31. Department of State, Bureau of Diplomatic Security (N1–59–10–25, 2 items, 2 temporary items). Records of the Diplomatic Security Command Center’s initial reporting on domestic and overseas security incidents, including brief summaries of possible security incidents and daily multiple-source synopses of events and concerns in countries around the world. Permanent, substantive reports on security concerns and incidents are captured elsewhere in the bureau’s records.

32. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (N1–406–09–26, 22 items, 20 temporary items). Planning and program development records of the Federal Aid program, including airport access files, annual statistical data, Appalachian development highway system program records, certification of public mileage, coastal zone management files, coal haul road study files, smart growth files, economic studies and surveys, State-wide transportation improvement program and transportation improvement plans files, Federal Aid system files, map files, metropolitan planning organizations files, State-wide planning and research status reports, public transportation files, non-motorized needs files, State obligations, highway systems correspondence, State traffic count data and size and weight program files. Proposed for permanent retention are planning and research subject files and National Scenic Byways studies.


34. Export-Import Bank of the United States, Agency-wide (N1–275–10–7, 1 item, 1 temporary item). Copies of Department of State cables used by the agency for informational purposes.

35. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Agency-wide (N1–255–09–2, 1 item, 1 temporary item). Records relating to general employee suggestions including background papers, suggestions, approvals, disapprovals, and review processes.

36. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Agency-wide (N1–255–10–3, 6 items, 5 temporary items). Records relating to the agency’s education programs including education packages, project descriptions, funding sources, participant records, smart, and survey responses. Proposed for permanent retention are curriculum materials.

Dated: February 17, 2011.

Michael J. Kurtz,
Assistant Archivist for Records Services—Washington, DC.

[FR Doc. 2011–4264 Filed 2–23–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
[Docket No. 50–346; NRC–2010–0253]

License No. NPF–3; FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company Notice of Issuance of Director’s Decision

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), has issued a Director’s Decision with regard to a petition dated April 5, 2010, filed by David Lochbaum, hereafter referred to as the “Petitioner.” The petition concerns the operation of the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 (DBNPS).

The petition requested that the NRC issue a Show Cause Order, or comparable enforcement action, preventing the DBNPS from restarting following the shutdown in February 2010, until adequate protection standards were met. As the basis for the April 5, 2010, request, the Petitioner states that FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company (the licensee for DBNPS) has violated Federal regulations and the explicit conditions of its operating license by operating for longer than 6 hours with pressure boundary leakage. The Petitioner considers such operation to be potentially unsafe and to be in violation of Federal regulations. To support the Petitioner’s belief that the facility is prohibited from operating longer than 6 hours with pressure boundary leakage, the petition references the facility operating license; the technical specifications for DBNPS; Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 50, “Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities,” Appendix A, “General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants”; and the Standard Technical Specifications.

The petition of April 5, 2010, states that the licensee for DBNPS has repeatedly violated Federal regulations and the explicit conditions of its operating license by operating the reactor longer than 6 hours with pressure boundary leakage. In doing so, the Petitioner believes that the public was exposed to elevated and undue risk. The NRC’s regulations and the operating license the NRC issued for DBNPS define adequate protection standards, which include zero reactor coolant pressure boundary leakage during operation, with the requirement to shut down the reactor within 6 hours if such leakage exists. The Petitioner states that with regard to the DBNPS, evidence demonstrates that the adequate protection standard was not met on multiple occasions and that it is imperative for the NRC to act now to protect the public.

The NRC sent a copy of the proposed Director’s Decision to the Petitioner and to the licensee on November 10, 2010. The Petitioner responded with comments on November 23, 2010, and the licensee did not provide comments. The final Director’s Decision includes a summary of the comments and the NRC staff’s response to them.

The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined that the request to issue a Show Cause Order or comparable enforcement related action to the licensee for DBNPS is denied. The Director’s Decision (DD–11–02) pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206, “Requests for Action under This Subpart,” explains the reasons for this decision. The complete text of the Director’s Decision is available for inspection in the Agendywide Documents Access and Management System at the Commission’s Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC’s Web site, http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html (the Public Electronic Reading Room), ADAMS Accession No. ML110250189.

In summary, the NRC has completed a rigorous Special Inspection and determined that enforcement is not required for this matter and that the NRC has reasonable assurance that adequate protection standards have been met and will continue to be met at DBNPS. NRC Region III Inspection Report 05000346/2010–008, dated October 22, 2010, focused on these concerns. The NRC Special Inspection Team was chartered to assess the circumstances surrounding the identification of the flaws in the reactor pressure vessel head control rod drive mechanism (CRDM) nozzle penetrations at DBNPS. The NRC has reviewed in detail the CRDM nozzle cracking, as well as the circumstances surrounding the causes of this cracking and previous opportunities for identification and intervention. The NRC’s inspection determined that the public health and safety have not been, nor are likely to
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Week of March 14, 2011—Tentative
There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 14, 2011.

Week of March 21, 2011—Tentative
There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 21, 2011.

Week of March 28, 2011—Tentative

Tuesday, March 29, 2011
9 a.m. Briefing on Small Modular Reactors (Public Meeting) (Contact: Stephanie Coffin, 301–415–6877)
This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov, * * * * *
*The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—(301) 415–1292. Contact person for more information: Rochelle Bavol, (301) 415–1651.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/policy-making/schedule.html, * * * * *

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify Bill Dosch, Chief, Work Life and Benefits Branch, at 301–415–6200, TDD: 301–415–2100, or by e-mail at william.dosch@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

* * * * *
This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or send an e-mail to darlene.wright@nrc.gov.

Dated: February 17, 2011.

Rochelle C. Bavol,
Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.
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I. Introduction

On February 11, 2011, the Postal Service filed a request pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq. to add International Business Reply Service (IBRS) Competitive Contract 3 to the competitive product list and announcing that it has entered into an additional IBRS contract, which is the successor to an IBRS 2 contract. The Postal Service asserts that the new IBRS Competitive Contract 3 product is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2011–21.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Id. Attachment 2. The contract is assigned Docket No. CP2011–59.