assigned a probability of selection that is a function of its relative size within the stratum (payroll) and a stratum-specific reliability constraint. The larger establishments in a stratum may have probabilities equal to 1.00. Within each stratum, an independent sample will be selected. We will use a fixed sample size selection method for selecting the sample. This technique considerably improves the reliability of the resulting survey estimates by eliminating the variability associated with a variable sample size. The impact of the multi-establishment firms within each stratum will be taken into account in deriving the target sample size from the single-establishment firm population. We estimate that the mail canvass for the 2012 construction sector will include approximately 112,000 establishments of single-establishment firms.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0607–0935.

Type of Review: Regular submission.
Affected Public: Businesses or other for profit, non-profit institutions or organizations, and State or Local Governments.
Estimated Number of Respondents: 130,000.
Estimated Time per Response: 2.9 hours.
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 377,000.
Estimated Total Annual Cost: $12,222,340.
Respondent’s Obligation: Mandatory.
Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Sections 131 and 224.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.
Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 10, 2011.
Glenna Mickelson,
Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011–5981 Filed 3–14–11; 8:45 am]
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Antidumping Duty Order: Polyvinyl Alcohol From Taiwan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Based on affirmative final determinations by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC), the Department is issuing an antidumping duty order on polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) from Taiwan.

DATES: Effective Date: March 15, 2011.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background
On February 1, 2011, the Department published its affirmative final determination of sales at less than fair value in the antidumping duty investigation of PVA from Taiwan. See Polyvinyl Alcohol From Taiwan: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 76 FR 5562 (February 1, 2011).

On March 9, 2011, the ITC notified the Department of its final determination, pursuant to section 735(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of less-than-fair-value imports of PVA from Taiwan within the meaning of section 735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act. See Polyvinyl Alcohol from Taiwan (Investigation No. 731–TA–1088 (Final), USITC Publication 4218, March 2011).

Scope of the Order
The merchandise covered by this antidumping duty order is PVA. This product consists of all PVA hydrolyzed in excess of 80 percent, whether or not mixed or diluted with commercial levels of defoamer or boric acid. PVA in fiber form and PVB-grade low-ash PVA are not included in the scope of this order. PVB-grade low-ash PVA is defined to be PVA that meets the following specifications: Hydrolysis, Mole % of 98.40 +/- 0.40, 4% Solution Viscosity 30.00 +/- 2.50 centipoises, and ash—ISE, wt% less than 0.60, 4% solution color 20mm cell, 10.0 maximum APHA units, haze index, 20mm cell, 5.0, maximum. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under subheading 3905.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this order is dispositive.

Provisional Measures
Section 733(d) of the Act states that suspension-of-liquidation instructions issued pursuant to an affirmative preliminary determination may not remain in effect for more than four months except where exporters representing a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise request the Department to extend that four-month period to no more than six months. At the request of the exporter that accounted for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise in the investigations of PVA from Taiwan, we extended the four-month period to no more than six months. See Polyvinyl Alcohol From Taiwan: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination, 75 FR 55552 (September 13, 2010) (Preliminary Results).

In the investigation, the six-month period beginning on the date of the publication of the preliminary determination (i.e., September 13, 2010) will end on March 12, 2011. Furthermore, section 737 of the Act states that definitive duties are to begin on the date of publication of the ITC’s final injury determination. Therefore, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to terminate the suspension of liquidation and to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, unliquidated entries of PVA from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption after March 12, 2011, through the day preceding the date of publication of the ITC’s final injury determination in the Federal Register. Suspension of liquidation will resume for entries entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the ITC’s final
injury determination in the Federal
Register.

Antidumping Duty Order

On March 9, 2011, in accordance with
section 735(d) of the Act, the ITC notiﬁed the Department of its ﬁnal
determination that an industry in the
United States is materially injured
within the meaning of section
735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act by reason of
less-than-fair-value imports of PVA from
Taiwan.

In accordance with section 736(a)(1)
of the Act, the Department will direct
CBP to assess, upon further instruction
by the Department, antidumping duties
equal to the amount by which the
normal value of the merchandise
exceeds U.S. price of the merchandise
for all relevant entries of PVA from
Taiwan. These antidumping duties will
be assessed on all unliquidated entries
of PVA from Taiwan, or withdrawn from
warehouse, for consumption on or after
March 13, 2011 (the day following the end
of the provisional-measures period), and
the day preceding the publication date
of the ITC’s ﬁnal injury determination in
the Federal Register. See Preliminary
Results, 75 FR at 55552.

