beneficiaries in the context of the targeted country?
3. Organizational experience and capability (20 percent).
   a. Does the proposal clearly demonstrate the organization’s capability and effectiveness in implementing previous food aid programs, particularly ones targeting school-aged children, children under age 5, or maternal and infant health?
   b. Does the proposal provide evidence that the organization has the knowledge, expertise, ability, and resources to successfully implement the project, including evidence of its timeliness and quality of reporting on past food aid activities?
   c. Does the proposal demonstrate that the organization has an experienced management team that can properly implement, monitor, and evaluate the project?
4. Monitoring and evaluation (30 percent).
   a. Are the baselines and target goals well developed, recent, and clear?
   b. Is the monitoring and evaluation criteria and process clearly described and sufficient to provide FAS with an evaluation report that would clearly indicate the benefit and drawbacks of the new product to the population?
   c. What are the qualifications of the evaluation team?
   d. Is the organization’s plan to develop and submit a final evaluation report to FAS clear and well defined?
   e. What is the quality of the project’s performance measures, and the degree to which they relate to the objectives, deliverables, and proposed approach and activities?

VI. Award Administration Information
1. Award Notices: FAS will notify each applicant in writing of the final disposition of its application. FAS will send a letter to each approved applicant that will specify the amount of funding. Once the approved applicant receives this letter, FAS will begin negotiations with the program participant to develop a grant agreement. The agreement will incorporate the details of the project as approved by FAS and in accordance with the McGovern-Dole Program regulations, 7 CFR part 1599. Approved applicants will not receive funding under the MFFAPP until the agreement negotiation is complete and the agreement has been signed by authorized representatives of the applicant and FAS.
2. Reporting: An organization receiving funding under the MFFAPP will be required to provide quarterly financial reports, semi-annual logistics and monitoring reports, and a final evaluation report, as provided in the grant agreement. In its final evaluation report, the organization will be required to use supporting evidence gathered during the pilot to describe the benefits and drawbacks of the new product to the population and to address the benefits or drawbacks of the new or improved product as compared to traditional food assistance commodities. Changes in the original project timelines and adjustments within project budgets must be approved by FAS prior to their implementation.
3. Monitoring and Evaluation: A program participant shall submit to FAS, in the manner specified in the agreement, an annual financial audit in accordance with 7 CFR 1599.13(d). If FAS requires an annual financial audit with respect to a particular agreement, and FAS provides funds for this purpose, the participant shall arrange for such audit and submit it to FAS, in the manner specified in the agreement. The participant shall provide to FAS additional information or reports relating to the agreement if requested by FAS.

Signed at Washington, DC on the 24th of January 2011.
John D. Brewer, Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Payette National Forest, Idaho, Golden Hand #3 and #4 Lode Mining Claims, Plan of Operations

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.
ACTION: Notice of withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The USDA Forest Service is withdrawing the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for The Golden Hand No. 3 and No. 4 Lode Mining Claims Proposed Plan of Operations. The project included mining operations on the lode claims along with associated activities such as road maintenance and construction. The project will not be implemented. Any further action on claims No. 3 and No. 4 would be conducted under a new plan of operation and subsequent environmental documentation.

DATES: The Notice of Intent originally appeared on April 19, 2002 in the Federal Register page no 19389. The Notice of Availability of the Final EIS appeared on May 9, 2003 in the Federal Register page no 25023. This withdrawal of the Notice of Intent is effective on the date of this publication in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Jeff Hunteman, Krasell Ranger District, Payette National Forest, 500 N. Mission, McCall, Idaho 83638.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Hunteman at the above address, or e-mail: jhunteman@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The mining claims are located in the Frank Church-River of No Return Wilderness, approximately 50 miles northeast of McCall, Idaho in section 26, T22N, R9E, Boise Meridian. The claims encompass approximately 20 acres each adjacent to Coin Creek, a tributary of Beaver Creek, which flows into Big Creek, a tributary of the Salmon River. The Record of Decision will also be withdrawn.

Responsible Official
The responsible official is the Forest Supervisor of the Payette National Forest.

Dated: March 7, 2011.

Suzanne C. Rainville, Forest Supervisor, Payette National Forest.

[FR Doc. 2011–5760 Filed 3–11–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

White Pine-Nye County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC)

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.
ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The White Pine-Nye County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will hold a meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held on April 15th, 2011 and will begin at 9 a.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in Eureka County at the Eureka County Annex, 701 S. Main Street, Eureka, Nevada 89316.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jose Noriega, RAC Coordinator, USDA, Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, Ely Ranger District, 825 Avenue E Ely, NV 89301, (775) 289–3031; E-Mail: jnoriega@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Agenda items include: (1) Remarks by Forest Supervisor, (2) Review and approve previous meeting’s minutes and business expenses, (3) Review and recommend funding allocation for proposed projects; project submittal date deadline is March 31, 2011, (4) Public Comment, (5) Determine timeframes for the next round of project proposals if needed. The meeting is