New Jersey (collectively “Oki”) as respondents.

On September 23, 2010, the ALJ issued his final ID finding that Oki violated section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain printing and imaging devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of several claims in the ’690 patent. On November 22, 2010, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part. The Commission asked for initial submissions on the issues under review as well as on remedy, the public interest and bonding by December 9, 2010, and reply submissions by December 17, 2010.

The Commission has determined to extend the deadline for initial submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding to December 17, 2010, and extend the deadline for reply submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding to December 23, 2010. This extension applies to all parties and members of the public.


By order of the Commission.
Issued: December 6, 2010.
William R. Bishop.
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have a practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions

The Department of Labor seeks an extension of the current OMB approval of the paperwork requirements in the Compliance Information Report. Extension is necessary to ensure nondiscrimination in programs or
activities funded in whole or in part by the Department of Labor.

Type of Review: Extension.

Agency: Civil Rights Center, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management.

Title: Compliance Information Report—29 CFR part 31 (Title VI), Nondiscrimination-Disability—29 CFR part 32 (section 504), and Nondiscrimination—Workforce Investment Act—29 CFR part 37 (section 188 of the Workforce Investment Act).

OMB Number: 1225–0077.

AFFECTED PUBLIC: State, local or Tribal governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 39,233,285.

Frequency: Recurrent.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): $0.00.

Total Estimated Annual Responses: 2,153.

Estimated Average Time Per Response: .33 hours.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): $151,743.20.

Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC this 7th day of December, 2010.
Ramón Suris-Fernández, Director, Civil Rights Center.

BILLING CODE 4510–23–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–74,551]

Vaughn Furniture Company, Galax, VA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated November 4, 2010, a worker requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers’ eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Vaughn Furniture Company, Galax, Virginia (subject firm). The Department’s Notice of Determination was published in the Federal Register on October 25, 2010 (75 FR 65520). The workers supply administrative and support services in support of furniture production at foreign facilities.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that subject firm sales increased during the relevant period and the subject firm did not shift to/acquire from a foreign country the supply of services like or directly competitive with those supplied by the subject workers. The investigation also revealed that the workers at the subject firm did not qualify to apply for TAA as adversely-affected secondary workers.

In the request for reconsideration, the worker states that he was part of the “B.C. Vaughn plant” and “should not be considered an administrative and support services worker.” The worker further states that his position was “essential to the production operation” because he was responsible for scheduling trucks used to move furniture from the production plant to the warehouse.

The Department of Labor has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor’s prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC this 3rd day of December, 2010.
Del Min Amy Chen, Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–72,493]

Ananke, Inc., Providence, RI; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated October 25, 2010, a worker requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers’ eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm.

The Department of Labor’s prior determination based on the findings that neither the subject firm nor a client firm shifted to/acquired from a foreign country the supply of services like or directly competitive with the services supplied by the workers, that the subject firm did not import like or directly competitive services during the relevant period, and that the subject workers were not adversely affected secondary workers.

The request for reconsideration states that “Ananke Inc. performed application packaging services for John Hancock * * * In September 2009, John Hancock replaced * * * Ananke Inc. with * * * Cognizant Technology Solutions (an offshoring/outsourcing company)” and included support documentation.

The Department of Labor has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor’s prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC this 1st day of December, 2010.
Del Min Amy Chen, Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–73,824]

Honeywell International, Inc., Automation and Control Solutions Division, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Manpower, Spherion, and Sevitas, Rock Island, IL; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (“Act”), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment