

TABLE III—DEADLINES FOR SUBMISSION OF ABBREVIATED OR FULL SIPs AND UNIT-BY-UNIT ALLOCATIONS OR AUCTION RESULTS AND FOR RECORDATION; ANNUAL TRADING PROGRAMS—Continued

First TR control period for which allowances would be allocated or auctioned	Deadline for State submitting abbreviated or full SIP	Deadline for State submitting allocations or auction results for existing units	Deadline for State submitting allocations or auction results for new units and others	Deadline for EPA recording allocations or auction results for existing units	Deadline for EPA recording allocations or auction results for new units and others
2014	November 1, 2011	May 1, 2012	August 1, 2014	June 1, 2012	September 1, 2014.
2015	November 1, 2012	May 1, 2013	August 1, 2015	June 1, 2013	September 1, 2015.
2016	November 1, 2012	May 1, 2014	August 1, 2016	June 1, 2014	September 1, 2016.
2017	November 1, 2014	May 1, 2015	August 1, 2017	June 1, 2015	September 1, 2017.
2018	November 1, 2015	May 1, 2016	August 1, 2018	June 1, 2016	September 1, 2018.

TABLE IV—DEADLINES FOR SUBMISSION OF ABBREVIATED OR FULL SIPs AND UNIT-BY-UNIT ALLOCATIONS OR AUCTION RESULTS AND FOR RECORDATION; OZONE SEASON TRADING PROGRAMS

First TR control period for which allowances would be allocated or auctioned	Deadline for State submitting abbreviated or full SIP	Deadline for State submitting allocations or auction results for existing units	Deadline for State submitting allocations or auction results for new units and others	Deadline for EPA recording allocations or auction results for existing units	Deadline for EPA recording allocations or auction results for new units and others
2012	NA	NA	NA	September 1, 2011 ...	June 1, 2012.
2013	NA	NA	NA	September 1, 2011 ...	June 1, 2013.
2014	November 1, 2011	May 1, 2012	May 1, 2014	June 1, 2012	June 1, 2014.
2015	November 1, 2012	May 1, 2013	May 1, 2015	June 1, 2013	June 1, 2015.
2016	November 1, 2013	May 1, 2014	May 1, 2016	June 1, 2014	June 1, 2016.
2017	November 1, 2014	May 1, 2015	May 1, 2017	June 1, 2015	June 1, 2017.
2018	November 1, 2015	May 1, 2016	May 1, 2018	June 1, 2016	June 1, 2018.

As discussed above, a trading program adopted by a state in a full SIP and approved by EPA under the second approach would be fully integrated with any comparable proposed Transport Rule FIP trading program (*i.e.*, the proposed TR NO_x Annual, TR NO_x Ozone Season, TR SO₂ Group 1, or TR SO₂ Group 2 Trading Program respectively) for other states. This would apply whether the comparable proposed Transport Rule FIP program for other states was modified by an abbreviated SIP approved by EPA under the first approach or was not modified by an abbreviated SIP. The integration of these three types of trading programs would be accomplished primarily through the definitions of the terms, “TR NO_x Annual allowance”, “TR NO_x Ozone Season allowance”, “TR SO₂ Group 1 allowance”, and “TR SO₂ Group 2 allowance” in the full SIPs approved by EPA and the proposed TR FIP trading programs (whether or not the programs were modified by abbreviated SIPs). “TR NO_x Annual allowance” would be defined in the state and proposed Transport Rule FIP trading programs as including allowances issued under any of the following trading programs: The comparable EPA-approved state trading programs; the comparable proposed Transport Rule FIP trading program with EPA-approved state allocation provisions; and the proposed Transport Rule FIP trading program with EPA allocation provisions. Similarly, the

definitions in the state and Transport Rule FIP trading programs of “TR NO_x Ozone Season allowance”, “TR SO₂ Group 1 allowance”, and “TR SO₂ Group 2 allowance” respectively would include allowances issued under all three types of trading programs. As a result, allowances issued in one approved state trading program would be interchangeable with allowances issued in the comparable Transport Rule FIP trading program (whether or not modified by an abbreviated SIP), and all these allowances could be used for compliance with the allowance-holding requirements (to cover emissions and to meet assurance provision requirements) in all three types of trading programs.

The integration of state and the proposed Transport Rule FIP trading programs would also be reflected in the definitions of “TR NO_x Annual Trading Program,” “TR NO_x Ozone Season Trading Program”, “TR SO₂ Group 1 Trading Program”, and “TR SO₂ Group 2 Trading Program”. Each of these definitions in the state and Transport Rule FIP trading programs would expressly encompass the comparable proposed Transport Rule FIP trading programs (whether or not modified by an abbreviated SIP) and the comparable EPA-approved state full SIP trading program.