Effective on the date of publication of
the ITC’s notice of preliminary
determination in the Federal
Register, CBP will require, pursuant to section 736(a)(3) of the Act and at the same time as
importers would normally deposit
estimated duties on subject
merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the
estimated weighted-average
antidumping margins listed below.

Upon further instruction by the
Department and in accordance with
section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the
Department will instruct CBP to assess
antidumping duties equal to the amount
by which the normal value of the
merchandise exceeds U.S. price of the
merchandise for all relevant entries
of PVA from Taiwan. These antidumping
duties will be assessed on all
unliquidated entries of PVA entered
from Taiwan, or withdrawn from
warehouse, for consumption on or after
the date of publication of the ITC’s
notice of ﬁnal determination in the
Federal Register.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer or exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chang Chun Petrochemical Co., Ltd</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This notice constitutes the
antidumping duty order with respect to
PVA from Taiwan pursuant to section
736(a) of the Act. Interested parties may
contact the Central Records Unit of the
Department of Commerce building, Room 7046, for copies of an
updated list of antidumping duty orders
currently in effect.

This order is published in accordance
with section 736(a) of the Act and 19
CFR 351.211(b).

Dated: March 9, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.
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Fresh Garlic from the People’s
Republic of China: Court Decision Not
in Harmony With Final Results and
Amended Final Results of Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 11, 2011, the United States
Court of International Trade (“CIT”) sustained in an
unpublished judgment the Department of
Commerce’s (“the Department”) ﬁnal
results of redetermination as applied to
respondent Shenzhen Greening Trading
Co., Ltd. (“Greening”) pursuant to
the CIT’s order granting the Department’s
voluntary remand request in
Shandong Chenhe International Trading Co., Ltd.
and Shenzhen Greening Trading Co., Ltd.
v. United States, Court No. 09–
00246 (Ct. Int’l Trade April 22, 2010). See
Final Results of Redetermination
Pursuant to Voluntary Remand, Court
No. 09–00246, dated July 30, 2010, available
at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/remands (“Remand
Results”); Shandong Chenhe International Trading Co., Ltd.
and Shenzhen Greening Trading Co., Ltd.
v. United States, Court No. 09–
00246 (Ct. Int’l Trade March 1, 2011)
(“Judgment”). Consistent with the
decision of the United States Court of
Appeals for the Federal Circuit
(“CAFC”) in Timken Co. v. United
States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990)
(“Timken”), as clariﬁed by Diamond
Sawblades Mfgs. Coalition v. United
States, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010)
(“Diamond Sawblades”), the Department is
notifying the public that the ﬁnal
judgment in this case is not in harmony
with the Department’s ﬁnal results and
is amending the ﬁnal results of the
administrative review of the
antidumping duty order on fresh garlic
from the People’s Republic of China
(“PRC”) covering the period of review
(“POR”) of November 1, 2006, through
October 31, 2007 with respect to
Greening. See Fresh Garlic From the
People’s Republic of China: Final
Results and Partial Rescission of the
13th Antidumping Duty Administrative
Review and New Shipper Reviews, 74
FR 29174 (June 19, 2009) (“Final
Results”), and accompanying Issues and
Decision Memorandum at Comment 11.

DATES: Effective Date: March 11, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Scott Lindsay or David Lindgren, AD/CVD
Operations, Ofﬁce 6, Import
Administration—International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482–0780 or (202) 482–
3870.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 19, 2009, the Department issued its Final Results, where it
determined that neither Shandong
Chenhe International Trading Co., Ltd.
(“Chenhe”) nor Greening submitted a
separate rate application or certiﬁcation,
and neither company informed the
Department that they had no shipments
of subject merchandise during the POR
within the deadlines provided in the
separate rate applications and
certiﬁcations. See Final Results and
accompanying Issues and Decision
Memorandum at Comment 11. Accordingly, for the six months of the
POR not covered by the concurrently
conducted new shipper review (“NSR”),
we determined that Chenhe and
Greening had not established that they
were each entitled to a separate rate,
and without timely ﬁled no-shipment
certiﬁcations, Chenhe and Greening
should be deemed to be part of the PRC-
wide entity. Id. See also Fresh Garlic
from the People’s Republic of China:
Initiation of Antidumping Duty New
Shipper Reviews, 72 FR 38057 (July 12,
2007).

Chenhe and Greening timely
challenged the Department’s
determination not to rescind the
administrative review with respect to
both companies to the CIT. On April 22,