• Demolition of existing Columbia River bridges.

In summary, the new Columbia River crossing will carry traffic on two separate pier-supported bridges and will include a new light rail transit (LRT) line and improved bicycle/pedestrian facilities, using a stacked alignment to reduce the number of in-water piers in the Columbia River by approximately one-third. CRC proposes six in-water pier complexes for a total of 12 piers for the Columbia River bridges.

CRC proposes to widen the existing I–5 southbound bridge over North Portland Harbor, and will add three new bridges adjacent to the existing bridges. Starting from the east, these structures will carry:
• A three-lane northbound collector-distributor (CD) ramp carrying local traffic;
• Northbound and southbound I–5 on the widened existing bridge across the North Portland Harbor;
• A southbound CD ramp carrying local traffic; and
• LRT combined with a bicycle/pedestrian path.

Each bridge will have four or five in-water bents, consisting of one to three drilled shafts. The permanent in-water piers of both the Columbia River and North Portland Harbor crossings will be constructed using drilled shafts, rather than impact-driven piles. However, the project will include numerous temporary in-water structures to support equipment and materials during the course of construction which may require the use of temporary impact-driven piles. These structures will include work platforms, work bridges, and tower cranes.

The existing Columbia River bridges will be demolished after the new Columbia River bridges have been constructed and after associated interchanges are operating. The existing Columbia River bridges will be demolished in two stages: (1) Superstructure demolition and (2) substructure demolition. In-water demolition will be accomplished either within cofferdams or with the use of diamond wire/wire saw. A full description of the activities proposed by CRC is described in the application.

**Information Solicited**

Interested persons may submit information, suggestions, and comments concerning CRC’s request (see ADDRESSES). All information, suggestions, and comments related to CRC’s request and NMFS’ potential development and implementation of regulations governing the incidental taking of marine mammals by CRC will be considered by NMFS in developing, if appropriate, regulations governing the issuance of letters of authorization.

Dated: December 9, 2010.

James H. Lecky,
Director, Office of Protected Resources,
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2010–31528 Filed 12–14–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Department of the Navy**

**Record of Decision for the U.S. Marine Corps East Coast Basing of the F–35B Aircraft**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Record of decision.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 42 United States Code (U.S.C.) Section 4332(2)(c), the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] parts 1500–1508), the Department of the Navy (DoN) NEPA regulations (32 CFR part 775), and the Marine Corps Environmental Compliance and Protection Manual, which is Marine Corps Order P5900.2A with change 2 (MCO P5900.2A), the DoN announces its decision to base and operate 11 operational F–35B Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) squadrons (up to 16 aircraft per squadron, for a total of 176 aircraft) and one Pilot Training Center (PTC) (composed of two Fleet Replacement Squadrons [FRS]) (up to 20 aircraft per squadron, for a total of 400 aircraft) at two locations on the East Coast of the United States (U.S.). More specifically, the DoN has decided to implement Alternative 1, the Preferred Alternative, which includes basing three F–35B operational squadrons and the PTC at Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort (MCAS) Beaufort in Beaufort, South Carolina, and eight operational squadrons at MCAS Cherry Point in Havelock, North Carolina. To support the basing action, the Marine Corps will: (1) Construct and/or renovate airfield facilities and infrastructure necessary to accommodate and maintain the F–35B squadrons; (2) change personnel to accommodate squadron staffing; and (3) conduct F–35B training operations to attain and maintain proficiency in the operational employment of the F–35B. The F–35B aircraft will replace 84 legacy Marine Corps F/A–18A/B/C/D Hornet and 68 AV–8B Harrier aircraft in the Second Marine Air Wing (2d MAW) and the 4th MAW. All practical means to avoid or minimize environmental impacts resulting from implementation of the Preferred Alternative have been adopted.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The complete text of the Record of Decision is available for public viewing on the project Web site at http://www.usmcfseast.com along with copies of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). For further information, contact the JSF East Coast EIS Project Manager, Environmental Planning & Conservation Division (Attn: Linda Blount); Naval Facilities Engineering Command Mid-Atlantic, Code EV21; 9742 Maryland Avenue, 2–144, 1st Floor; Norfolk, VA 23511; 757–341–0491.

Dated: December 9, 2010.

D. J. Werner,
Lieutenant Commander, Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010–31469 Filed 12–14–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Department of the Navy**

**Record of Decision for the U.S. Marine Corps West Coast Basing of the F–35B Aircraft**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Record of decision.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 42 United States Code (U.S.C.) Section 4332(2)(c), the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] parts 1500–1508), the Department of the Navy (DoN) NEPA regulations (32 CFR part 775), and the Marine Corps Environmental Compliance and Protection Manual, which is Marine Corps Order P5900.2A with change 2 (MCO P5900.2A), the DoN announces its decision to base and operate 11 operational F–35B Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) squadrons (up to 16 aircraft per squadron, for a total of 176 aircraft), and 1 F–35B Operational Test and Evaluation (OT&E) squadron (8 aircraft) on the West Coast of the United States (U.S.). More specifically, the DoN has decided to implement Alternative 1, the Preferred Alternative, which includes basing six F–35B operational squadrons at Marine Corps Air Station Miramar in San Diego, California, and five operational