Commission designates the proposal operative upon filing. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSEAmex–2010–120 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEAmex–2010–120. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEAmex–2010–120 and should be submitted on or before January 11, 2011.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.12

Florence E. Harmon, Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–31949 Filed 12–20–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, To Amend Margin Requirements for Credit Options


Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),3 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 1, 2010, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (“Exchange” or “CBOE”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II, below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Exchange. On December 14, 2010, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.4 The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

CBOE proposes to amend Rule 12.3(l), Margin Requirements, to make CBOE’s margin requirements for Credit Options consistent with FINRA Rule 4240, Margin Requirements for Credit Default Swaps. CBOE’s Credit Options consist of two variations—Credit Default Options and Credit Default Basket Options. Credit Default Options and Credit Default Basket Options are also referred to as “Credit Event Binary Options.” Effectively, both contracts operate in the same manner as credit default swap contracts. Amendment No. 1 replaces the original filing in its entirety. The purpose of Amendment No. 1 is to restyle the original proposal on a pilot basis.

As with a credit default swap contract, the buyer of a Credit Option contract is buying protection from the seller of the Credit Option. This protection is in the form of a monetary payment from the Credit Option seller to the Credit Option buyer in the event that the issuer of debt securities, or Reference Entity, specified as underlying the Credit Option contract has a Credit Event (e.g., declares bankruptcy), consequently defaulting on the payment of principal and interest on its debt securities. When a Credit Option buyer and seller initially open

11 For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

3 Amendment No. 1 to SR–CBOE–2010–106 replaced and superseded the original rule filing in its entirety.
their positions via a transaction consummated on the Exchange. the Credit Option buyer’s account is charged (debited) for the cost of the protection. The Credit Option seller’s account is credited. For the protection, there is only a one-time debit and credit to the buyer and seller, respectively. If, prior to expiration of the Credit Option, a Credit Event occurs (e.g., bankruptcy is declared), the Credit Option contract is settled with a credit to the Credit Option buyer’s account for a predetermined payout amount (e.g., $1,000), based on the Exchange’s contract specifications. The Credit Option seller’s account is debited (charged) for the payout amount.

Credit Default Options have a single Reference Entity. Credit Default Basket Options have multiple Reference Entities. If a Credit Default Basket Option is specified as having a single payout, settlement is triggered when any one of the component Reference Entities has a Credit Event (e.g., declares bankruptcy) and thereafter the option ceases to exist. The payout is the settlement amount attached to each Reference Entity. If a Credit Default Basket Option is specified as having multiple payouts, a settlement is triggered when any one of the component Reference Entities has a Credit Event (e.g., declares bankruptcy), but the option continues to exist until its expiration. Therefore, additional settlements would be triggered if, and as, any Credit Events occur in respect of the remaining Reference Entity components. The payout is the settlement amount attached to each particular Reference Entity.

The current Exchange margin requirements for Credit Options were established before FINRA implemented margin requirements for credit default swaps (FINRA Rule 4240). In order to be consistent with FINRA margin requirements and establish a level playing field for similar instruments, CBOE’s proposed amendments adopt the FINRA requirements to a large extent. For Credit Default Options, which overlie a single Reference Entity, CBOE proposes to adopt FINRA’s margin percentage table for credit default swaps. With respect to Credit Default Basket Options, CBOE is adopting the margin percentage table that FINRA requires for CDX indices because, like an index, a Credit Default Basket Option involves multiple component Reference Entities. CBOE proposes to revise the FINRA column headings to fit Credit Options. FINRA Rule 4240 requires the percentage to be applied to the notional amount of a credit default swap. CBOE’s proposed rules would require that the percentage be applied to the settlement value of a Credit Option to arrive at a margin requirement because the settlement value of a Credit Option is analogous to the notional amount of a credit default swap. CBOE’s proposed rules incorporate all other relevant aspects of FINRA 4240, such as risk monitoring procedures and guidelines, and concentration charge (net capital) requirements.