Dated: December 30, 2010.

Brian McLean,
Director, Office of Atmospheric Programs.
 [FR Doc. 2011–109 Filed 1–6–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 67

[Docket ID FEMA–2010–0003; Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA–B–1170]

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Comments are requested on the proposed Base (1% annual-chance) Flood Elevations (BFEs) and proposed BFE modifications for the communities listed in the table below. The purpose of this notice is to seek general information and comment regarding the proposed regulatory flood elevations for the reach described by the downstream and upstream locations in the table below. The BFEs and modified BFEs are a part of the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of having in effect in order to

qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). In addition, these elevations, once finalized, will be used by insurance agents and others to calculate appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and the contents in those buildings.

DATES: Comments are to be submitted on or before April 7, 2011.

ADDRESSES: The corresponding preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) for the proposed BFEs for each community is available for inspection at the community's map repository. The respective addresses are listed in the table below.

You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. FEMA-B-1170, to Luis Rodriguez, Chief, Engineering Management Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-4064, or (e-mail) luis.rodriguez1@dhs.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Luis Rodriguez, Chief, Engineering Management Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-4064, or (e-mail) luis.rodriguez1@dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) proposes to make

determinations of BFEs and modified BFEs for each community listed below, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed BFEs and modified BFEs, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. These proposed elevations are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and also are used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in those buildings.

Comments on any aspect of the Flood Insurance Study and FIRM, other than the proposed BFEs, will be considered. A letter acknowledging receipt of any comments will not be sent.

National Environmental Policy Act. This proposed rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR part 10, Environmental Consideration. An environmental impact assessment has not been prepared.

Regulatory Flexibility Act. As flood elevation determinations are not within the scope of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601-612, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required.

Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review. This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, as amended.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism. This proposed rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This proposed rule meets the applicable standards of Executive Order 12988.

List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 67

Administrative practice and procedure, Flood insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 44 CFR part 67 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 67—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 67 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4001 *et seq.*; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376.

§ 67.4 [Amended]

2. The tables published under the authority of § 67.4 are proposed to be amended as follows:

Flooding source(s)	Location of referenced elevation**	*Elevation in feet (NGVD) + Elevation in feet (NAVD) # Depth in feet above ground ^ Elevation in meters (MSL)		Communities affected
		Effective	Modified	

Redwood County, Minnesota, and Incorporated Areas

Cottonwood River	Approximately 0.93 mile downstream of U.S. Route 71.	None	+1,042	City of Sanborn, Unincorporated Areas of Redwood County
Crow Creek	Approximately 2.1 miles upstream of County Road 57	None	+1,105	City of Redwood Falls, Unincorporated Areas of Redwood County.
	Approximately 900 feet downstream of Minnesota Prairie Railroad.	None	+840	
Minnesota River	Approximately 0.45 mile upstream of County Highway 1.	None	+1,009	City of Redwood Falls, Unincorporated Areas of Redwood County.
	Approximately 2.54 miles downstream of County Highway 11.	+823	+825	
Ramsey Creek	Approximately 1.09 miles upstream of County Highway 7.	+874	+877	City of Redwood Falls, Unincorporated Areas of Redwood County.
	At the Redwood River confluence	None	+884	
	Approximately 245 feet upstream of Kenwood Avenue	None	+1,016	

Flooding source(s)	Location of referenced elevation**	*Elevation in feet (NGVD) + Elevation in feet (NAVD) # Depth in feet above ground ^ Elevation in meters (MSL)		Communities affected
		Effective	Modified	
Redwood River	At the Minnesota River confluence	+842	+843	City of Redwood Falls, City of Seaforth, City of Vesta, Unincorporated Areas of Redwood County.
	Approximately 0.88 mile upstream of County Road 51	None	+1,067	

* National Geodetic Vertical Datum.

+ North American Vertical Datum.

Depth in feet above ground.

^ Mean Sea Level, rounded to the nearest 0.1 meter.

** BFEs to be changed include the listed downstream and upstream BFEs, and include BFEs located on the stream reach between the referenced locations above. Please refer to the revised Flood Insurance Rate Map located at the community map repository (see below) for exact locations of all BFEs to be changed.

Send comments to Luis Rodriguez, Chief, Engineering Management Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472.

