

## NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

### Sunshine Act; Meeting Notice; Matter To Be Deleted From the Agenda of a Previously Announced Agency Meeting

**TIME AND DATE:** 11:30 a.m., Thursday,  
January 13, 2011.

**PLACE:** Board Room, 7th Floor, Room  
7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA  
22314-3428.

**STATUS:** Closed.

**1. MATTER TO BE DELETED:** Insurance  
Appeals. Closed pursuant to exemptions  
(4), (6) and (7).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Mary Rupp, Secretary of the Board,  
Telephone: 703-518-6304.

**Mary Rupp,**

*Board Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-596 Filed 1-10-11; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Notice of Intent To Seek Approval To Renew an Information Collection

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for  
comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science  
Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans  
to request clearance of this collection. In  
accordance with the requirement of  
Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork  
Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13),  
we are providing opportunity for public  
comment on this action. After obtaining  
and considering public comment, NSF  
will prepare the submission requesting  
that OMB approve clearance of this  
collection for no longer than three years.

**DATES:** Written comments on this notice  
must be received by March 14, 2011 to  
be assured of consideration. Comments  
received after that date will be  
considered to the extent practicable.

*For Additional Information or  
Comments:* Contact Suzanne H.  
Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer,  
National Science Foundation, 4201  
Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington,  
Virginia 22230; telephone (703) 292-  
7556; or send e-mail to  
[splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov). Individuals who use  
a telecommunications device for the  
deaf (TDD) may call the Federal  
Information Relay Service (FIRS) at  
1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8  
p.m., Eastern time, Monday through  
Friday. You also may obtain a copy of  
the data collection instrument and  
instructions from Ms. Plimpton.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* NSF Surveys to  
Measure Customer Service Satisfaction.

*OMB Number:* 3145-0157.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* August  
31, 2011.

*Type of Request:* Intent to seek  
approval to renew an information  
collection.

*Abstract:*

*Proposed Project:* On September 11,  
1993, President Clinton issued  
Executive Order 12862, "Setting  
Customer Service Standards," which  
calls for Federal agencies to provide  
service that matches or exceeds the best  
service available in the private sector.  
Section 1(b) of that order requires  
agencies to "survey customers to  
determine the kind and quality of  
services they want and their level of  
satisfaction with existing services." The  
National Science Foundation (NSF) has  
an ongoing need to collect information  
from its customer community (primarily  
individuals and organizations engaged  
in science and engineering research and  
education) about the quality and kind of  
services it provides and use that  
information to help improve agency  
operations and services.

*Estimate of Burden:* The burden on  
the public will change according to the  
needs of each individual customer  
satisfaction survey; however, each  
survey is estimated to take  
approximately 30 minutes per response.

*Respondents:* Will vary among  
individuals or households; business or  
other for-profit; not-for-profit  
institutions; farms; federal government;  
state, local or tribal governments.

*Estimated Number of Responses per  
Survey:* This will vary by survey.

*Comments:* Comments are invited on  
(a) whether the proposed collection of  
information is necessary for the proper  
performance of the functions of the  
Agency, including whether the  
information shall have practical utility;  
(b) the accuracy of the Agency's  
estimate of the burden of the proposed  
collection of information; (c) ways to  
enhance the quality, utility, and clarity  
of the information on respondents,  
including through the use of automated  
collection techniques or other forms of  
information technology; and (d) ways to  
minimize the burden of the collection of  
information on those who are to  
respond, including through the use of  
appropriate automated, electronic,  
mechanical, or other technological  
collection techniques or other forms of  
information technology.

Dated: January 7, 2011.

**Suzanne H. Plimpton,**

*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science  
Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 2011-524 Filed 1-11-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Assumption Buster Workshop: Defense-in-Depth is a Smart Investment for Cyber Security

**AGENCY:** The National Coordination  
Office (NCO) for the Networking and  
Information Technology Research and  
Development (NITRD) Program.

**ACTION:** Call for participation.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

[assumptionbusters@nitrd.gov](mailto:assumptionbusters@nitrd.gov)

**DATES:** *Workshop:* March 22, 2011;  
*Deadline:* February 10, 2011. Apply via  
e-mail to [assumptionbusters@nitrd.gov](mailto:assumptionbusters@nitrd.gov).

**SUMMARY:** The NCO, on behalf of the  
Special Cyber Operations Research and  
Engineering (SCORE) Committee, an  
interagency working group that  
coordinates cyber security research  
activities in support of national security  
systems, is seeking expert participants  
in a day-long workshop on the pros and  
cons of the defense-in-depth strategy for  
cyber security. The workshop will be  
held March 22, 2011 in the Washington  
DC area. Applications will be accepted  
until 5 p.m. EST February 10, 2011.  
Accepted participants will be notified  
by February 28, 2011.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Overview:*  
This notice is issued by the National  
Coordination Office for the Networking  
and Information Technology Research  
and Development (NITRD) Program on  
behalf of the SCORE Committee.

*Background:* There is a strong and  
often repeated call for research to  
provide novel cyber security solutions.  
The rhetoric of this call is to elicit new  
solutions that are radically different  
from existing solutions. Continuing  
research that achieves only incremental  
improvements is a losing proposition.  
We are lagging behind and need  
technological leaps to get, and keep,  
ahead of adversaries who are themselves  
rapidly improving attack technology. To  
answer this call, we must examine the  
key assumptions that underlie current  
security architectures. Challenging those  
assumptions both opens up the  
possibilities for novel solutions that are  
rooted in a fundamentally different  
understanding of the problem and  
provides an even stronger basis for  
moving forward on those assumptions  
that are well-founded. The SCORE  
Committee is conducting a series of four