

20044–7611, and should refer to *United States v. James Matteo & Sons, Inc.* (D.N.J.) No. 1:10–cv–06405 (NLH–JS); D.J. Ref. 90–11–3–09689.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax number (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–31726 Filed 12–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Proposed Consent Decree Modification Under the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on December 13, 2010, four proposed Consent Decree amendments in *United States, et al. v. Motiva Enterprises LLC, et al.*, Civil Action No. H–01–0978, were lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

The original settlement, entered on August 20, 2001, was for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act (“CAA”), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b) covering nine petroleum refineries located in California, Delaware, Louisiana, Texas and Washington. These refineries were owned and operated by Motiva Enterprises LLC (“Motiva”), Equilon Enterprises LLC (“Equilon”) and Deer Park Refining Limited Partnership (“Deer Park”), which were subsidiaries or joint ventures of Shell Oil Company (“Shell”). The 2001 settlement was therefore embodied in four interlocking Consent Decrees covering each of the Shell companies that owned and operated the nine refineries. The four Consent Decree amendments lodged on December 13, 2010, would each make certain technical and administrative revisions, would reflect a transfer in ownership of one of the facilities

covered by the settlement, and would make certain other minor modifications to each of the four interlocking Consent Decrees.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree amendments for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. The proposed amendments may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Southern District of Texas, U.S. Courthouse, 515 Rusk, Houston, Texas 77002, and at EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to the matter as *United States, et al. v. Motiva Enterprises LLC, et al.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–07209.

During the public comment period, the proposed amendments may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. Copies of the proposed amendments may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting from the Consent Decree Library a copy of the consent decree amendments for *United States et al. v. Motiva Enterprises LLC, et al.*, Civil Action No. H–01–0978 (S.D. Tex.), please enclose a check in the amount of \$17.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–31727 Filed 12–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140–0006]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: Revision 30-day notice of information collection under review:

Application and Permit for Importation of Firearms, Ammunition and Implements of War.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 75, Number 200, page 63860 on October 18, 2010, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until January 18, 2011. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.