Week of March 14, 2011—Tentative
There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 14, 2011.

Week of March 21, 2011—Tentative

Thursday, March 24, 2011
9 a.m. Briefing on the 50.46a Risk-Informed Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) Rule (Public Meeting). (Contact: Richard Dudley, 301–415–1116.)
This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

Week of March 28, 2011—Tentative

Tuesday, March 29, 2011
9 a.m. Briefing on Small Modular Reactors (Public Meeting). (Contact: Stephanie Coffin, 301–415–6877.)
This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.
Thursday, March 31, 2011
2:30 p.m. Discussion of Management Issues (Closed-Ex. 2).

Week of April 4, 2011—Tentative
There are no meetings scheduled for the week of April 4, 2011.

Week of April 11, 2011—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of April 11, 2011.

* The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—(301) 415–1292.
Contact person for more information: Rochelle Bavol, (301) 415–1651.
The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify Bill Dosch, Chief, Work Life and Benefits Branch, at 301–415–6200, TDD: 301–415–2100, or by e-mail at william.dosch@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.
This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or send an e-mail to darlene.wright@nrc.gov.

Rochelle C. Bavol,
Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee; Cancellation of Upcoming Meeting


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee is issuing this notice to cancel the March 17, 2011, public meeting scheduled to be held in Room 5A06A, U.S. Office of Personnel Management Building, 1900 E Street, NW., Washington, DC. The original Federal Register notice announcing this meeting was published Monday, December 6, 2010, at 75 FR 75706.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Madeline Gonzalez, 202–606–2838; e-mail: pay-performance-policy@opm.gov; or FAX: (202) 606–4264.

Sheldon Friedman,
Chairman, Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee.

Elizabeth M. Murphy,
Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Correct Cross-References in the Customer Code

March 2, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on February 16, 2011, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been substantially prepared by FINRA. FINRA has designated the proposed rule change as concerned solely with the administration of the self-regulatory organization under

Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(3) thereunder, which renders the proposal effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

FINRA is proposing to amend various rules of the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Customer Disputes (Customer Code) to correct cross-references to rules that were changed by the approval of another rule filing.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on FINRA’s Web site at http://www.finra.org, at the principal office of FINRA and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FINRA included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FINRA has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On January 31, 2011, the SEC approved a proposal to amend the panel composition rule, and related rules, of the Customer Code to provide customers with the option to choose an all public arbitration panel in all cases (Optional All Public Panel Proposal). The proposal changed substantively most of the rules in Part IV of the Customer Code to reflect the option to choose an all public arbitration panel in all cases. Further, many of the Part IV rules of the Customer Code were also re-numbered when some of the old rules were eliminated or combined with other rules. As a result of the changes by the proposal, several cross-references to old Part IV rules in other rules of the Customer Code became inaccurate.

FINRA is, therefore, proposing to amend the Customer Code to correct the cross-references that were changed as a result of the Optional All Public Panel Proposal. The new proposal would amend Rules 12213(a), 12309(c), 12314, 12503(c), 12800(e), and 12903(a). FINRA has filed the proposed rule change for immediate effectiveness. The effective date and the implementation date will be the date of filing.

2. Statutory Basis

FINRA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,7 which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. FINRA believes that the proposed rule change will assist in the efficient administration of arbitrations by correcting inaccurate cross-references in the Customer Code. FINRA believes these technical, non-substantive amendments will enhance the Code by making it easier to understand and apply.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

FINRA does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change is concerned solely with the administration of the self-regulatory organization, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and paragraph (f)(3) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml);
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–FINRA–2011–007 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–FINRA–2011–007. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used.

To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of FINRA. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File

6 Id.
II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to amend Chapter IV, Section 6 (Series of Options Contracts Open for Trading) and Chapter XIV, Section 10 (Terms of Index Option Contracts) of the Rules of the Boston Options Exchange Group, LLC (“BOX”) to expand the Short Term Option Series Program (“Weeklys Program”) so that BOX may select fifteen option classes on which Weekly options may be opened. The Weekly Program is codified in the Supplementary Material to the BOX Rules Sections identified above. These rules provide that after an option class has been approved for listing and trading on BOX, BOX may open for trading on any Thursday or Friday that is a business day series of options on no more than five option classes that expire on the Friday of the following business week that is a business day. In addition to the five-option class limitation, there is also a limitation that no more than twenty series for each expiration date in those classes that may be opened for trading. Furthermore, the strike price of each Weekly option has to be fixed with approximately the same number of strike prices being opened above and below the value of the underlying security at about the time that the Weekly options are initially opened for trading on BOX, and with strike prices being within thirty percent (30%) above or below the closing price of the underlying security from the preceding day. The Exchange does not propose any changes to these additional Weeklys Program limitations. The Exchange proposes only to increase from five to fifteen the number of option classes that may be opened pursuant to the Weeklys Program.

