

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 52**

[R09-OAR-2010-0718; FRL-9233-1]

**Determinations of Attainment by the Applicable Attainment Date for the Hayden, Nogales, Paul Spur/Douglas PM<sub>10</sub> Nonattainment Areas, Arizona; Withdrawal of Direct Final Rule****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Withdrawal of direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Due to the receipt of an adverse comment, EPA is withdrawing the November 2, 2010 (75 FR 67220), direct final rule determining that the Hayden, Nogales, and Paul Spur/Douglas areas in Arizona had attained the national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than or equal to ten microns by the applicable attainment date. On the basis of this determination, EPA concluded that these three “moderate” nonattainment areas were not subject to reclassification. In the direct final rule, EPA stated that if adverse comments were submitted by December 2, 2010, the rule would be withdrawn and not take effect. On November 3, 2010, EPA received a comment. EPA believes this comment is adverse and, therefore, EPA is withdrawing the direct final rule. EPA will address the comment in a subsequent final action based upon the proposed action also published on November 2, 2010 (75 FR 67303). EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

**DATES:** The direct final rule published at 75 FR 67220 on November 2, 2010, is withdrawn as of November 29, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wienke Tax, Air Planning Office, Air Division (AIR-2), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, (415) 947-4192, [tax.wienke@epa.gov](mailto:tax.wienke@epa.gov).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, National Parks, Particulate matter, Wilderness Areas.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: November 19, 2010.

**Keith Takata,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 2010-29937 Filed 11-26-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA-R05-OAR-2007-0642; FRL-9231-8]

**Disapproval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Indiana; Addition of Incentive for Regulatory Flexibility for Its Environmental Stewardship Program****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** On July 6, 2007, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) submitted a request to EPA to amend its State Implementation Plan (SIP) to add incentives for regulatory flexibility for participants in its Environmental Stewardship Program (ESP) and Comprehensive Local Environmental Action Network (CLEAN) Community Challenge Program. Indiana requested that EPA approve the following for ESP and CLEAN members: The incorporation by reference of certain incentives under the National Environmental Performance Track (NEPT) Program, monthly averaging of volatile organic compound (VOC) coating limits, and the processing of pollution prevention projects as minor permit revisions. EPA proposed to disapprove these three incentives on August 19, 2010, and received no comments.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on December 29, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID Nos. EPA-R05-OAR-2007-0642. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. We recommend that you telephone Steven Rosenthal,

Environmental Engineer, at (312) 886-6052 before visiting the Region 5 office.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Steven Rosenthal, Environmental Engineer, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6052.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA. This **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section is arranged as follows:

- I. What public comments were received on the proposed approval and what is EPA’s response?
- II. What action is EPA taking today and what is the reason for this action?
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. What public comments were received on the proposed approval and what is EPA’s response?**

EPA’s August 19, 2010, proposed action at 75 FR 51188 provided a 30-day public comment period. We did not receive any comments on the proposed action.

**II. What action is EPA taking today and what is the reason for this action?**

EPA is disapproving IDEM’s request for an amendment to the Indiana SIP for incentives for regulatory flexibility for its ESP and CLEAN Community Challenge Program. EPA is disapproving the incorporation by reference of Federal incentives for NEPT members because EPA has discontinued its NEPT program. EPA is disapproving monthly averaging of VOC coating limits because this would constitute a relaxation that could exacerbate high ozone levels and contribute to violations of the ozone standard. EPA is disapproving the third incentive, which affects public notice requirements for pollution prevention projects, because it relaxes the existing SIP-approved public notice requirements and is inconsistent with EPA minor new source rule requirements.

**III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews***Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review*

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a “significant regulatory action” and, therefore, is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget.

*Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use*

Because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 or a “significant energy action,” this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001).

*Regulatory Flexibility Act*

This action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

*Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under State law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by State law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4).

*Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments*

This rule also does not have Tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian Tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (59 FR 22951, November 9, 2000).

*Executive Order 13132: Federalism*

This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a State rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act (CAA).

*Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks*

This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks” (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it approves a State rule implementing a Federal Standard.

*National Technology Transfer Advancement Act*

In reviewing State submissions, EPA’s role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a State submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a State submission, to use VCS in place of a State submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply.

*Paperwork Reduction Act*

This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

*Congressional Review Act*

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 28, 2011. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition

for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: November 15, 2010.

**Susan Hedman,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

■ 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

*Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart P—Indiana**

■ 2. Section 52.781 is amended by adding paragraph (g) to read as follows:

**§ 52.781 Rules and regulations.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(g) *Disapproval.* EPA is disapproving 326 IAC 25–2–1, 326 IAC 25–2–3 and 326 IAC 25–2–4 as revisions to the Indiana SIP.

[FR Doc. 2010–29817 Filed 11–26–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**

**41 CFR Parts 300–3, 301–10, 301–12, 301–30, 301–70, Chapter 301, Parts 302–1, 302–2, 302–3, 302–7, 302–11, and 303–70**

[FTR Amendment 2010–07; FTR Case 2010–307; Docket 2010–0020, Sequence 1]

RIN 3090–AJ09

**Federal Travel Regulation; Removal of Privately Owned Vehicle Rates; Privately Owned Automobile Mileage Reimbursement When Government Owned Automobiles Are Authorized; Miscellaneous Amendments**

**AGENCY:** Office of Governmentwide Policy, General Services Administration (GSA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** GSA is amending the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR) by removing the Privately Owned Vehicle (POV) rates from Section 301–10.303. These rates will be published on a periodic basis as FTR Bulletins by the Office of