That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7.4-mile radius of the Orangethear Municipal Airport.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on February 18, 2011.

Mark D. Ward.  
Group Manager, Operations Support Group, Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic Organization.

[FR Doc. 2011–5096 Filed 3–4–11; 8:45 am]  
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 139

[Docket No. FAA–2010–0997; Notice No. 10–14]  
RIN 2120–AJ38

Safety Management System for Certificated Airports; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Second extension of comment period and notice of procedures for submission of clarifying questions.

SUMMARY: The FAA published a proposed rule on October 7, 2010, to require each certificate holder to establish a safety management system (SMS) for its entire airfield environment (including movement and non-movement areas) to improve safety at airports hosting air carrier operations. The American Association of Airport Executives and Airports Council International—North America have requested that the FAA provide additional information supporting the proposed rule and extend the comment period to allow adequate time for the public to analyze and comment on that information and the NPRM. This action extends the comment period until July 5, 2011, and establishes a procedure for handling clarifying questions to the proposed rule.

DATES: The comment period for the NPRM published on October 7, 2010, closing on March 7, 2011 is extended until July 5, 2011. You must submit your clarifying questions in writing using the procedures outlined in this notice by April 6, 2011. The FAA anticipates responding to these submissions and providing a summary report of the pilot studies by May 21, 2011.

ADDRESSES: See the “Procedures for Filing Clarifying Questions” section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:  
Technical clarifications: Keri Spencer, Office of Airports Safety and Standards, Federal Aviation Administration, e-mail keri.spencer@faa.gov  
Legal clarifications: Robert Hawks, Office of the Chief Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, e-mail rob.hawks@faa.gov.  
Cost/benefit clarifications: Nicole Nance, Office of Aviation Policy and Plans, Federal Aviation Administration, e-mail nicole.nance@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 7, 2010, the FAA published Notice No. 10–14, entitled “Safety Management System for Certificated Airports” (75 FR 62008). Comments to that document were to be received on or before January 5, 2011. On December 10, 2010, in response to several requests for extension of the comment period, the FAA granted an additional 60 days for commenters to analyze the NPRM and provide meaningful comment (75 FR 76928).

By comments posted to the docket on February 17, 2011, Airport Council International—North America (ACI–NA) and the American Association of Airport Executives (AAAE) requested the FAA extend the comment period for a second time. ACI–NA and AAAE also requested the FAA provide additional information to allow for meaningful comment on the proposed rule.

Specifically, ACI–NA made the following requests: (1) Additional information is needed regarding the FAA’s proposed SMS implementation strategy, most notably what will be expected in the required SMS Implementation Plans. (2) Data, findings, and conclusion—both positive and negative—from the three SMS pilot studies need to be made available in the docket so these findings and conclusions can inform the industry’s review of the costs, benefits, and potential issues arising from the implementation of the proposed rule. (3) The proposed rule needs to be reviewed in conjunction with key guidance documents, especially the revised version of FAA Advisory Circular 150/5200–37. These documents, which are mentioned explicitly in the FAA’s discussion of the proposed rule will describe the standard means of compliance with the proposed rule and are needed to understand the scope and scale of airport SMS requirements. (4) Additional time will be needed for technical analysis by commenters including analyses of the costs and benefits of phased SMS implementation, an implementation approach on which the FAA has specifically requested comments.

AAAE made the following requests: (1) We request that the FAA make results and recommendations from all three phases of the pilot studies available before closing the comment period. (2) The FAA is under a statutory deadline to implement the part 121 SMS rule and has proposed a short time schedule for issuing its part 139 training requirements. We request that the comment period remain open until the other regulatory documents have been issued in their final form. (3) The agency also has committed to issuing an advisory circular on implementation of SMS requirements. We request that the agency leave the comment period open on the proposed SMS rule until at least a draft of the advisory circular is issued. That way, respondents can comment on both documents simultaneously.

AAAE suggests the comment period remain open until at least September 30, 2011.

FAA Response to the Requests

The FAA has carefully considered the requests for extension of the comment period. The FAA believes that the narrative and analysis in the NPRM and Initial Regulatory Evaluation, which was made available in the docket concurrently with NPRM publication, contain sufficient detail and supporting data to permit meaningful comment by the public. The FAA also notes that it has received thoughtful comments from several airports, indicating that sufficient information currently exists in the docket to permit meaningful comment. However, the FAA acknowledges there is a belief among some members of the public that additional information may result in better comments. From the request submitted, it appears the bulk of this concern involves the FAA’s implementation strategy for SMS and the results of the pilot study.

To address this concern about insufficient information, the FAA has determined to pursue a combination of strategies. First, the FAA will accept and respond to specific clarifying questions submitted by the public. This strategy will allow the public to identify specific areas of the NPRM or Initial Regulatory Evaluation that are unclear or for which more information may be desired. The intent is that the public would be able to obtain specific information from the FAA provided that information exists. The specific procedure is discussed in the

“Procedures for Filing Clarifying Questions” section of this notice and permits a 30-day period for the public to submit questions, a 45-day period for the FAA to respond to the questions, and a 45-day period for the public to review the information and submit comments to the proposed rule. The FAA is seeking permission from pilot study participants to place documents developed during those studies in the docket. Because those documents are the property of the pilot study participants and may contain confidential or proprietary information, the FAA will make available documents to the extent permitted and as soon as possible. The FAA believes these strategies respond to ACI’s request (1), (2), and (4) and AAAE’s request (1).

