DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request
February 3, 2011.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques and other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV or fax (202) 395–5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, D.C. 20250–7602. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of receiving full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720–8681.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Forest Service
Title: Contract Operations and Administration.
OMB Control Number: 0596–NEW.


Need and Use of the Information: The information is needed by the FS for a variety of uses associated with operations and administration of contracts for the sale of timber and other forest products. The information collected includes plans, requests, agreements and notices necessary for operations under the terms of the contracts. Each contract specifies the information the contractor will be required to provide, including the timing and frequency of the information collection. The information is submitted in a variety of formats including FS forms; Government Standard forms; forms developed by individual contractors, charts, maps, e-mail messages and letters.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for-profit; Farms; Not-for-profit institutions.
Number of Respondents: 1,539.
Frequency of Responses: Reporting: Annually; Semi-annually; Monthly; On occasion.
Total Burden Hours: 91,355.

Charlene Parker,
Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.
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DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. APHIS–2010–0047]

Monsanto Company and KWS SAAT AG; Decision With Respect to the Petition for Partial Deregulation of Genetically Engineered Roundup Ready Sugar Beets

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: We are advising the public of our decision to “partially deregulate” Roundup Ready® sugar beets developed by the Monsanto Company (Monsanto) and KWS SAAT AG (KWS), designated as event H7–1, in response to a supplemental Monsanto/KWS petition requesting partial deregulation of event H7–1. APHIS has determined that it will, for an interim period of time, grant the petition in part. APHIS will grant a partial deregulation for event H7–1 sugar beet seed crop production activities when conducted under certain mandatory conditions. APHIS has decided not to grant partial deregulation for event H7–1 sugar beet seed crop production. Rather, APHIS has decided that event H7–1 sugar beet seed production shall remain regulated under APHIS’ regulations governing the introduction of certain genetically engineered organisms. Our decision granting the petition in part on an interim basis is based on our evaluation of data submitted by Monsanto and KWS in its supplemental petition for a determination of “partial deregulation,” our analysis of other scientific data, and comments received from the public in response to our previous notice announcing the availability of the environmental assessment (EA) associated with the supplemental petition for partial deregulation. This notice also announces the availability of our written decision, final EA, and finding of no significant impact.

DATES: Effective Date: February 8, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may read the documents referenced in this notice and the comments we received in our reading room. The reading room is located in room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 690–2817 before coming.

Documents referenced in this notice are also available on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov/fdmspublic/component/main?main=DocketDetail&d=APHIS–2010–0047.

Other Information: Additional information about APHIS and its programs is available on the Internet at http://www.aphis.usda.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David Reinhold, Assistant Director, Environmental Risk Analysis Programs, BRS, APHIS, 4700 River Road, Unit 146, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238; (301) 734–0660.
To enter into a compliance agreement to introduce event H7–1 sugar beet root crop, contact APHIS’ Regulatory Operations Programs at (301) 734–5301. To obtain copies of the documents referenced in this notice, contact Ms. Cindy Eck at (301) 734–0667, e-mail: cynthia.a.eck@aphis.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The regulations in 7 CFR part 340, “Introduction of Organisms and Products Altered or Produced Through Genetic Engineering Which Are Plant Pests or Which There Is Reason to Believe Are Plant Pests,” regulate, among other things, the introduction (importation, interstate movement, or release into the environment) of organisms and products altered or produced through genetic engineering that are plant pests or that there is reason to believe are plant pests. Such genetically engineered organisms and products are considered “regulated articles.”

On November 4, 2010, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) published a notice 1 in the Federal Register (75 FR 67945–67946, Docket No. APHIS–2010–0047) announcing the availability of an environmental assessment for a supplemental petition from the Monsanto Company (Monsanto) and KWS SAAT AG (KWS) requesting “partial deregulation” or similar administrative action under 7 CFR part 340 (referred to below as the regulations) for sugar beets (Beta vulgaris ssp. vulgaris) designated as event H7–1. These sugar beets have been genetically engineered for tolerance to the herbicide glyphosate and are considered regulated articles under the regulations in 7 CFR part 340. The supplemental petition seeks action by APHIS that would authorize the continued cultivation of H7–1 sugar beets, subject to carefully tailored interim measures.

APHIS received 3,722 comments during the comment period. There were 3,658 comments from groups or individuals who supported the “partial deregulation” and 633 from those who opposed the “partial deregulation.” APHIS has addressed the issues raised during the comment period and has provided responses to these comments as an attachment to the finding of no significant impact.

The supplemental petition is related to a petition submitted by Monsanto and KWS to APHIS on November 19, 2003, seeking a determination of nonregulated status for event H7–1 sugar beets (Petition 03–323–01). On October 19, 2004, APHIS published a notice in the Federal Register (69 FR 61466–61467, Docket No. 04–075–1) announcing that the Monsanto/KWS petition and an environmental assessment (EA) were available for public review. On March 17, 2005, we published a notice in the Federal Register (70 FR 13007–13008, Docket No. 04–075–2) advising the public of our determination, effective March 4, 2005, that event H7–1 sugar beets were fully deregulated and no longer considered a regulated article under the regulations. On September 21, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California issued a ruling in a lawsuit challenging APHIS’ decision to deregulate event H7–1 sugar beets, finding that APHIS should have completed an environmental impact statement (EIS) prior to granting full deregulation of H7–1 sugar beets. Later, on August 13, 2010, the Court vacated APHIS’ decision to deregulate event H7–1 sugar beets until APHIS prepares a full EIS prior to a further decision on the petition for full deregulation and remanded the matter to APHIS.

Accordingly, event H7–1 sugar beets once again became a regulated article subject to APHIS’ regulatory oversight under 7 CFR part 340 and the Plant Protection Act.

National Environmental Policy Act

To provide the public with documentation of APHIS’ review and analysis of any potential environmental impacts associated with Monsanto/ KWS’ petition for “partial deregulation” for event H7–1 sugar beets, an EA was prepared in accordance with: (1) The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), (2) regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), (3) USDA regulations implementing NEPA (7 CFR part 1b), and (4) APHIS’ NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372).

The draft EA considered and evaluated a range of alternatives. APHIS’ preferred alternative is an interim partial deregulation—a combination of alternatives 2 and 3. The preferred alternative incorporates specific aspects of both alternatives 2 and 3. Under this preferred alternative, pursuant to the regulations, APHIS will partially deregulate the event H7–1 sugar beet root crop. APHIS has determined that they will not be subject to requirements of 7 CFR part 340 if they are grown under the mandatory conditions established by APHIS. Event H7–1 sugar beet root crop production activities conducted under these mandatory conditions will not be considered regulated under 7 CFR part 340. Event H7–1 sugar beet seed crop will remain regulated subject to requirements of 7 CFR part 340, requiring a permit or notification for movement and environmental release.

Under the partial, conditional deregulation, APHIS will require compliance with mandatory conditions for the root crop that will restrict its movement and environmental release via APHIS compliance agreements authorized under the Plant Protection Act. Any person who wants to enter into a compliance agreement must first contact APHIS’ Regulatory Operations Programs by calling the phone number listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT to enter into an agreement in advance of the introduction.

This preferred alternative, including a conditional, partial deregulation, is an interim action that is limited in scope and duration and will neither result in significant impacts to the human environment nor prejudice any decision to be analyzed in the forthcoming EIS for a determination regarding full deregulation of event H7–1 sugar beets. APHIS has determined that the mandatory conditions imposed pursuant to the partial deregulation of event H7–1 sugar beet root crop, as well as permitting of the seed crop under 7 CFR part 340, ensures that the implementation of this interim regulatory action will not result in any environmental impacts which may significantly affect the quality of the human environment. The mandatory conditions will also effectively ensure that no potentially harmful economic or marketing impacts will occur in the interim while APHIS completes its EIS prior to making a determination on whether or not to grant full nonregulated status to event H7–1 sugar beets.

Determination

Based on APHIS’ analysis of data submitted by Monsanto and KWS, references provided in the petition, information analyzed in the plant pest risk assessment and the EA, comments provided by the public, and information provided in APHIS’ response to those public comments, APHIS has determined that the event H7–1 sugar beet root crop grown under mandatory conditions is unlikely to pose a plant

1 To review the notice and the supporting and related material, go to http://www.regulations.gov;fdmspublic/component/main?main=DocketDetail&d=APHIS–2010–0047.
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Daniel Boone National Forest Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Daniel Boone National Forest Resource Advisory Committee will meet in London, Kentucky. The committee is authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (Pub. L. 110–343) and in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The primary objective of the meeting is to review proposed project applications.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Monday, March 7, 2011 at 6 p.m. EST.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Cumberland Valley Area Development District, 342 Old Whitley Road, London, KY 40744 in a meeting room on the basement floor. Written comments should be sent to Kimberly Morgan, Daniel Boone National Forest, 1700 Bypass Road, Winchester, KY 40391. Comments may also be sent via e-mail to kmorgan@fs.fed.us or via facsimile to 859–744–1568. All comments, including names and addresses when provided, are placed in the record and are available for public inspection and copying. The public may inspect received comments at Daniel Boone National Forest, 1700 Bypass Road, Winchester, KY 40391. Visitors are encouraged to call ahead at 859–745–3100 to arrange an appointment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Foster, 850–523–8568, e-mail kmorgan@fs.fed.us. Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. The following business will be conducted: (1) Review committee operating guide; (2) Discuss mileage reimbursement for committee members; (3) Review and discuss submitted project applications; (4) Vote to approve project proposals; and (5) Public Comments. Persons who wish to bring related matters to the attention of the Resource Advisory Committee may file written statements with the committee staff before or after the meeting.

Dated: February 1, 2011.

Frank R. Beum, Forest Supervisor, Daniel Boone National Forest.
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DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Federal Advisory Committee Meeting To Be Held Authorized Under the Secure Rural Schools Act and Community Self-Determination Act, Public Law 110–343

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Announcement of meeting.

SUMMARY: On February 28, 2011, the U.S. Forest Service will host a meeting of the federally designated Secure Rural Schools Resource Advisory Committee (RAC). The public is invited to attend the meeting and provide input. A Secure Rural Schools RAC provides advice and recommendations to the Forest Service on the development and implementation of special projects as authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act, Public Law 110–343.

DATES: The meeting will be held on February 28, 2011 from 12–4.

ADDRESSES: The meeting location is U.S. Forest Service, Osceola Ranger District, 24874 U.S. Highway 90, Olustee, Florida 32072.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Denise Rains, Public Services Staff Officer, 850–523–8568, e-mail drains@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Florida’s RAC consists of 15 people selected to serve on the committee by Secretary of Agriculture Tom Vilsack. Members are from throughout the state and represent varied interests and areas of expertise. They will work collaboratively to improve working relationships among community members and national forest personnel.

Five Florida counties, Liberty, Wakulla, Columbia, Baker and Marion, elected to set aside a percentage of their Secure Rural Schools payment. Counties receive a payment annually for having National Forest lands within their boundaries. The RAC will ultimately review and recommend projects to be funded from this money.

Projects approved must benefit National Forests lands and can maintain infrastructure, improve the health of watersheds and ecosystems, protect communities, and strengthen local economies.