

—NOAA/NMFS.

—U.S. Coast Guard.

• Administrative Committee Recommendations.

• Meetings Attended by Council Members and Staff.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD (5-minute presentations).

• Other Business.

—Bajo de Sico and Abril la Sierra.

• Next Council Meeting.

The established times for addressing items on the agenda may be adjusted as necessary to accommodate the timely completion of discussion relevant to the agenda items. To further accommodate discussion and completion of all items on the agenda, the meeting may be extended from, or completed prior to the date established in this notice.

The meetings are open to the public, and will be conducted in English. However, simultaneous translation (English/Spanish) will be provided. Fishers and other interested persons are invited to attend and participate with oral or written statements regarding agenda issues.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, those issues may not be subjects for formal action during this meeting. Actions will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in this notice, and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided that the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. For more information or request for sign language interpretation and/or other auxiliary aids, please contact Mr. Miguel A. Rolón, Executive Director, Caribbean Fishery Management Council, 268 Muñoz Rivera Avenue, Suite 1108, San Juan, Puerto Rico, 00918–1920, telephone: (787) 766–5926, at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: March 4, 2011.

Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–5289 Filed 3–8–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC–2010–0080]

Children's Products Containing Lead; Technological Feasibility of 100 ppm for Lead Content; Notice, Reopening of the Hearing Record

AGENCY: U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice, reopening of the hearing record.

SUMMARY: Section 101(a) of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act (“CPSIA”) provides that, as of August 14, 2011, children’s products may not contain more than 100 parts per million (“ppm”) of lead unless the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (“CPSC,” “Commission,” or “we”) determines that such a limit is not technologically feasible. The Commission may make such a determination only after notice and a hearing and after analyzing the public health protections associated with substantially reducing lead in children’s products. On February 16, 2011, the Commission conducted a public hearing to receive views from all interested parties about the technological feasibility of meeting the 100 ppm lead content limit for children’s products and associated public health considerations. Individual Commissioners requested at the hearing that certain participants respond to additional questions in writing, as well as submit relevant studies and additional data referenced in oral presentations. Accordingly, through this notice, the Commission is reopening the hearing record until March 24, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Supplemental Materials identified by Docket No. CPSC–2010–0080 may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions

Supplemental Materials may be submitted to the Office of the Secretary by e-mail at cpsc-os@cpsc.gov.

Written Submissions

Submit written submissions in the following way:

Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for paper, disk, or CD-ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to: Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 502, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. All materials received may be posted

without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information electronically. Such information should be submitted in writing.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Concerning submission of materials: Rockelle Hammond, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504–6833; e-mail: cpscos@cpsc.gov. For all other matters: Dominique Williams, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504–7597; e-mail: dwilliams@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 101(a)(2)(C) of the CPSIA (15 U.S.C. 1278a(a)(2)(C)) provides that, as of August 14, 2011, children’s products may not contain more than 100 parts per million (ppm) of lead unless the Commission determines that such a limit is not technologically feasible. The Commission may make this determination only after notice and a hearing and after analyzing the public health protections associated with substantially reducing lead in children’s products. Section 101(d) of the CPSIA (15 U.S.C. 1278a(d)) provides that a lead limit shall be deemed technologically feasible with regard to a product or product category if:

(1) A product that complies with the limit is commercially available in the product category;

(2) Technology to comply with the limit is commercially available to manufacturers or is otherwise available within the common meaning of the term;

(3) Industrial strategies or devices have been developed that are capable or will be capable of achieving such a limit by the effective date of the limit and that companies, acting in good faith, are generally capable of adopting; or

(4) Alternative practices, best practices, or other operational changes would allow the manufacturer to comply with the limit.

In the **Federal Register** of January 26, 2011 (76 FR 4641), we published a notice (“hearing notice”) announcing that the Commission would hold a public hearing pursuant to section 101(a) of the CPSIA. The hearing notice stated that the Commission was seeking information on specific issues, such as whether any product or product

category already complies with the 100 ppm limit and what factors or considerations we should evaluate in deciding whether a technology is “commercially available.”

We held the hearing on February 16, 2011. We heard presentations by and received comments from consumer groups, manufacturers, associations, and laboratories regarding the technological feasibility of meeting the 100 ppm lead content limit. At the hearing, individual Commissioners requested that certain participants respond to additional questions in writing and submit relevant studies and additional data. Through this notice, we are announcing that we have placed individual Commissioner’s additional questions into the docket and will place any responses into the docket. The questions submitted and responses that are received will be made available on <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. CPSC–2010–0080, Supporting and Related Material. The Commission will consider any additional material received during the reopening of the hearing record, in addition to information collected at the hearing, in the course of evaluating its response. The Commission is reopening the hearing record to add individual Commissioner’s questions to the docket and allow for responses to those questions, and so the hearing record will remain open until March 24, 2011.

Dated: March 3, 2011.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011–5231 Filed 3–8–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Instructions for Implementing Climate Change Adaptation Planning in Accordance With Executive Order 13514

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of Climate Change Adaptation Planning Implementing Instructions.

SUMMARY: The Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is issuing instructions to Federal agencies for integrating climate change adaptation into agency policies and practices, as required under Executive Order 13514 (“Executive Order” or “E.O. 13514”), “Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance,” signed by President Obama on October 5, 2009. 74 FR 52117, Oct. 8, 2009. The

purpose of the Executive Order is to establish an integrated strategy toward sustainability in the Federal Government and to make reduction of greenhouse gas emissions a priority for Federal agencies. Section 5(b) of E.O. 13514 directs the Chair of CEQ to issue instructions to implement the Executive Order. The Instructions for Implementing Climate Change Adaptation Planning are now available at: <http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/ceq/initiatives/adaptation>.

DATES: The Instructions for Implementing Climate Change Adaptation Planning are available as of March 4, 2011.

ADDRESSES: The Instructions for Implementing Climate Change Adaptation Planning are available at: <http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/ceq/initiatives/adaptation>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michelle Moore, Federal Environmental Executive, Office of the Federal Environmental Executive, 202–395–5750.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Federal agencies are required, under Section 16 of E.O. 13514, to integrate climate change adaptation into agency policies and practices. Section 5(b) of E.O. 13514 authorizes the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to issue instructions to implement the Executive Order. The “Instructions for Implementing Climate Change Adaptation Planning” provide formal direction from the Chair of CEQ to Federal agencies on how to integrate climate change adaptation into Federal agency planning, operations, policies, and programs.

These risk management instructions provide Federal agencies and programs with practical direction on how to assess risks and identify opportunities to improve performance and resource efficiency posed by the changing climate. With this gained knowledge, Federal agencies can ensure that Federal resources are invested wisely and that Federal services and operations remain effective as the century progresses. The instructions are written to allow adaptation planning to occur within existing agency management frameworks and budgets. The instructions apply only to Federal agencies, operations, and programs. The instructions should be considered mandatory, and agencies are expected to implement them as part of their compliance with E.O. 13514.

Authority: E.O. 13514, 74 FR 52117.

Dated: March 4, 2011.

Nancy H. Sutley,

Chair.

[FR Doc. 2011–5405 Filed 3–8–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3125–W0–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Submission for OMB Review

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Comment request.

SUMMARY: The Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13).

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 8, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Education Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, be faxed to (202) 395–5806 or e-mailed to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov with a cc: to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The OMB is particularly interested in comments which: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.