statutory deadline. In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service or other participants to submit information or memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. As required by the Commission rules, if any motions are filed, responses are due 7 days after any such motion is filed. See 39 CFR 3001.21.

It is ordered:
1. The Postal Service shall file the administrative record in this appeal, or otherwise file a responsive pleading to the appeal, by December 22, 2010.
2. The procedural schedule listed below is hereby adopted.

PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

December 7, 2010 ...................................................... Filing of Appeal.
December 22, 2010 .................................................... Deadline for Postal Service to file administrative record in this appeal or responsive pleading.
January 4, 2011 ....................................................... Deadline for notices to intervene (see 39 CFR 3001.111(b)).
January 11, 2010 ....................................................... Deadline for Petitioner's Form 61 or initial brief in support of petition (see 39 CFR 3001.115(a) and (b)).
January 31, 2011 ....................................................... Deadline for answering brief in support of Postal Service (see 39 CFR 3001.115(c)).
February 15, 2011 ..................................................... Deadline for reply briefs in response to answering briefs (see 39 CFR 3001.115(d)).
February 22, 2011 ..................................................... Deadline for motions by any party requesting oral argument; the Commission will schedule oral argument only when it is a necessary addition to the written filings (see 39 CFR 3001.116).
April 1, 2011 ........................................................ Expiration of the Commission's 120-day decisional schedule (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5)).

By the Commission.
Shoshana M. Grove, Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Order Approving Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Supplemental Budget Request To Establish an Office of Outreach and Small Business Liaison in 2010

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 1 (the “Sarbanes-Oxley Act”) established the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (the “PCAOB”) to oversee the audits of companies and related matters, to protect investors, and to further the public interest in the preparation of informative, accurate and independent audit reports. The PCAOB is to accomplish these goals through registration of public accounting firms and standard setting, inspection, and disciplinary programs. Section 109 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act directs the PCAOB to establish a budget for each fiscal year in accordance with the PCAOB’s internal procedures, subject to approval by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”).

The Commission’s Rules of Practice related to its Informal and Other Procedures includes a rule to facilitate the Commission’s review and approval of PCAOB budgets.2 This budget rule provides, among other things, a timetable for the preparation and submission of the PCAOB budget, limits on the PCAOB’s ability to incur expenses and obligations except as provided in the approved budget, and procedures relating to supplemental budget requests. In accordance with the Commission’s budget rule, the PCAOB submitted to the Commission a budget for calendar year 2010 that was approved by the Commission on December 22, 2009.3

Effective July 1, 2010, Section 982 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act 4 (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) amended the Sarbanes-Oxley Act to authorize the PCAOB, among other things, to establish, subject to approval by the Commission, auditing and related attestation, quality control, ethics, and independence standards to be used by registered public accounting firms with respect to the preparation and issuance of audit reports to be included in broker-dealer filings with the Commission.5 In light of this new authority, the PCAOB reassessed its communications and outreach strategy.

As a result of this reassessment, the PCAOB intends to enhance its outreach function by establishing a new Office of Outreach and Small Business Liaison (“Office of Outreach”) to act as a liaison between the PCAOB and any PCAOB-registered public accounting firm, or any other person affected by the Board’s regulatory activities, including in particular, entities in the small business community, such as the auditors of broker-dealers. In order to establish this office in 2010, the PCAOB is required under the budget rule to submit a supplemental budget request for Commission approval.6 Pursuant to the procedures set forth in the budget rule, on October 28, 2010, the PCAOB submitted to the Commission a supplemental budget request seeking approval to establish the Office of Outreach in 2010.7

The Board believes that the creation of the Office of Outreach would not result in a net cost increase in 2010. To the extent that any unanticipated costs emerge, the PCAOB proposes to accommodate them from within available funds currently budgeted for the Office of Communications in 2010. Costs associated with the Office of Outreach in future years will be considered by the Commission as part of its review of the PCAOB budgets for those years.

Staff from the Commission’s Offices of the Chief Accountant and Executive Director reviewed and analyzed the PCAOB’s supplemental budget request and did not identify any matters that are inconsistent with Section 109 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act or the Commission’s budget rule. Upon considering the staff’s review and analysis, the Commission has determined that the PCAOB’s request to create the Office of Outreach in 2010 is consistent with Section 109 of the

1 17 U.S.C. 7202 et seq.
6 See 17 CFR 240.190(b)(10).
7 17 CFR 202.190(f).
Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the Commission’s budget rule. Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 109 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, that the PCAOB’s supplemental budget request to create the Office of Outreach in 2010 is approved.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy,
Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Options Regulatory Fee

December 10, 2010.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 6, 2010, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (“CBOE” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by CBOE. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (“CBOE” or “Exchange”) proposes to increase its Options Regulatory Fee. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site http://www.cboe.org/legal/, at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, CBOE included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange charges an Options Regulatory Fee (“ORF”) of $0.004 per contract to each Trading Permit Holder for all options transactions executed or cleared by the Trading Permit Holder that are cleared by The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) in the customer range, excluding Linkage orders, regardless of the exchange on which the transaction occurs. The ORF is collected indirectly from Trading Permit Holders through their clearing firms by OCC on behalf of the Exchange.4 The Exchange has reevaluated the current amount of the ORF in connection with its annual budget review. In light of increased regulatory costs and expected volume levels for 2011, the Exchange proposes to increase the ORF from $0.004 per contract to $0.0045 per contract. The proposed fee change would become operative on January 3, 2011.

The Exchange monitors the amount of revenue collected from the ORF to ensure that it, in combination with its other regulatory fees and fines, does not exceed regulatory costs. The Exchange will continue to monitor regulatory costs and revenues at a minimum on an annual basis. If the Exchange determines regulatory revenues exceed regulatory costs, the Exchange would adjust the ORF by submitting a fee change filing to the Commission. The Exchange notifies Trading Permit Holders of adjustments to the ORF via regulatory circular.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),3 in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act in particular, in that it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Trading Permit Holders. The Exchange believes the proposed ORF is reasonable because revenue from the proposed ORF, in combination with the Exchange’s other regulatory fees and fines, will not exceed regulatory costs. The Exchange believes the proposed ORF is equitable because it would apply uniformly to all Trading Permit Holders who are being assessed the ORF.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act7 and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–48 therein. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act.