In the NPRM, the FAA stated that it would develop and make available an AC on SMS prior to issuance of the final rule. The FAA currently is developing that document. The FAA also is conducting a third pilot study on the implementation of SMS, which began after the NPRM was published. The purpose of the pilot study and AC is to facilitate implementation of the proposed rule and to provide additional examples of how an airport could develop and implement its SMS. The AC likely will provide multiple means to comply with the regulation, some of which are outlined in the NPRM preamble, but the AC is not a substitute for the regulation nor does it provide the only means of compliance. Additionally, the FAA does not anticipate the AC will expand the “scope and scale” of SMS from what is discussed in the NPRM and Initial Regulatory Evaluation. The FAA also does not anticipate the third pilot study or AC would result in significant changes to the proposed rule. Of course, the FAA may change the rule after careful consideration of comments to the proposal. The FAA does not believe a draft AC does not add value to the rulemaking process. Nevertheless, the FAA intends, as it routinely does, to publish a draft AC in advance of publication of any final rule. There will be opportunity for the public to comment on that draft AC, for the FAA to carefully consider those comments, and for the FAA to respond to those comments either before or simultaneously with publication of a final rule. The FAA believes this answers ACI’s request (3) and AAAE’s request (3).

The FAA acknowledges there are a variety of rulemaking initiatives currently in process, among them an NPRM for SMS for part 121 operators and an NPRM for part 139 safety enhancements. Although these rulemakings have some relationship to the airport SMS NPRM, they are separate rulemakings involving different issues and separate schedules. The FAA finds no merit, other than to delay FAA rulemaking efforts, to holding open the comment period on this rulemaking initiative until final rules are issued with respect to the other initiatives. The FAA believes this answers AAAE’s request (2).

Extension of Comment Period

In accordance with § 11.47(c) of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, the FAA has reviewed the petitions made by ACI–NA and AAAE for a second extension of the comment period to Notice No. 10–14.

The FAA finds no merit to extending the comment period seven or more months, as requested by ACI–NA and AAAE. However, to accomplish the strategies for providing additional information to the public, the FAA has determined that an extension of 120 days is appropriate and sufficient. The FAA has determined the extension is consistent with the public interest, and that good cause exists for this action. Absent exceptional circumstances, the FAA does not anticipate any further extension of the comment period for this rulemaking.

Accordingly, the comment period for Notice No. 10–14 is extended until July 5, 2011.

Procedures for Filing Clarifying Questions

The following procedures are not a substitute for filing substantive questions and comments to the NPRM. The procedures for submitting those types of comments are discussed in the NPRM and repeated in the “Additional Information” section of this notice. Commenters should follow those procedures to file substantive comments by July 5, 2011.

To submit a request to the FAA for clarification of the NPRM (Docket Number FAA–2010–0997), you must send your request using the following method by April 6, 2011. The FAA requests any clarifying questions or comments address specific issues raised by the NPRM or Initial Regulatory Evaluation to permit meaningful FAA response.


2. In addition to sending your request to the electronic docket, send a copy of the request via e-mail to the appropriate subject matter expert:
   • Technical clarifications: Keri Spencer, Office of Airports Safety and Standards, Federal Aviation Administration, e-mail keri.spencer@faa.gov.
   • Legal clarifications: Robert Hawks, Office of the Chief Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, e-mail rob.hawks@faa.gov.
   • Cost/benefit clarifications: Nicole Nance, Office of Aviation Policy and Plans, Federal Aviation Administration, e-mail nicole.nance@faa.gov.

The FAA will respond to all clarifying questions submitted by April 6, 2011. The responses will be provided directly to you and posted in the rulemaking docket. The FAA expects to provide responses by May 21, 2011.

Additional Information

A. Comments Invited

The FAA invites interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, data, or views. The agency also invites comments relating to the economic, environmental, energy, or federalism impacts that might result from adopting the proposed rule. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. To ensure the docket does not contain duplicate comments, commenters should send only one copy of written comments, or if comments are filed electronically, commenters should submit only one time.

You may send comments identified by docket number FAA–2010–0997 using any of the following methods:
   • Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Illinois; Missouri; Saint Louis Nonattainment Area; Determination of Attainment of the Fine Particle Standard

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to determine that the Saint Louis PM$_{2.5}$ nonattainment area in Illinois and Missouri has attained the 1997 annual fine particle (PM$_{2.5}$) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). This proposed determination of attainment is based upon complete, quality assured, quality controlled, and certified ambient air monitoring data, from the 2007–2009 monitoring period, which show that the Saint Louis area has monitored attainment of the 1997 annual PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS. EPA also evaluated incomplete data from this period from other monitors in the area, as well as preliminary data available to date for 2010. EPA believes these data support the determination that the area has attained the 1997 annual PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS. If this proposed determination is made final, the requirements for this area to submit an attainment demonstration, associated reasonably available control measures (RACM) to include reasonably available control technology (RACT), a reasonable further progress plan, contingency measures, and other planning State Implementation Plans (SIPs) revisions related to attainment of the 1997 annual PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS shall be suspended for so long as the area continues to attain the 1997 annual PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS.

EPA's determination that this area has attained the 1997 annual PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS is not equivalent to redesignating the area to attainment. This action does not constitute a redesignation to attainment under section 107(d)(3) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) because the States of Missouri and Illinois have not yet submitted, and EPA has not yet approved, a maintenance plan for the area as required under that section and section 175A of the Act, nor has EPA promulgated a determination that the area has met other requirements for redesignation. The designation status of the area will remain nonattainment for the 1997 annual PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS until such time as EPA determines that this area meets the CAA requirements for redesignation to attainment.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 6, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2010–0034, by one of the following methods:

2. E-mail: aburano.douglas@epa.gov.
3. Fax: (312) 408–2279.
5. Hand Delivery: Douglas Aburano, Chief, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Regional Office official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2010–0034. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless