businesses or to businesses that cannot otherwise borrow capital. On occasion, RLFs also make loans to finance public infrastructure. As the loans are repaid, the grantee uses a portion of interest earned to pay administrative expenses and adds the remaining principal and interest repayments to the RLF’s capital base to make new loans. An RLF award that is well managed is actively used to make loans to eligible businesses and entities, continues to revolve funds, and does not have a termination date.

One of the unique features of the program is that, by law, EDA must exercise fiduciary responsibility over its RLF portfolio in perpetuity—a significant challenge since many RLF grants date back to 1979. EDA’s regulations therefore require EDA RLF grantees to submit an ED–209, Semiannual Report to EDA, every six months for each RLF they operate. In addition, EDA RLF grantees must submit an ED–209, RLF Income and Expense Statement, if either of the following conditions applies to their RLF: (a) Total administrative expenses for the 6-month period exceed $100,000, or (b) administrative expenses for the 6-month period exceed 50 percent of RLF income for the 6-month period. EDA requires that both of these reports be completed and submitted via the web-based Revolving Loan Fund Management System (RLFMS). This system was successfully launched on April 1, 2010, and was designed to eliminate duplicative data entry fields and pre-populate all calculable fields.

### II. Method of Collection

Electronically via the RLFMS.

### III. Data

- **OMB Control Number**: 0610–0095.
- **Form Number(s)**: ED–209 and ED–209I.
- **Type of Review**: Regular submission (extension of a currently approved information collection).
- **Affected Public**: Not for-profit institutions; state or local governments.
- **Estimated Number of Respondents**: 1,460.
- **Estimated Time per Response**: ED–209, 3 hours; and ED–209I, 1 hour.
- **Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours**: 3,796.
- **Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public**: $0.

### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 4, 2011.

Gwennlar Banks, Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

---

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Economic Development Administration**

**Notice of Petitions by Firms for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance**

**AGENCY:** Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice and Opportunity for Public Comment.

Pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2341 et seq.), the Economic Development Administration (EDA) has received petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance from the firms listed below. Accordingly, EDA has initiated investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each of these firms contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the firm’s workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

---

### LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE 1/19/2011 THROUGH 2/3/2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firm name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date accepted for investigation</th>
<th>Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Disposables, Inc</td>
<td>6 East Main Street, Ware, MA 01082</td>
<td>21–Jan–11</td>
<td>The firm manufactures disposable hospital pads as well as housebreaking pads for pets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awnings, Canopy Systems, Inc</td>
<td>201 Industrial Park Place, Cassville, MO 65625–0906</td>
<td>01–Feb–11</td>
<td>The firm manufactures awnings, walkway covers, kiosk buildings, entrance canopies, aluminum composite wall panels, and steel bridges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker Road Furniture Corporation</td>
<td>730 Schneider Drive, South Elgin, IL 60177</td>
<td>01–Feb–11</td>
<td>The firm manufactures products such as home office furniture, bookcases, bedroom furniture, and entertainment furniture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiwassee Manufacturing Company, Inc</td>
<td>1030 N. Redmond Road, Jacksonville, AR 72076–9558</td>
<td>26–Jan–11</td>
<td>The firm manufactures appliance and automotive decorative trim metal, graphic panels, inserts, nameplates, escutcheons, tags and labels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.A. Najarian, Inc</td>
<td>17 Willard Street, PO Box 614, Greene, NY 13778</td>
<td>01–Feb–11</td>
<td>The firm manufactures custom run narrow fabric ribbon for a wide variety of industries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosewood Industries, Inc</td>
<td>1203 E. Central Terrace, Siglitz, OK 74462</td>
<td>24–Jan–11</td>
<td>The firm manufactures wooden case goods including kitchen cabinets, vanities, and other utility spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Sport Optics, Inc</td>
<td>1 Freeport Center; Building F–13, Clearfield, UT 84016</td>
<td>26–Jan–11</td>
<td>The firm manufactures sun, safety, industrial and underwater goggles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room 7106, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA’s regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.


Miriam Kearse, Eligibility Certifier.

[FR Doc. 2011–2838 Filed 2–8–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–24–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–836]
Notice of Final Results of Expeditied Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order: Glycine From the People’s Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). The Department determined that continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation. On October 5, 2010, the Department received a notice of intent to participate from the following domestic interested parties: GEO Specialty Chemicals ("GEO") and Chattem Chemicals, Inc. ("Chattem") (collectively the Glycine Producers of the United States or “the domestic interested parties”), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(I). See Letter from David M. Schwartz, to Secretary Gary Locke, titled “Sunset Review of the Antidumping Order on Glycine from the People’s Republic of China—Notification of Intent to Participate by Domestic Interested Partied,” dated October 5, 2010. In this letter, the domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as U.S. manufacturers of glycine. On October 29, 2010, the Department received a complete substantive response from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(I). See Letter from David M. Schwartz, to Secretary Gary Locke, titled “Sunset Review of the Antidumping Order on Glycine from the People’s Republic of China—Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation,” dated October 29, 2010. The Department did not receive any comments from producers or exporters of glycine from the PRC.

Based on the submissions of the domestic interested parties and pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department has conducted the sunset review on an expedited basis.

Scope of Order

The product covered by the order is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar. Glycine is produced at varying levels of purity and is used as a sweetener/taste enhancer, a buffering agent, reabsorbable amino acid, chemical intermediate, and a metal complexing agent. This order covers glycine of all purity levels. Glycine is currently classified under subheading 2922.49.4020 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). In a separate scope ruling, the Department determined that D(-)

Phenyglycine Ethyl Dane Salt is outside the scope of the order. See Notice of Scope Rulings, 62 FR 62288 (November 21, 1997). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (“Decision Memorandum”) from Christopher Mars, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated January 31, 2011, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the accompanying Decision Memorandum address the likelihood of a continuation or recurrence of dumping were the order to be revoked and also the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail upon revocation. Parties can find a complete discussion of the issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC would be likely to lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margin:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturers/producers/exporters</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRC-Wide Entity (all manufacturers/producers/exporters)</td>
<td>155.89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Department is issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.


Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–2838 Filed 2–8–11; 8:45 am]
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