ADDRESSES

City of Redwood Falls

Maps are available for inspection at 333 South Washington Street, Redwood Falls, MN 56283.

City of Sanborn

Maps are available for inspection at 171 North Main Street, Sanborn, MN 56083.

City of Seaforth

Maps are available for inspection at 205 Oak Street, Seaforth, MN 56287.

City of Vesta

Maps are available for inspection at 150 Front Street West, Vesta, MN 56292.

Unincorporated Areas of Redwood County

Maps are available for inspection at 403 South Mill Street, Redwood Falls, MN 56283.

Clark County, Missouri, and Incorporated Areas

Big Branch (backwater effects from Mississippi River).	From the Honey Creek confluence to approximately 0.5 mile downstream of State Highway H.	None	+497	Unincorporated Areas of Clark County.
Buck Run (overflow effects from Mississippi River).	At the Lewis County boundary	+496	+495	Unincorporated Areas of Clark County.
	Approximately 0.6 mile downstream of Avenue of the Saints.	None	+496	
Doe Run (backwater effects from Mississippi River).	From the Lewis County boundary to approximately 1,290 feet downstream of Avenue of the Saints.	None	+496	Unincorporated Areas of Clark County.
Mississippi River	Approximately 2.5 miles downstream of the Fox River confluence.	+496	+495	City of Alexandria, Unincorporated Areas of Clark County.
	At the Des Moines River confluence	+500	+499	

* National Geodetic Vertical Datum.

+ North American Vertical Datum.

Depth in feet above ground.

^ Mean Sea Level, rounded to the nearest 0.1 meter.

** BFEs to be changed include the listed downstream and upstream BFEs, and include BFEs located on the stream reach between the referenced locations above. Please refer to the revised Flood Insurance Rate Map located at the community map repository (see below) for exact locations of all BFEs to be changed.

Send comments to Luis Rodriguez, Chief, Engineering Management Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472.

ADDRESSES

City of Alexandria

Maps are available for inspection at the Community Center, 109 Market Street, Alexandria, MO 63430.

Unincorporated Areas of Clark County

Maps are available for inspection at the Clark County Courthouse, 111 East Court Street, Suite 4, Kahoka, MO 63445.

Lewis County, Missouri, and Incorporated Areas

Artesian Branch (backwater effects from Mississippi River).	From approximately 1,000 feet downstream of the Artesian Branch Tributary 1 confluence to approximately 270 feet downstream of U.S. Route 61.	None	+493	Unincorporated Areas of Lewis County.
---	---	------	------	---------------------------------------

Flooding source(s)	Location of referenced elevation**	*Elevation in feet (NGVD) + Elevation in feet (NAVD) # Depth in feet above ground ^ Elevation in meters (MSL)		Communities affected
		Effective	Modified	
Artesian Branch Tributary 1 (backwater water effects from Mississippi River).	From the Artesian Branch confluence to approximately 240 feet downstream of U.S. Route 61.	None	+493	Unincorporated Areas of Lewis County.
Doe Run (overflow effects from Mississippi River).	Approximately 475 feet downstream of the Doe Run Tributary 4 confluence.	None	+494	Unincorporated Areas of Lewis County.
Doe Run Tributary 4 (backwater effects from Mississippi River).	Approximately 1.0 mile upstream of County Road 494	None	+495	Unincorporated Areas of Lewis County.
	From the Doe Run confluence to approximately 360 feet downstream of U.S. Route 61.	None	+494	
Durgens Creek (backwater effects from Mississippi River).	From the Mississippi River confluence to approximately 0.4 mile downstream of U.S. Route 61.	None	+488	Unincorporated Areas of Lewis County.
Mississippi River	Approximately 3.0 miles downstream of the Durgens Creek confluence.	None	+487	City of Canton, City of La Grange, Unincorporated Areas of Lewis County.
Oyster Branch (backwater effects from Mississippi River).	At the Clark County boundary	None	+495	Unincorporated Areas of Lewis County.
	From the Mississippi River confluence to approximately 630 feet downstream of U.S. Route 61 Business.	None	+489	
Wyaconda River (backwater effects from Mississippi River).	From the Mississippi River confluence to approximately 410 feet upstream of U.S. Route 61 Business.	None	+489	City of La Grange, Unincorporated Areas of Lewis County.

* National Geodetic Vertical Datum.

+ North American Vertical Datum.

Depth in feet above ground.

^ Mean Sea Level, rounded to the nearest 0.1 meter.