It should be noted that CBOE’s proposed rules would require no margin in the case of a spread (i.e., long and short Credit Options with the same underlying Reference Entity or Entities.) This differs from FINRA Rule 4240, which requires margin of 50% of the margin required on the long or short credit default swap, whichever is greater. CBOE is proposing no margin because the long and short are required to have the same underlying Reference Entity. Moreover, Credit Options are standardized and are settled through The Options Clearing Corp. CBOE’s proposed rules would also require no margin on a short Credit Default Option that is offset with a short position in a debt security issued by the Reference Entity underlying the option. This language differs from the debt security offset allowed under FINRA Rule 4240. However, applicable margin must still be collected on the short position in a debt security as prescribed pursuant to applicable margin rules. Rule 4240 requires no margin for a long credit default swap contract that is paired with a long position in the underlying debt security. However, this type of offset does not appear to be workable in respect of a Credit Default Option.

The proposal will become effective on a pilot basis to run a parallel track with FINRA Rule 4240 that operates on an interim pilot basis which is currently scheduled to expire on July 16, 2011.5 If the Exchange were to propose an extension of the Credit Option Margin Pilot Program or should the Exchange propose to make the Pilot Program permanent, then the Exchange would submit a filing proposing such amendments to the Pilot Program.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes this rule proposal is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations under the Act applicable to a national securities exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.6 Specifically, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5) Act7 requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, and because it enhances fair competition among exchange markets.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE–2010–106 on the subject line.


SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Amending Rule 123C To Clarify That Exchange Systems Enforce Rule 123C With Respect to Market At-The-Close and Limit At-The-Close Order Entry After 3:45 p.m.

December 14, 2010.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on December 6, 2010, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 123C to clarify that Exchange systems enforce Rule 123C with respect to Market At-The-Close (“MOC”) and Limit At-The-Close (“LOC”) order entry after 3:45 p.m. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange, the Commission’s Public Reference Room, and http://www.nyse.com. The proposed rule change is to amend Rule 123C to clarify that Exchange systems enforce Rule 123C with respect to MOC and LOC order entry after 3:45 p.m.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to amend Rule 123C to clarify that Exchange systems enforce Rule 123C with respect to MOC and LOC order entry after 3:45 p.m. Rule 123C governs certain closing procedures on the Exchange, including MOC, LOC and CO order entry, cancellation of such orders and the calculation and publication of imbalances. In particular, Rule 123C(2)(b) currently provides that MOC/LOC interest may be entered after 3:45 p.m. only to offset a Mandatory MOC/LOC Imbalance Publication. The rule therefore suggests that members or other organizations entering MOC or LOC orders are actively responsible for compliance therewith, generally, “orders may be entered”. However, Exchange systems also enforce compliance with this rule pursuant to system functionality that allows only the entry of offsetting MOC/LOC interest after 3:45 p.m. and blocks the entry of all MOC/LOC orders that would join the same side of a published MOC/LOC imbalance and the entry of MOC/LOC orders after 3:45 p.m. for securities for which there has not been a Mandatory MOC/LOC Imbalance Publication. Exchange systems also enforce compliance with this rule pursuant to system functionality that allows or blocks, depending upon circumstances, MOC/LOC order entry in the event of a Trading Halt.

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 123C(2) and (3) generally to clarify that Exchange systems enforce compliance with the rules, and therefore clarify that members and member organizations are not responsible for ensuring compliance with this aspect of the rule.

The Exchange proposes additional clean-up amendments to Rule 123C. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to delete certain text in Rule 123C.


2 MOC order is a market order in a security that, by its terms, is to be executed in its entirety at the closing price. If not executed due to tick restrictions or a trading halt, the order will be cancelled. See Rule 13 (Definitions of Orders).

3 A LOC order is a limit order in a security that is entered for execution at the closing price of the security on the Exchange provided that the closing price is at or within the specified limit. If not executed due to a trading halt or because, by its terms it is not marketable at the closing price, the order will be cancelled. See Rule 13 (Definitions of Orders).

4 See Information Memos 09–12 and 10–11, respectively.