

Item	Estimated time for response (in minutes)	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours
Revocation of Attorney/Domestic Representative and/or Appointment of Attorney/Domestic Representative (Paper)	10	4,000	680
TEAS Revocation of Attorney/Domestic Representative and/or Appointment of Attorney/Domestic Representative (PTO Form 2196)	5	80,000	6,400
Request for Permission to Withdraw as Attorney of Record (Paper)	15	225	56
TEAS Request for Permission to Withdraw as Attorney of Record (PTO Form 2201)	12	4,500	900
Change of Owner's Address (Paper)	10	1,600	272
TEAS Change of Owner's Address (PTO Form 2197)	5	32,000	2,560
Change of Domestic Representative's Address (Paper)	10	13	2
TEAS Change of Domestic Representative's Address (TEAS Global)	5	250	20
Replacement of Attorney of Record with Another Already-Appointed Attorney (Paper)	10	1	1
TEAS Replacement of Attorney of Record with Another Already-Appointed Attorney (TEAS Global)	5	1	1
Request to Withdraw as Domestic Representative (Paper)	10	20	3
TEAS Request to Withdraw as Domestic Representative (TEAS Global)	5	400	32
Totals		123,010	10,927

Estimated Total Annual Non-Hour Respondent Cost Burden: \$2,526. This information collection has postage costs associated with it. It does not have any operation or maintenance costs, nor does it have filing fees.

Customers incur postage costs when submitting the information in paper format. The USPTO estimates that the majority (98%) of paper submissions are submitted via United States Postal Service first-class mail. The USPTO estimates these submissions will weigh

approximately one ounce with a first-class postage rate of 44 cents. Out of 5,859 paper submissions, the USPTO estimates that 5,741 will be mailed, for a total non-hour respondent cost burden of \$2,526 in postage costs.

Item	Responses (a)	Postage costs (\$) (b)	Total non-hour cost burden (a x b) (c)
Revocation of Attorney/Domestic Representative and/or Appointment of Attorney/Domestic Representative	3,920	\$0.44	\$1,725.00
Request for Permission to Withdraw As Attorney of Record	221	0.44	97.00
Change of Owner's Address Form	1,568	0.44	690.00
Change of Domestic Representative's Address	12	0.44	5.00
Replacement of Attorney of Record with Another Already-Appointed Attorney	1	0.44	1.00
Request to Withdraw as Domestic Representative	19	0.44	8.00
Totals	5,741		2,526.00

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 10, 2011.
Susan K. Fawcett,
Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer.
 [FR Doc. 2011-5902 Filed 3-14-11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Notice of Meeting of Chronic Hazard Advisory Panel on Phthalates and Phthalate Substitutes

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission ("CPSC" or "Commission") announces the fourth meeting of the Chronic Hazard Advisory Panel (CHAP) on phthalates and phthalate substitutes. The Commission

appointed this CHAP to study the effects on children's health of all phthalates and phthalate alternatives as used in children's toys and child care articles, pursuant to section 108 of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA) (Pub. L. 110-314).

DATES: The meeting will be held on Wednesday, March 30, 2011, and Thursday, March 31, 2011. The meeting will begin at approximately 8 a.m. on both days. It will end at approximately 5 p.m. on Wednesday and at approximately 3 p.m. on Thursday.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in Room 410 at the Commission's offices at 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Registration and Webcast: Members of the public who wish to attend the meeting may register on the day of the meeting. There will not be any opportunity for public participation at this meeting. A live Webcast will not be

available. However, the meeting will be recorded and posted on the CPSC's Web site.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Babich, Directorate for Health Sciences, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504-7253; e-mail mbabich@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 108 of the CPSIA permanently prohibits the sale of any "children's toy or child care article" containing more than 0.1 percent of each of three specified phthalates—di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), dibutyl phthalate (DBP), and benzyl butyl phthalate (BBP). Section 108 of the CPSIA also prohibits, on an interim basis, the sale of any "children's toy that can be placed in a child's mouth" or "child care article" containing more than 0.1 percent of each of three additional phthalates—diisononyl phthalate (DINP), diisodecyl phthalate (DIDP), and di-*n*-octyl phthalate (DNOP).

Moreover, section 108 of the CPSIA requires the Commission to convene a CHAP "to study the effects on children's health of all phthalates and phthalate alternatives as used in children's toys and child care articles." The CPSIA requires the CHAP to complete an examination of the full range of phthalates that are used in products for children and:

- Examine all of the potential health effects (including endocrine disrupting effects) of the full range of phthalates;
- Consider the potential health effects of each of these phthalates, both in isolation and in combination with other phthalates;
- Examine the likely levels of children's, pregnant women's, and others' exposure to phthalates, based upon a reasonable estimation of normal and foreseeable use and abuse of such products;
- Consider the cumulative effect of total exposure to phthalates, from children's products and from other sources, such as personal care products;
- Review all relevant data, including the most recent, best available, peer-reviewed, scientific studies of these phthalates and phthalate alternatives that employ objective data-collection practices or employ other objective methods;
- Consider the health effects of phthalates not only from ingestion but also as a result of dermal, hand-to-mouth, or other exposure;
- Consider the level at which there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to children, pregnant women, or other susceptible individuals and their

offspring, reviewing the best available science, and using sufficient safety factors to account for uncertainties regarding exposure and susceptibility of children, pregnant women, and other potentially susceptible individuals; and

- Consider possible similar health effects of phthalate alternatives used in children's toys and child care articles.

The CPSIA contemplates completion of the CHAP's examination within 18 months of the panel's appointment. The CHAP must review prior work on phthalates by the Commission, but the prior work is not to be considered determinative, as the CHAP's examination must be conducted *de novo*.

The CHAP must make recommendations to the Commission which phthalates (or combinations of phthalates) in addition to those identified in section 108 of the CPSIA or phthalate alternatives that the panel determines should be prohibited from use in children's toys or child care articles or otherwise restricted. The CHAP members were selected by the Commission from scientists nominated by the National Academy of Sciences. See 15 U.S.C. 2077, 2030(b).

The CHAP met previously in April, July, and December 2010. The CHAP heard testimony from interested parties at the July meeting. The March 2011 meeting will include discussion of the CHAP's progress toward its analysis of potential risks from phthalates and phthalate substitutes. There will not be any opportunity for public comment at the March 30-31 meeting.

Dated: March 10, 2011.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-6020 Filed 3-14-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Information Collection; Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the "Corporation"), has submitted a public information collection request (ICR) entitled the Senior Corps Grant Application to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. chapter

35). Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Corporation for National and Community Service, Ms. Angela Roberts, at (202) 606-6822, (aroberts@cns.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY-TDD) may call (202) 606-3472 between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted, identified by the title of the information collection activity, to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, *Attn:* Ms. Sharon Mar, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service, by any of the following two methods within 30 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**:

- (1) *By fax to:* (202) 395-6974, *Attention:* Ms. Sharon Mar, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service; and
- (2) *Electronically by e-mail to:* smar@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Comments

A 60-day public comment Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 14, 2010. This comment period ended February 14, 2011. The following summarizes the public comments received from the Notice summary:

(a) Two commenters supported the change and noted that an Executive Summary would add minimal burden to the application process. (b) Five commenters requested more details about the Executive Summary, asking what an Executive Summary is and