** BFEs to be changed include the listed downstream and upstream BFEs, and include BFEs located on the stream reach between the referenced locations above. Please refer to the revised Flood Insurance Rate Map located at the community map repository (see below) for exact locations of all BFEs to be changed.

Send comments to Luis Rodriguez, Chief, Engineering Management Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472.

ADDRESSES

City of Canton

Maps are available for inspection at City Hall, 106 North 5th Street, Canton, MO 63435.

City of La Grange

Maps are available for inspection at City Hall, 118 South Main Street, La Grange, MO 63448.

Unincorporated Areas of Lewis County

Maps are available for inspection at the Lewis County Courthouse, 100 East Lafayette Street, Monticello, MO 63457.

Madison County, Missouri, and Incorporated Areas

Tollar Branch	Approximately 775 feet downstream of Marvin Avenue.	+738	+740	Village of Cobalt.
	Approximately 1,310 feet upstream of Mine LaMotte Street.	None	+788	
Village Creek	At the upstream side of Catherine Mine Road	+708	+707	City of Junction City.
	Approximately 550 feet upstream of Catherine Mine Road.	None	+710	

* National Geodetic Vertical Datum.

+ North American Vertical Datum.

Depth in feet above ground.

^ Mean Sea Level, rounded to the nearest 0.1 meter.

** BFEs to be changed include the listed downstream and upstream BFEs, and include BFEs located on the stream reach between the referenced locations above. Please refer to the revised Flood Insurance Rate Map located at the community map repository (see below) for exact locations of all BFEs to be changed.

Send comments to Luis Rodriguez, Chief, Engineering Management Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472.

ADDRESSES

City of Junction City

Maps are available for inspection at 1 Court Square, Fredericktown, MO 63645.

Village of Cobalt

Maps are available for inspection at 1 Court Square, Fredericktown, MO 63645.

Flooding source(s)	Location of referenced elevation**	*Elevation in feet (NGVD) + Elevation in feet (NAVD) # Depth in feet above ground ^ Elevation in meters (MSL)		Communities affected
		Effective	Modified	

Taylor County, Texas, and Incorporated Areas

Elm Creek	Just west of the intersection of Impact Drive and Clinton Street.	None	+1,668	Town of Impact, Unincorporated Areas of Taylor County.
	Approximately 717 feet northeast of the intersection of Impact Drive and FM Road 2404.	None	+1,673	

* National Geodetic Vertical Datum.

+ North American Vertical Datum.

Depth in feet above ground.

^ Mean Sea Level, rounded to the nearest 0.1 meter.

** BFEs to be changed include the listed downstream and upstream BFEs, and include BFEs located on the stream reach between the referenced locations above. Please refer to the revised Flood Insurance Rate Map located at the community map repository (see below) for exact locations of all BFEs to be changed.

Send comments to Luis Rodriguez, Chief, Engineering Management Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472.

ADDRESSES

Town of Impact

Maps are available for inspection at 555 Walnut Street, Abilene, TX 79602.

Unincorporated Areas of Taylor County

Maps are available for inspection at 400 Oak Street, Suite 107, Abilene, TX 79602.

Snohomish County, Washington, and Incorporated Areas

Haskel Slough	Approximately 0.7 mile downstream of State Highway 203.	None	+56	Unincorporated Areas of Snohomish County.
	Approximately 1.4 miles upstream of State Highway 203.	None	+67	
North Fork Skykomish River	Approximately 308 feet upstream of the South Fork Skykomish River confluence.	+465	+461	Town of Index, Unincorporated Areas of Snohomish County.
Riley Slough	Approximately 2.7 miles upstream of 5th Street	+675	+673	Unincorporated Areas of Snohomish County.
	Approximately 1.2 miles upstream of the Snoqualmie River confluence.	None	+49	
	Approximately 2.2 miles upstream of State Highway 203.	None	+72	
Skykomish River	Approximately 4.6 miles downstream of Mann Road ..	+91	+90	City of Gold Bar, City of Sultan, Unincorporated Areas of Snohomish County.
	Approximately 216 feet downstream of Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway.	+359	+351	
Snohomish River	Approximately 528 feet downstream of the Marshland Diversion Channel confluence (Storage Area #2).	+27	+26	City of Monroe, City of Snohomish, Unincorporated Areas of Snohomish County.
	Approximately 0.5 mile downstream of State Highway 9 (Storage Area #4).	+28	+29	
Sultan River	At the upstream side of State Highway 2	+118	+117	City of Sultan, Unincorporated Areas of Snohomish County.
	Approximately 3.2 miles upstream of State Highway 2	+180	+183	

* National Geodetic Vertical Datum.