The principal reason for the proposed expansion is customer demand for, and interest in, expanding trading on BOX, and with strike prices between thirty percent (30%) above or below the closing price of the underlying security from the preceding day. The Exchange does not propose any changes to these additional Weeklys Program limitations. The Exchange proposes only to increase from five to fifteen the number of option classes that may be opened pursuant to the Weeklys Program.

With regard to the impact of this proposal on system capacity, BOX has analyzed its capacity and represents that it and the Options Price Reporting Authority (“OPRA”) have the necessary systems capacity to handle the potential additional traffic associated with trading of an expanded number of classes in the Weeklys Program. BOX believes that the Weeklys Program has provided investors with greater trading opportunities and flexibility and the ability to more closely tailor their investment and risk management strategies and decisions. Furthermore, BOX has had to eliminate option classes from the Program. Since there is reciprocity in matching other exchanges’ Weekly option choices, BOX discontinues trading Weekly option classes that other exchanges change from week-to-week. BOX believes that class pick changes have negatively impacted investors and traders, particularly retail public customers, who have, on occasion requested that BOX add Weekly option classes.

BOX understands that a retail investor recently requested another exchange to reinstate a Weekly option class that the exchange had removed from trading because of the five-class option limit within the Weeklys Program. The investor advised that the removed class was a powerful tool for hedging a particular market sector, and that various strategies that the investor put into play were disrupted and eliminated when the class was removed. BOX feels that it is essential that such negative, potentially very costly impacts on retail investors and traders, are eliminated by modestly expanding the Program to enable additional classes to be traded.

With regard to the impact of this proposal on system capacity, BOX has analyzed its capacity and represents that it and the Options Price Reporting Authority (“OPRA”) have the necessary systems capacity to handle the potential additional traffic associated with trading of an expanded number of classes in the Weeklys Program. BOX believes that the Weeklys Program has provided investors with greater trading opportunities and flexibility and the ability to more closely tailor their investment and risk management strategies and decisions. Furthermore, BOX has had to eliminate option classes from the Program. Since there is reciprocity in matching other exchanges’ Weekly option choices, BOX discontinues trading Weekly option classes that other exchanges change from week-to-week. BOX believes that class pick changes have negatively impacted investors and traders, particularly retail public customers, who have, on occasion requested that BOX add Weekly option classes.

BOX understands that a retail investor recently requested another exchange to reinstate a Weekly option class that the exchange had removed from trading because of the five-class option limit within the Weeklys Program. The investor advised that the removed class was a powerful tool for hedging a particular market sector, and that various strategies that the investor put into play were disrupted and eliminated when the class was removed. BOX feels that it is essential that such negative, potentially very costly impacts on retail investors and traders, are eliminated by modestly expanding the Program to enable additional classes to be traded.

With regard to the impact of this proposal on system capacity, BOX has analyzed its capacity and represents that it and the Options Price Reporting Authority (“OPRA”) have the necessary systems capacity to handle the potential additional traffic associated with trading of an expanded number of classes in the Weeklys Program. BOX believes that the Weeklys Program has provided investors with greater trading opportunities and flexibility and the ability to more closely tailor their investment and risk management strategies and decisions. Furthermore, BOX has had to eliminate option classes from the Program. Since there is reciprocity in matching other exchanges’ Weekly option choices, BOX discontinues trading Weekly option classes that other exchanges change from week-to-week. BOX believes that class pick changes have negatively impacted investors and traders, particularly retail public customers, who have, on occasion requested that BOX add Weekly option classes.

BOX understands that a retail investor recently requested another exchange to reinstate a Weekly option class that the exchange had removed from trading because of the five-class option limit within the Weeklys Program. The investor advised that the removed class was a powerful tool for hedging a particular market sector, and that various strategies that the investor put into play were disrupted and eliminated when the class was removed. BOX feels that it is essential that such negative, potentially very costly impacts on retail investors and traders, are eliminated by modestly expanding the Program to enable additional classes to be traded.

With regard to the impact of this proposal on system capacity, BOX has analyzed its capacity and represents that it and the Options Price Reporting Authority (“OPRA”) have the necessary systems capacity to handle the potential additional traffic associated with trading of an expanded number of classes in the Weeklys Program. BOX believes that the Weeklys Program has provided investors with greater trading opportunities and flexibility and the ability to more closely tailor their investment and risk management strategies and decisions. Furthermore, BOX has had to eliminate option classes from the Program. Since there is reciprocity in matching other exchanges’ Weekly option choices, BOX discontinues trading Weekly option classes that other exchanges change from week-to-week. BOX believes that class pick changes have negatively impacted investors and traders, particularly retail public customers, who have, on occasion requested that BOX add Weekly option classes.

BOX understands that a retail investor recently requested another exchange to reinstate a Weekly option class that the exchange had removed from trading because of the five-class option limit within the Weeklys Program. The investor advised that the removed class was a powerful tool for hedging a particular market sector, and that various strategies that the investor put into play were disrupted and eliminated when the class was removed. BOX feels that it is essential that such negative, potentially very costly impacts on retail investors and traders, are eliminated by modestly expanding the Program to enable additional classes to be traded.