parallel notice of proposed rulemaking for this action published in the Proposed Rules section of today's **Federal Register**, rather than file an immediate petition for judicial review of this direct final rule, so that EPA can withdraw this direct final rule and address the comment in the proposed rulemaking. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (*see* section 307(b)(2)).

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** This action is issued under the authority of Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7412.

Dated: March 3, 2011.

**Deborah Jordan,**

*Director, Air Division, Region IX.*

Title 40, chapter I, part 63 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

### PART 63—[AMENDED]

- 1. The authority citation for Part 63 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.*

**Subpart E—Approval of State Programs and Delegation of Federal Authorities**

■ 2. Section 63.99 is amended as follows:

- a. By revising the table in paragraph (a)(3)(i);
- b. By revising paragraphs (a)(5)(i)(B)(11) and (12); and
- c. By adding paragraph (a)(5)(i)(B)(13).

**§ 63.99 Delegated Federal authorities.**

- (a) \* \* \*
- (3) \* \* \*
- (i) \* \* \*

**DELEGATION STATUS FOR PART 63 STANDARDS—ARIZONA**

Subpart	Description	ADEQ <sup>1</sup>	MCAQD <sup>2</sup>	PDEQ <sup>3</sup>	PCAQCD <sup>4</sup>
A	General Provisions	X	X	X	X
F	Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry	X	X	X	X
G	Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry: Process Vents, Storage Vessels, Transfer Operations, and Wastewater.	X	X	X	X
H	Organic Hazardous Air Pollutants: Equipment Leaks	X	X	X	X
I	Organic Hazardous Air Pollutants: Certain Processes Subject to the Negotiated Regulation for Equipment Leaks.	X	X	X	X
J	Polyvinyl Chloride and Copolymers Production	X	X	X	
L	Coke Oven Batteries	X	X	X	X
M	Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning	X	X	X	X
N	Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anodizing Tanks.	X	X	X	X
O	Ethylene Oxide Sterilization Facilities	X	X	X	X
Q	Industrial Process Cooling Towers	X	X	X	X
R	Gasoline Distribution Facilities	X	X	X	X
S	Pulp and Paper	X	X	X	
T	Halogenated Solvent Cleaning	X	X	X	X
U	Group I Polymers and Resins	X	X	X	X
W	Epoxy Resins Production and Non-Nylon Polyamides Production	X	X	X	X
X	Secondary Lead Smelting	X	X	X	X
Y	Marine Tank Vessel Loading Operations				
AA	Phosphoric Acid Manufacturing Plants	X	X	X	
BB	Phosphate Fertilizers Production Plants	X	X	X	
CC	Petroleum Refineries	X	X	X	X
DD	Off-Site Waste and Recovery Operations	X	X	X	X
EE	Magnetic Tape Manufacturing Operations	X	X	X	X
GG	Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities	X	X	X	X
HH	Oil and Natural Gas Production Facilities	X	X	X	
JJ	Wood Furniture Manufacturing Operations	X	X	X	X
KK	Printing and Publishing Industry	X	X	X	X
LL	Primary Aluminum Reduction Plants	X		X	
MM	Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills.	X	X	X	
OO	Tanks—Level 1	X	X	X	X
PP	Containers	X	X	X	X
QQ	Surface Impoundments	X	X	X	X
RR	Individual Drain Systems	X	X	X	X
SS	Closed Vent Systems, Control Devices, Recovery Devices and Routing to a Fuel Gas System or a Process.	X	X	X	
TT	Equipment Leaks—Control Level 1	X	X	X	
UU	Equipment Leaks—Control Level 2	X	X	X	
VV	Oil-Water Separators and Organic-Water Separators	X	X	X	X
WW	Storage Vessels (Tanks)—Control Level 2	X	X	X	
XX	Ethylene Manufacturing Process Units: Heat Exchange Systems and Waste Operations.	X	X	X	
YY	Generic MACT Standards	X	X	X	
CCC	Steel Pickling	X	X	X	
DDD	Mineral Wool Production	X	X	X	
EEE	Hazardous Waste Combustors	X	X	X	
GGG	Pharmaceuticals Production	X	X	X	
HHH	Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Facilities	X	X	X	
III	Flexible Polyurethane Foam Production	X	X	X	
JJJ	Group IV Polymers and Resins	X	X	X	X
LLL	Portland Cement Manufacturing Industry	X	X	X	
MMM	Pesticide Active Ingredient Production	X	X	X	
NNN	Wool Fiberglass Manufacturing	X	X	X	
OOO	Manufacture of Amino/Phenolic Resins	X	X	X	
PPP	Polyether Polyols Production	X	X	X	
QQQ	Primary Copper Smelting	X	X	X	
RRR	Secondary Aluminum Production	X	X	X	
TTT	Primary Lead Smelting	X	X	X	
UUU	Petroleum Refineries: Catalytic Cracking, Catalytic Reforming, and Sulfur Recovery Units.	X	X	X	
VVV	Publicly Owned Treatment Works	X	X	X	
XXX	Ferroalloys Production	X	X	X	

