designates the proposal operative upon filing.\textsuperscript{15} At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–BX–2011–002 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BX–2011–002. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BX–2011–002 and should be submitted on or before February 4, 2011.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\textsuperscript{16}

Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary.  

[FR Doc. 2011–772 Filed 1–13–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Designation of Longer Period for Commission Action on Proceedings To Determine Whether To Disapprove Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, To Adopt Rule 4753(c) as a Six Month Pilot in 100 NASDAQ-Listed Securities

January 10, 2011.

I. Introduction

On June 18, 2010, the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“NASDAQ” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) \textsuperscript{1} and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,\textsuperscript{2} a proposed rule change to implement, on a six-month pilot basis, a volatility-based trading pause in 100 NASDAQ-listed securities (“Volatility Guard”). On June 25, 2010, NASDAQ filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for comment in the Federal Register on July 15, 2010.\textsuperscript{3} The Commission received four comment letters on the proposal.\textsuperscript{4}

\textsuperscript{17} 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).
\textsuperscript{16} See Letter from Joe Ratterman, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, BATS Global Markets, Inc., to Hon. Mary Schapiro, Chairman, Commission, dated July 1, 2010 (“BATS Letter”); Letter from Jose Marques, Managing Director, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated July 21, 2010 (“Deutsche Bank Letter”); Letter from Janet M. Kassne, Senior Vice President, Legal and Corporate Secretary, NYSE

\textsuperscript{15} For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f). Nasdaq responded to these comments on August 12, 2010.\textsuperscript{5} The Commission subsequently extended the time period in which to either approve the proposed rule change, or to institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change, to October 13, 2010.\textsuperscript{6} On October 13, 2010, the Commission issued an order instituting disapproval proceedings.\textsuperscript{7} The Commission thereafter received one comment letter, which requested that the proposed rule change be disapproved.\textsuperscript{8} Section 9(b)(2) of the Act \textsuperscript{9} provides that, after initiating disapproval proceedings, the Commission shall issue an order approving or disapproving the proposed rule change not later than 180 days after the date of publication of notice of the filing of the proposed rule change. The Commission may extend the period for issuing an order approving or disapproving the proposed rule change, however, by not more than 60 days if the Commission determines that a longer period is appropriate and publishes the reasons for such determination. The proposed rule change was published for notice and comment in the Federal Register on July 15, 2010. January 11, 2011 is 180 days from that date, and March 12, 2011, is an additional 60 days from that date. The Commission finds it appropriate to designate a longer period within which to issue an order approving or disapproving the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider this proposed rule change and the issues raised in the comment letters that have been submitted in connection with this filing. Specifically, the Commission believes the proposal raises issues as to whether the Volatility Guard, by halting trading on Nasdaq when the price of a security moves quickly over a short period of time, will exacerbate the volatility of trading in that security on the other exchanges and over-the-counter trading centers that remain open. In addition, because the thresholds for triggering the Volatility

Euronext, to Elizabeth Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated August 3, 2010 (“Euronext Letter”); Letter from T. Sean Bennett, Assistant General Counsel, NASDAQ, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated August 25, 2010 (“SIFMA Letter”).

\textsuperscript{3} See Letter from T. Sean Bennett, Assistant General Counsel, NASDAQ, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission (“NASDAQ response”).


\textsuperscript{5} See Letter from Timothy Quast, Managing Director, ModernIR, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated November 11, 2010.\textsuperscript{6} 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).
Guard, and the length of the trading halt that results, differ from those of the recently approved, market-wide single-stock circuit breakers, the Commission believes the proposal raises issues as to whether the operation of the Volatility Guard will interfere with, or otherwise limit the effectiveness of, the circuit breakers, the goal of which is to prevent potentially destabilizing price volatility across the U.S. securities markets. Extending the time within which to approve or disapprove this proposed rule change will enable the Commission to more fully consider these issues.

Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, designates March 11, 2011, as the date by which the Commission should either approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.11

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.12

Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–771 Filed 1–13–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations;
NASDAQ OMX PHXL, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Routing Fees

January 7, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on December 29, 2010, NASDAQ OMX PHXL, Inc. (“PHXL” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its fees governing pricing for Exchange members using the Phlx XL II system, for routing standardized equity and index option Customer and Professional orders to away markets for execution. While fee changes pursuant to this proposal are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated these changes to be operative on January 3, 2011.


II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to recoup costs that the Exchange incurs for routing and executing Customer and Professional orders in equity and index options to the International Securities Exchange LLC (“ISE”) in Select Symbols4 for orders of 100 or more contracts. ISE recently amended its fees and the amendments proposed herein reflect the proposed ISE amendments.5

In May 2009, the Exchange adopted Rule 1080(m)(iii)(A) to establish Nasdaq Options Services LLC (“NOS”), a member of the Exchange, as the Exchange’s exclusive order router.6 NOS is utilized by the Phlx XL II system solely to route orders in options listed and open for trading on the Phlx XL II system to destination markets.

Currently, the Exchange assesses a Routing Fee of $0.26 per contract for Customer orders and $0.31 per contract for Professional orders. The Exchange proposes to amend its Routing Fees for orders routed to ISE in Select Symbols by assessing $0.18 per contract for Customer orders and $0.34 per contract for Professional Orders.

The Exchange also proposes to remove a footnote reference to the ISE Select Symbols which states “[t]his fee applies to orders of 100 or more contracts.” This proposal reflects recent amendments to ISE’s fees, which eliminate the fee differential between priority customers with 100 or more contracts and priority customers with less than 100 contracts.7 All other orders that are routed to ISE, which are not in the Select Symbols, would be assessed the rates labeled “ISE”.8

The Exchange is proposing these fees to recoup the majority of transaction and clearing costs associated with routing Customer and Professional orders to ISE in Select Symbols. These proposed fees will enable the Exchange to recover the transaction fees assessed by ISE, where applicable, plus clearing fees for the execution of Customer and Professional orders routed from the Phlx XL II system. As with all fees, the Exchange may adjust these Routing Fees in response to competitive conditions by filing a new proposed rule change.

While fee changes pursuant to this proposal are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated these changes to be operative on January 3, 2011.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Fee Schedule is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among Exchange members. The Exchange believes that these fees are reasonable because the Exchange is seeking to recoup costs that it incurs when routing orders to ISE in Select Symbols on

---

3 The Commission notes that it is extending the time period in which to issue an approval or disapproval order to March 11, 2011, since the full 60-day extension would expire on Saturday, March 12, 2011.
4 For a complete description of Phlx XL II, see Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59985 (May 28, 2009), 74 FR 26750 (June 3, 2009) (SR–Phlx–2009–32). The instant proposed fees will apply only to options orders entered into, and routed by, the Phlx XL II system.
7 See SR–ISE–2010–120. See also E-mail from Angela S. Dunn, Assistant General Counsel, Phlx, to Johnna B. Dumler, Special Counsel, Commission, dated January 5, 2011.