INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–716]

In the Matter of Certain Large Scale Integrated Circuit Semiconductor Chips and Products Containing the Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation; Termination of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 35) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the above-captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Clint Gerдин, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 5, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Panasonic Corporation of Japan. 75 FR 24742–43. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain large scale integrated circuit semiconductor chips and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,933,364 and 6,834,336. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named several respondents including the following: Freescale Semiconductor Xiying Integrated Semiconductor Manufacturing Site (“Freescale Xiying”) of China; Freescale Semiconductor Innovation Center (“Freescale Innovation”) of China; Freescale Semiconductor Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; Premier Farnell Corporation d/b/a Newark (“Newark”) of Independence, Ohio; Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. of Austin, Texas; Freescale Semiconductor Japan Ltd. of Japan; Freescale Semiconductor Malaysia Sdn. Bhd. of Malaysia; Freescale Semiconductor Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; Mouser Electronics, Inc. of Mansfield, Texas; and Motorola Inc. of Schaumburg, Illinois.

On August 16, 2010, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID granting complainant’s unopposed motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. The notice of investigation was amended to substitute Freescale Qiangxin (Tianjin) IC Design Co., Ltd. of China; Freescale Semiconductor (China) Limited of China; and Newark Electronics Corporation and Newark Corporation of Chicago, Illinois for respondents Freescale Xiqing, Freescale Innovation, and Newark, respectively. 75 FR 51843 (August 23, 2010).

On February 11 and 16, 2011, respectively, complainant and respondents filed a joint motion, and a supplemental joint motion, to terminate the investigation as to all respondents based on a settlement agreement. The Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on February 28, 2011, granting the motion for termination. He found that the motion for termination satisfies Commission rule 210.50(b)(2), that termination of this investigation by settlement agreement is in the public interest. No party petitioned for review of the ID. The Commission has determined not to review the ID, and the investigation is terminated.


By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 11, 2011.

William R. Bishop,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Imposer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations § 1301.34(a), this is notice that on May 6, 2010, Aptuit, 10245 Hickman Mills Drive, Kansas City, Missouri 64137, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in schedules I and II:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marihuana (7360)</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poppy Straw Concentrate (9670)</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The company plans to import a finished pharmaceutical product containing cannabis extracts in dosage form for packaging for a clinical trial study. In addition, the company also plans to import an ointment for the treatment of wounds which contain trace amounts of the controlled substances normally found in poppy straw concentrate for packaging and labeling for clinical trials. No comments, objections, or requests for any hearings will be accepted on any application for registration or re-registration to import crude opium, poppy straw, concentrate of poppy straw or coca leaves. As explained in the Correction to Notice of Application pertaining to Rhodes Technologies, 72 FR 3417 (2007), comments and requests for hearings on applications to import narcotic raw material are not appropriate.

Any bulk manufacturer who is presently, or is applying to be, registered with DEA to manufacture such basic classes of controlled substances listed in schedule I or II, which fall under the authority of section 1002(a)(2)(B) of the Act (21 U.S.C. 952(a)(2)(B)) may, in the circumstances set forth in 21 U.S.C. 958(i), file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration and may, at the same time, file a written request for a hearing on such application pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43 and in such form as prescribed by 21 CFR 1316.47.

Any such comments or objections should be addressed, in quintuplicate,