or molten form; and (3) mixtures containing 90 percent or less magnesium in granular or powder form, by weight, and one or more of certain non-magnesium granular materials to make magnesium-based reagent mixtures, including lime, calcium metal, calcium silicon, calcium carbide, calcium carbonate, carbon, slag coagulants, fluor spar, nepheline syenite, feldspar, alumina [Al2O3], calcium aluminate, soda ash, hydrocarbons, graphite, coke, silicon, rare earth metals/mischmetal, cryolite, silica, fly ash, graphite, coke, silicon, rare earth metals/mischmetal, cryolite, silica/fly ash, magnesium oxide, periclase, ferroalloys, dolomite, lime, and coals.3

The merchandise subject to the order is currently classifiable under items 8104.19.00 and 8104.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS items are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the subject merchandise is dispositive.

Continuation of the Order

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping order on magnesium metal from the PRC, U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of the continuation of the order will be the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of the order not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

Antidumping Duty Order: Pure Magnesium in Granular Form From the People’s Republic of China; Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

This five-year (sunset) review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 25, 2011.

Paul Piquado,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–5699 Filed 3–10–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Import Administration]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Mexico; Correction Notice to Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: March 11, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick Edwards, Brian Davis, or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–8029, (202) 482–7924, and (202) 482–3019, respectively.

Correction

On February 18, 2011, the Department published a notice of amended final results of administrative review for stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Mexico. See Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico; Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 9542 (February 18, 2011) (Amended Final Results). The Amended Final Results states incorrectly that cash deposit requirements, “continue to be effective on any entries made on or after February 14, 2011, the date of publication of these amended final results.” In addition, the Amended Final Results incorrectly refer to a 21.14 percent final results weighted-average margin calculated for ThyssenKrupp Mexinox S.A. de C.V. (Mexinox). The Amended Final Results are hereby corrected to read that cash deposit requirements, “continue to be effective on any entries made on or after the date of publication of these amended final results.” The Amended Final Results are also hereby corrected to refer to Mexinox’s weighted-average margin of 21.16 percent determined by the Department in its final results of this review. See Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Mexico; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 2332 (January 13, 2011). 

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: March 7, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–5682 Filed 3–10–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

International Trade Administration

[Import Administration]

Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: March 11, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Hollwitz or Charles Riggle, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2336 or (202) 482–0650, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On November 10, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated an antidumping duty investigation on multilayered wood flooring from the People’s Republic of China.1 The notice of initiation stated that, unless postponed, the Department would issue its preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiation, in accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). The preliminary determination is currently due no later than March 30, 2011.

On March 3, 2011, the Coalition for American Hardwood Parity (“Petitioners”), made a timely request, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.227(b)(2) and (e), for a postponement of the

1 See Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation, 75 FR 70714 (November 18, 2010).
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Persulfates From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from an interested party, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) covering the period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010. This administrative review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, i.e., United Initiators (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. (“United Initiators”).

We preliminarily determine that United Initiators does not qualify for a separate rate because it did not respond to the Department’s request for information; thus, as adverse facts available, we are assigning to United Initiators, as part of the PRC-wide entity, the PRC-wide rate. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of this review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise exported by United Initiators during the period of review (“POR”). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective Date: March 11, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brandon Petelin or Charles Riggle, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–8173 or (202) 482–0650, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 7, 1997, the Department published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the PRC.1 On July 1, 2010, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the PRC.2 In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), on July 30, 2010, FMC Corporation, a domestic producer of persulfates, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of United Initiators’ exports to the United States for the POR July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010. Pursuant to this request, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the PRC.3 On October 5, 2010, the Department issued an antidumping duty questionnaire to United Initiators. On October 8, 2010, we confirmed that United Initiators signed for and received our mailing of the antidumping duty questionnaire. United Initiators did not respond to the Department’s antidumping duty questionnaire. On January 3, 2011, the Department placed on the record of this administrative review the UPS International Air Waybill receipt and delivery confirmation for the questionnaire issued to United Initiators to confirm that we mailed, and United Initiators received and signed for, the questionnaire.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this review are persulfates, including ammonium, potassium, and sodium persulfates. The chemical formula for these persulfates are, respectively, \( \text{NH}_4\text{S}_2\text{O}_8 \), \( \text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8 \), and \( \text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8 \). Potassium persulfates are currently classifiable under subheading 2833.40.10 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Sodium persulfates are classifiable under HTSUS subheading 2833.40.20. Ammonium and other persulfates are classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 2833.40.30 and 2833.40.60. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Non-Market Economy Country Status

In every case conducted by the Department involving the PRC, the PRC has been treated as a non-market economy (“NME”) country.4 In accordance with section 771(18)(C)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”), any determination that a foreign country is an NME country shall remain in effect until revoked by the administering authority. Because no interested party in this case has contested such treatment, the Department continues to treat the PRC as an NME country.

PRC-Wide Rate and Use of Facts Available

In proceedings involving NME countries, the Department begins with a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the country are subject to government control and, thus, should be assigned a single antidumping duty deposit rate. It is the Department’s policy to assign all


4 See, e.g., Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Coated Free Sheet Paper from the People’s Republic of China, 72 FR 30758, 30760 (June 4, 2007), unchaged in Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Coated Free Sheet Paper from the People’s Republic of China, 72 FR 60632 (October 25, 2007).