## DELEGATION STATUS FOR PART 63 STANDARDS—ARIZONA—Continued

Subpart	Description	ADEQ <sup>1</sup>	MCAQD <sup>2</sup>	PDEQ <sup>3</sup>	PCAQCD <sup>4</sup>
AAAA	Municipal Solid Waste Landfills	X	X	X	
CCCC	Manufacturing of Nutritional Yeast	X	X	X	
DDDD	Plywood and Composite Wood Products	X	X	X	
EEEE	Organic Liquids Distribution (non-gasoline)	X	X	X	
FFFF	Miscellaneous Organic Chemical Manufacturing	X	X	X	
GGGG	Solvent Extraction for Vegetable Oil Production	X	X	X	
HHHH	Wet-Formed Fiberglass Mat Production	X	X	X	
IIII	Surface Coating of Automobiles and Light-Duty Trucks	X	X		
JJJJ	Paper and Other Web Coating	X	X	X	
KKKK	Surface Coating of Metal Cans	X	X	X	
MMMM	Miscellaneous Metal Parts and Products	X	X	X	
NNNN	Large Appliances	X	X	X	
OOOO	Printing, Coating, and Dyeing of Fabrics and Other Textiles	X	X	X	
PPPP	Surface Coating of Plastic Parts and Products	X	X		
QQQQ	Wood Building Products	X	X	X	
RRRR	Surface Coating of Metal Furniture	X	X	X	
SSSS	Surface Coating of Metal Coil	X	X	X	
TTTT	Leather Finishing Operations	X	X	X	
UUUU	Cellulose Products Manufacturing	X	X	X	
VVVV	Boat Manufacturing	X	X	X	
WWWW	Reinforced Plastics Composites Production	X	X	X	
XXXX	Tire Manufacturing	X	X	X	
YYYY	Stationary Combustion Turbines	X	X	X	
ZZZZ	Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines	X	X		
AAAAA	Lime Manufacturing Plants	X	X	X	
BBBBB	Semiconductor Manufacturing	X	X	X	
CCCCC	Coke Oven: Pushing, Quenching and Battery Stacks	X	X	X	
DDDDD	Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boiler and Process Heaters.	X	X		
EEEEE	Iron and Steel Foundries	X	X	X	
FFFFF	Integrated Iron and Steel	X	X	X	
GGGGG	Site Remediation	X	X	X	
HHHHH	Miscellaneous Coating Manufacturing	X	X	X	
IIIII	Mercury Emissions from Mercury Cell Chlor-Alkali Plants	X	X	X	
JJJJJ	Brick and Structural Clay Products Manufacturing	X	X	X	
KKKKK	Clay Ceramics Manufacturing	X	X	X	
LLLLL	Asphalt Roofing and Processing	X	X	X	
MMMMM	Flexible Polyurethane Foam Fabrication Operation	X	X	X	
NNNNN	Hydrochloric Acid Production	X	X	X	
PPPPP	Engine Test Cells/Stands	X	X	X	
QQQQQ	Friction Products Manufacturing	X	X	X	
RRRRR	Taconite Iron Ore Processing	X	X	X	
SSSSS	Refractory Products Manufacturing	X	X	X	
TTTTT	Primary Magnesium Refining	X	X	X	
WWWWW	Hospital Ethylene Oxide Sterilizers		X	X	
YYYYY	Area Sources: Electric Arc Furnace Steelmaking Facilities		X	X	
ZZZZZ	Iron and Steel Foundries Area Sources		X	X	
BBBBBB	Gasoline Distribution Bulk Terminals, Bulk Plants, and Pipeline Facilities.		X	X	
CCCCCC	Gasoline Dispensing Facilities		X	X	
DDDDDD	Polyvinyl Chloride and Copolymers Production Area Sources		X	X	
EEEEEE	Primary Copper Smelting Area Sources		X	X	
FFFFFF	Secondary Copper Smelting Area Sources		X	X	
GGGGGG	Primary Nonferrous Metals Area Sources—Zinc, Cadmium, and Beryllium.		X	X	
HHHHHH	Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations at Area Sources.		X	X	
LLLLLL	Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers Production Area Sources		X	X	
MMMMMM	Carbon Black Production Area Sources		X	X	
NNNNNN	Chemical Manufacturing Area Sources: Chromium Compounds		X	X	
OOOOOO	Flexible Polyurethane Foam Production and Fabrication Area Sources.		X	X	
PPPPPP	Lead Acid Battery Manufacturing Area Sources		X	X	
QQQQQQ	Wood Preserving Area Sources		X	X	
RRRRRR	Clay Ceramics Manufacturing Area Sources		X	X	
SSSSSS	Glass Manufacturing Area Sources		X	X	
TTTTTT	Secondary Nonferrous Metals Processing Area Sources		X	X	
WWWWWW	Area Source Standards for Plating and Polishing Operations		X		
XXXXXX	Area Source Standards for Nine Metal Fabrication and Finishing Source Categories.		X		
YYYYYY	Area Sources: Ferroalloys Production Facilities		X		