+ North American Vertical Datum.

Depth in feet above ground.

^ Mean Sea Level, rounded to the nearest 0.1 meter.

** BFEs to be changed include the listed downstream and upstream BFEs, and include BFEs located on the stream reach between the referenced locations above. Please refer to the revised Flood Insurance Rate Map located at the community map repository (see below) for exact locations of all BFEs to be changed.

Send comments to Luis Rodriguez, Chief, Engineering Management Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472.

ADDRESSES

City of Gold Bar

Maps are available for inspection at 107 5th Street, Gold Bar, WA 98251.

Flooding source(s)	Location of referenced elevation**	*Elevation in feet (NGVD) + Elevation in feet (NAVD) # Depth in feet above ground ^ Elevation in meters (MSL)		Communities affected
		Effective	Modified	

City of Monroe

Maps are available for inspection at 806 West Main Street, Monroe, WA 98272.

City of Snohomish

Maps are available for inspection at 116 Union Avenue, Snohomish, WA 98290.

City of Sultan

Maps are available for inspection at 319 Main Street, Sultan, WA 98294.

Town of Index

Maps are available for inspection at 511 Avenue A, Index, WA 98256.

Unincorporated Areas of Snohomish County

Maps are available for inspection at 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, WA 98201.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.022, "Flood Insurance.")

Dated: December 27, 2010.

Sandra K. Knight,

Deputy Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administrator, Mitigation, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2011-132 Filed 1-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-12-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**47 CFR Part 20**

[PS Docket No. 07-114; WC Docket No. 05-196; FCC 10-177; DA 10-2267]

Wireless E911 Location Accuracy Requirements; E911 Requirements for IP-Enabled Service Providers

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment and reply comment dates.

SUMMARY: The order provides notice that the comment period cycle for the Commission's Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM) and Notice of Inquiry (NOI) has been extended to provide interested parties a meaningful opportunity to file full and informed comment for a complete record concerning the numerous issues raised in the proceeding.

DATES: Submit comments on or before January 19, 2011. Submit reply comments on or before February 18, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by PS Docket No. 07-114 and WC Docket No. 05-196, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- Federal Communications Commission's Web Site: <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS).
- People with Disabilities: Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by e-mail: FCC504@fcc.gov or phone: (202) 418-0530 or TTY: (202) 418-0432.

For detailed instructions for submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the FPRM and NOI, Section V., Procedural Matters, in this proceeding.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick Donovan, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau, at (202) 418-2413, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554; or via the Internet to Patrick.Donovan@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 1. On September 23, 2010, the Federal Communications Commission adopted an FNPRM and NOI, seeking comment on how to further improve the location capability of 911 and E911 services for existing and new voice communications technologies, including new broadband technologies associated with the deployment of Next Generation 911 (NG911) networks. The E911 Location Accuracy FNPRM and NOI was published in the **Federal Register** on November 2, 2010, 75 FR 67321. Thus, comments submitted in response to the E911 Location Accuracy FNPRM and NOI must be filed on or before January 3, 2011; and reply comments must be filed on or before January 31, 2011.

2. On November 22, 2010, the Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials—International (APCO), the National

Emergency Number Association (NENA), the National Association of State 911 Administrators (NASNA), CTIA—The Wireless Association (CTIA), and the Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA) (collectively, the "Parties") jointly filed a request to extend the comment and reply comment deadlines in this proceeding until January 19, 2011, and February 18, 2011, respectively. The parties argue that "[a] short-term extension is in the public interest to allow interested parties to meaningfully address the issues raised in this proceeding."

3. We grant the parties' request for extension of time to file comments and reply comments. Generally, it is the policy of the Commission that extensions of time are not routinely granted. Nevertheless, the Commission has previously found that an extension of time is warranted when such an extension is necessary to ensure that the Commission receives full and informed responses and that affected parties have a meaningful opportunity to develop a complete record for the Commission's consideration. In light of the multitude of issues that the Commission seeks comment upon in the E911 Location Accuracy FNPRM and NOI, we find that an extension is warranted to ensure that all interested parties have the time necessary to prepare full and informed comments and reply comments.

4. Additionally, we concur with the Parties that granting an extension of time would permit various Communications Security, Reliability and Interoperability Council (CSRIC) working groups to develop and finalize recommendations relating to E911 and NG911. As the Parties noted, "[m]any of those working group members plan to file comments and/or reply comments in this proceeding." Indeed, in the E911 Location Accuracy FNPRM and NOI, the Commission highlighted the