

The application was processed in accordance with T/IM procedures, as authorized by FTZ Board Orders 1347 (69 FR 52857, 8/30/04) and 1480 (71 FR 55422, 9/22/06). The Baxter facility and activity in question had initially been proposed to the FTZ Board for subzone authority, including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (FTZ Doc. 60–2010, 75 FR 65448, 10/25/2010). To enable expedited authority for Baxter in the context of the FTZ Board's recent approval of the reorganization of FTZ 22 under the alternative site framework (ASF) (Board Order 1738, 1/12/2011, 76 FR 4285, 1/25/2011), FTZ 22 subsequently requested to designate the Baxter facility as a usage-driven site (Site 21, A27f-2–2011, 1/14/2011), and requested T/IM authority for Baxter's manufacturing of I.V. products. The foreign-origin component approved for this activity is laminated film (HTSUS 3920.10). The FTZ staff examiner reviewed the application and determined that it meets the criteria for approval under T/IM procedures. As noted above, public comment had been sought on the specific proposed activity through the **Federal Register** notice published regarding the proposed subzone authority (FTZ Doc. 60–2010).

Pursuant to the authority delegated to the FTZ Board Executive Secretary in the above-referenced Board Orders, the application for T/IM authority is approved, effective this date, until February 11, 2013, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Dated: February 11, 2011.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–3890 Filed 2–18–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1741]

Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 181 under Alternative Site Framework; Akron/Canton, OH

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR 1170, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

Whereas, the Northeast Ohio Trade & Economic Consortium, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 181, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 49–2010, filed 8/17/2010) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of Ashtabula, Trumbull, Mahoning, Columbiana, Portage, Summit, Stark, Medina, Wayne and Richland Counties, Ohio, adjacent to the Cleveland Customs and Border Protection port of entry, FTZ 181's existing Sites 1, 3–6, and 8–27 would be categorized as magnet sites, and the grantee proposes an additional magnet site (Site 29);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 51753–51754, 8/23/2010) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendation of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 181 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 3–5, 8, 10, 11, 14–21, 23, 25–27 and 29 if not activated by February 29, 2016, and to a seven-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 6, 9, 12, 13, 22 and 24 if not activated by February 28, 2018.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of February, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–3895 Filed 2–18–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Regulations and Procedures Technical Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

The Regulations and Procedures Technical Advisory Committee (RPTAC)

will meet March 15, 2011, 9 a.m., Room 4830, in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, 14th Street between Constitution and Pennsylvania Avenues, NW., Washington, DC. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration on implementation of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) and provides for continuing review to update the EAR as needed.

Agenda

Public Session

1. Opening remarks by the Chairman.
2. Opening remarks by Bureau of Industry and Security.
3. Export Enforcement update.
4. Regulations update.
5. Working group reports.
6. Automated Export System (AES) update.
7. Presentation of papers or comments by the Public.

Closed Session

8. Discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 §§ 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3).

The open session will be accessible via teleconference to 20 participants on a first come, first serve basis. To join the conference, submit inquiries to Ms. Yvette Springer at Yspringer@bis.doc.gov no later than March 8, 2011.

A limited number of seats will be available for the public session. Reservations are not accepted. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. The public may submit written statements at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate the distribution of public presentation materials to the Committee members, the Committee suggests that presenters forward the public presentation materials prior to the meeting to Ms. Springer via e-mail.

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on February 9, 2011, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. app. 2 §§ (10)(d)), that the portion of the meeting dealing with matters the disclosure of which would be likely to frustrate significantly implementation of an agency action as described in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B) shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 §§ 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3). The remaining portions of the meeting will be open to the public.

For more information, call Yvette Springer at (202) 482-2813.

Dated: February 16, 2011.