DELEGATION STATUS FOR PART 63 STANDARDS—ARIZONA—Continued

Subpart	Description	ADEQ <sup>1</sup>	MCAQD <sup>2</sup>	PDEQ <sup>3</sup>	PCAQCD <sup>4</sup>
ZZZZZ .....	Area Source Standards for Aluminum, Copper, and Other Non-ferrous Foundries.	.....	X	.....	.....

<sup>1</sup> Arizona Department of Environmental Quality.  
<sup>2</sup> Maricopa County Air Quality Department.  
<sup>3</sup> Pima County Department of Environmental Quality.  
<sup>4</sup> Pinal County Air Quality Control District.

(5) \* \* \*  
 (i) \* \* \*  
 (B) \* \* \*  
 (11) Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District.  
 (12) Ventura County Air Pollution Control District.  
 (13) Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District.  
 \* \* \* \* \*  
 [FR Doc. 2011-6425 Filed 3-17-11; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 81**  
**[EPA-HQ-OAR-2007-0562; EPA-HQ-OAR-2010-0163; FRL-9261-3]**  
**RIN-2060-AQ30**

**Additional Air Quality Designations for the 2006 24-Hour Fine Particle National Ambient Air Quality Standards, 110(k)(6) Correction and Technical Correction Related to Prior Designation, and Decisions Related to the 1997 Air Quality Designations and Classifications for the Annual Fine Particles National Ambient Air Quality Standards**

*Correction*  
 In rule document 2011-2269 appearing on pages 6056-6066 in the issue of Thursday, February 3, 2011, make the following corrections:

- § 81.303 [Table Corrected]**
- 1. On page 6064, in the table for Arizona, in the last row, in the last column labeled "Type", "Unclassifiable/Attainment" should read ".....".
  - 2. On page 6065, in the table for Arizona, in the first row, in the last column labeled "Type", "Unclassifiable/Attainment" should read ".....".
- [FR Doc. C1-2011-2269 Filed 3-17-11; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 1505-01-D**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 98**  
**[EPA-HQ-OAR-2011-0191; FRL-9283-7]**  
**RIN 2060-AQ87**

**Final Regulation Extending the Reporting Deadline for Year 2010 Data Elements Required Under the Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases Rule**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).  
**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is promulgating this final rule to extend until September 30, 2011 the reporting deadline for year 2010 data required under the Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases Rule. This deadline extension will, in the first year of the Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program, allow time for needed refinement of the electronic data reporting system, stakeholder testing of the reporting system and feedback to EPA, and reporter access to the reporting system in advance of the reporting deadline. This rule changes only the deadline for reporting for 2011; it does not change the reporting deadline for future years and does not change what data must be reported.  
**DATES:** This final rule is effective on March 18, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** *Docket:* All documents in the docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy at the Air Docket, EPA/DC, EPA West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. This Docket Facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. The

telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Air Docket is (202) 566-1742.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carole Cook, Climate Change Division, Office of Atmospheric Programs (MC-6207), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 343-9263; fax number: (202) 343-2342; e-mail address: [GHGReportingRule@EPA.gov](mailto:GHGReportingRule@EPA.gov).

*Worldwide Web (WWW).* In addition to being available in the docket, an electronic pre-publication copy of this final rule will also be available through the WWW. Following the Administrator's signature, a copy of this action will be posted on EPA's greenhouse gas reporting rule Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/ghgrulemaking.html>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Acronyms and Abbreviations.* The following acronyms and abbreviations are used in this document.

- APA Administrative Procedure Act
- CAA Clean Air Act
- CBI Confidential Business Information
- CEMS continuous emission monitoring system(s)
- CFR Code of Federal Regulations
- CRA Congressional Review Act
- e-GGRT Electronic Greenhouse Gas Reporting Tool
- EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- FR Federal Register
- GHG greenhouse gas
- NAICS North American Industry Classification System
- NTTAA National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act
- OMB Office of Management and Budget
- RFA Regulatory Flexibility Act
- UMRA Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
- U.S.C. United States Code
- WWW Worldwide Web

*Organization of this Document.* The following outline is provided to aid in locating information in this preamble.

- I. Background
- II. Summary of the Final Rule
  - A. Facilities Affected
  - B. Amendment
- III. Rationale for the Final Rule
- IV. Need for a Final Rule
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews