

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**National Park Service****Special Flight Rules Area in the Vicinity of Grand Canyon National Park, Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Grand Canyon National Park, AZ**

AGENCY: National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Special Flight Rules Area in the Vicinity of Grand Canyon National Park, Grand Canyon National Park.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces the availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Special Flight Rules Area in the Vicinity of Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona.

The four alternatives in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that are being considered include:

Alternative A Current Condition: Key elements are corridors open year round, annual allocation cap of 93,971, and no quiet technology incentive. Current tours for helicopters and fixed wing remain the same.

Alternative E Alternating Seasonal Use: Key elements are corridors alternating on a seasonal basis, daily allocation cap of 364 for air tour and air tour related, and conversion to quiet technology aircraft.

Alternative F Modified Current Condition: Key elements are similar to current condition except for one way east bound tour for quiet technology, elimination of Nankowep loop, incentives for quiet technology aircraft, and seasonal shift for Dragon corridor.

NPS Preferred Alternative: Key elements are short-loop corridors alternate on a seasonal basis, four-year phase in of long-loop for quiet technology aircraft, annual allocation cap of 65,000 air tour and related operations and a daily cap of 364 for commercial air tours, increased altitudes for some areas and flight free zones, and conversion of quiet technology within ten years.

DATES: The National Park Service will accept comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement from the public for 120 days after the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes this Notice of Availability. Public meetings will be held within the 120-day public comment period in Phoenix, AZ; Flagstaff, AZ; Grand

Canyon National Park, AZ; Las Vegas, NV; and Salt Lake City, UT; with specific dates, times, and venue locations to be determined. Updates will be announced separately in a press release, and on the NPS's Planning, Environment and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site at <http://www.parkplanning.pepc.gov/grca>.

ADDRESSES: Information will be available for public review and comment online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/grca>, and at Grand Canyon National Park in the Office of the Superintendent, PO Box 129, Grand Canyon, Arizona 86023, 928-638-7945, and in the Office of Planning and Compliance, Mary Killeen, PO Box 129, Grand Canyon, Arizona 86023, 928-638-7885.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Killeen, PO Box 129, Grand Canyon, Arizona 86023, 928-638-7885, Mary_Killeen@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If you wish to comment, you may submit your comments by any one of several methods. The preferred method is to comment on the Planning Environment and Public Comment Web site (PEPC) via the Internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/grca>. You may also mail comments to the Office of Planning and Compliance, PO Box 129, Grand Canyon, Arizona 86023. Finally, you may hand-deliver comments to Superintendent, 1 Village Loop, Park Headquarters, Grand Canyon National Park, Grand Canyon, Arizona 86023. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: June 15, 2010.

Mary Gibson-Scott,

Acting Regional Director, Intermountain Region, National Park Service.

Editorial Note: This document was received in the Office of the Federal Register on February 1, 2011.

[FR Doc. 2011-2521 Filed 2-3-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-ED-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-737]

In the Matter of Certain Liquid Crystal Display Devices and Products Interoperable With the Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 6) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on September 27, 2010, based upon a complaint filed on behalf of Chimei Innolux Corp. of Miaoli County, Taiwan; Chi Mei Optoelectronics U.S.A., Inc., of San Jose, California; and Innolux Corp. of Austin, Texas, on August 23, 2010, and supplemented on September 2 and 10, 2010. The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain liquid crystal display devices and products interoperable with the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of one or

more of claims 1, 5, 12, 17, 18, 20, 21, and 26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,134,092; claims 1–4, 8, 11–14, and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,671,019; and claims 1, 5–7, 9, 10, 16, 19–21, 23, and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 5,732,241. The notice of investigation named as respondents Sony Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Sony Corporation of America of New York, New York; Sony Electronics Corporation of San Diego, California; and Sony Computer Entertainment America, LLC of Foster City, California.

On December 16, 2010, complainants and respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On December 22, 2010, the Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) filed a response in support of the motion. The IA stated that terminating the investigation would not be contrary to the public interest. On January 3, 2011, the ALJ issued Order No. 6, granting the motion. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of section 210.42(h) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 31, 2011.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.

[FR Doc. 2011–2454 Filed 2–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under The Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that on January 31, 2011, a proposed Consent Decree was filed with the United States District Court for the District of Kansas in *United States v. Orval Kent Food Company, Inc.*, No. 2:11-cv-02057-JAR-JPO (D. Kansas). The proposed Consent Decree entered into by the United States and the company resolves the United States’ claims against the Orval Kent for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1319. Under the terms of the Consent Decree, Orval Kent will pay the United States a civil penalty of \$390,000, for excessive discharges of pollutants to the publicly-owned treatment works operated by the city of Baxter Springs, Kansas. In addition, Orval Kent will increase its monitoring of its discharges, and if necessary,

install additional treatment. Further, Orval Kent will undertake a fish restocking project at a cost of \$32,500.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for an additional period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States v. Orval Kent Food Company*, DJ Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–09625.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the District of Kansas, 500 State Ave. Suite 360, Kansas City, KS 66101 (913) 551–6730, and at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7, 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101. During the public comment period, the proposed Agreement may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the proposed Agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$8.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–2427 Filed 2–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Industrial Nacromolecular Crystallography Association

Notice is hereby given that, on January 10, 2011, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Industrial Nacromolecular Crystallography Association (“INCA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney

General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, LLC, Raritan, NJ, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and INCA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 23, 1990, INCA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 3, 1990 (55 FR 49952).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 16, 2009. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 26, 2009 (74 FR 8811).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–2412 Filed 2–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—American Society of Mechanical Engineers

Notice is hereby given that, on January 10, 2011, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), American Society of Mechanical Engineers (“ASME”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, since October 7, 2010, ASME has published three new standards, initiated three new standards activities, and withdrawn one standard within the general nature and scope of ASME’s standards development activities, as specified in its original notification. More detail regarding these