Yvette Springer,

Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011-3914 Filed 2-18-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-837]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 16, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film (PET Film) from Taiwan. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 49902 (August 16, 2010) (*Preliminary Results*). The review was requested by DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film of America, SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. (collectively, Petitioners). This review covers the following producers/exporters of the subject merchandise: Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, Ltd. (Nan Ya), and Shinkong Synthetic Fibers Corporation (SSFC) and Shinkong Materials Technology Co., Ltd. (SMTC) (collectively, Shinkong). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2009. Based on the results of our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the preliminary results, which are discussed in the "Changes Since the Preliminary Results" section, below. For the final dumping margins, see the "Final Results of Review" section, below.

DATES: *Effective Date:* February 22, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gene Calvert or Jun Jack Zhao, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 428-3586 or (202) 428-1396, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 16, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results*. In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department preliminarily determined that, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f), SSFC and SMTC should be treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating an antidumping margin. The Department also found that despite the passing of a single family member, Nan Ya was still affiliated with three U.S. customers through a family grouping. Subsequent to the publication of the *Preliminary Results*, these affiliated U.S. customers submitted revised sales datasets, as requested by the Department, to correct information regarding their reported product matching information, and to correct problems preventing the accurate consolidation of their sales data with Nan Ya's datasets. As a result, Nan Ya's margin has changed for these final results.

On December 10, 2010, the Department extended the deadline for issuing the final results until no later than February 14, 2011. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 76954 (December 10, 2010).

On December 22, 2010, the Department determined that amorphous polyethylene terephthalate (APET) film products that are not biaxially-oriented, such as the APET products produced by Nan Ya, are not covered by the scope of the antidumping order on PET Film from Taiwan. *See Memorandum from Barbara E. Tillman, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Taiwan: Final Scope Ruling on Amorphous Polyethylene Terephthalate Film,"* December 22, 2010 (Scope Memorandum). The Department reached this conclusion after analyzing findings of the U.S. International Trade Commission regarding the delimiting nature of biaxial-orientation as a product characteristic of subject PET Film, as well as the Department's previous determination that biaxially-oriented APET is not within the scope of the antidumping duty (AD) order on PET Film from the People's Republic of China (PRC), for which the scope is essentially identical to the scope in the subject case, except for language excluding Roller transport cleaning film, and tracing and drafting film from the

PRC AD order. *See Memorandum to John M. Andersen, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Final Scope Ruling on Amorphous Polyethylene Terephthalate Sheet, Glycol-modified Polyethylene Terephthalate Sheet, and Co-extruded Amorphous Polyethylene Terephthalate Sheet with Glycol-modified Polyethylene Terephthalate Sheets on the Outer Surfaces,"* January 7, 2010. As we noted in the Scope Memorandum, the exclusionary language referenced above was not relevant to the scope ruling with respect to the instant proceeding. Both Nan Ya and Shinkong informed the Department that they did not report sales of any merchandise within the scope ruling. Thus, no adjustments were needed to the *Preliminary Results* as a result of the scope ruling for either company.

The Department noted in the *Preliminary Results* that additional supplemental questions regarding quarterly cost information had been issued to both Nan Ya and Shinkong to determine whether it was appropriate to use shorter cost averaging periods in calculating cost of production and constructed value. After reviewing responses to these questionnaires, on December 23, 2010, the Department issued post-preliminary results of review and determined that the use of an alternative cost averaging methodology (*i.e.*, quarterly cost) was not warranted. Thus, the post-preliminary results of review resulted in no changes to either respondent's AD margin. *See Memorandum from Mark Hoadley, Program Manager, Office 6, to Christian Marsh, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, "2008-2009 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from Taiwan: Post-Preliminary Analysis and Calculation Memorandum for Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, Ltd. (Nan Ya) and Shinkong Synthetic Fibers Corporation (Shinkong)"* (Post-Preliminary Analysis Memorandum), December 23, 2010.

With the release of the Post-Preliminary Analysis Memorandum, the Department notified interested parties that they were to file their case briefs with the Department by January 7, 2011, and rebuttal briefs were to be filed by January 13, 2011, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1). *See Memorandum from Gene Calvert, International Trade Compliance Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6 to All Interested Parties, "Deadlines for the Submission of Case Briefs and Rebuttal Briefs for the*