On-scene patrol personnel include designated on-scene patrol personnel. Captain of the Port Morgan City and Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1. On-scene patrol personnel are officers of the U.S. Coast Guard.

On-scene patrol personnel include officers of the U.S. Coast Guard.

2. Add § 165.T08–0979 to read as follows:

§ 165.T08–0979 Safety Zone: 1000 yard radius from position 29°48′77″ N 091°33.02″ W, Charenton Drainage and Navigation Canal, St. Mary Parish, LA.

(a) Enforcement Areas. The safety zone exists in an area comprising a 1000 yard radius from position 29°48′77″ N 091°33.02″ W, Charenton Drainage and Navigation Canal.

(b) Effective date. This rule is effective on October 20, 2010 through December 31, 2010.

(c) Regulations. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.33 of this part, entry into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Morgan City.

(2) Vessels requiring entry into or passage through the Safety Zone must request permission from the Captain of the Port Morgan City, or a designated representative. They may be contacted on VHF Channel 13 or 16, or by telephone at (985) 380–5320.

(3) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port Morgan City and designated on-scene patrol personnel. On-scene patrol personnel include commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the U.S. Coast Guard.

Dated: October 20, 2010.

Blake E. Welborn,
Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port Morgan City, Louisiana.

[FR Doc. 2010–29878 Filed 11–26–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
40 CFR Part 52

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Ohio; Ohio Portion of the Cincinnati-Hamilton Area; 8-Hour Ozone Maintenance Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving a revision to the maintenance plan for the Ohio portion of the Cincinnati-Hamilton, OH–KY–IN 8-hour ozone area. The Cincinnati-Hamilton area includes Butler, Clermont, Clinton, Hamilton, Warren Counties in Ohio, Lawrenceburg Township in Dearborn County, Indiana, and Boone, Campbell, and Kenton Counties in Kentucky. The Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) submitted a maintenance plan revision on July 6, 2010. The submittal contained revisions to 2015 and 2020 NOX point source emissions projections for Butler County to reflect modifications at a major source that will occur during the maintenance period.

DATES: This direct final rule will be effective January 28, 2011, unless EPA receives adverse comments by December 29, 2010. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2010–0656, by one of the following methods:


2. E-mail: mooney.john@epa.gov.

3. Fax: (312) 692–2551.


6. Mail: Kathleen D’Agostino, Environmental Engineer, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. We recommend that you telephone Kathleen D’Agostino, Environmental Engineer, at (312) 886–1767 before visiting the Region 5 office.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen D’Agostino, Environmental Engineer, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. We recommend that you telephone Kathleen D’Agostino, Environmental Engineer, at (312) 886–1767 before visiting the Region 5 office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document whenever “we,” “our” or “us” is used, we mean EPA. This supplementary information section is arranged as follows:

I. What is the background for this action?
II. What revisions are being made to the maintenance plan?
III. What action is EPA taking?
IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

EPA is approving a revision to the maintenance plan for the Ohio portion of the Cincinnati-Hamilton, OH–KY–IN 8-hour ozone area. The Cincinnati-Hamilton area includes Butler, Clermont, Clinton, Hamilton, Warren Counties in Ohio, Lawrenceburg Township in Dearborn County, Indiana, and Boone, Campbell, and Kenton Counties in Kentucky. The Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) submitted a maintenance plan revision on July 6, 2010. The submittal contained revisions to 2015 and 2020 NOX point source emissions projections for Butler County to reflect modifications at a major source that will occur during the maintenance period. This direct final rule will be effective January 28, 2011, unless EPA receives adverse comments by December 29, 2010. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

Submission of Proposed Maintenance Plan:

Ohio submitted a maintenance plan revision on July 6, 2010. The submittal contained revisions to 2015 and 2020 NOX point source emissions projections for Butler County to reflect modifications at a major source that will occur during the maintenance period. This direct final rule will be effective January 28, 2011, unless EPA receives adverse comments by December 29, 2010. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

II. What revisions are being made to the maintenance plan?

The Ohio portion of the Cincinnati-Hamilton area includes Butler, Clermont, Clinton, Hamilton, Warren Counties in Ohio, Lawrenceburg Township in Dearborn County, Indiana, and Boone, Campbell, and Kenton Counties in Kentucky. The Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) submitted a maintenance plan revision on July 6, 2010. The submittal contained revisions to 2015 and 2020 NOX point source emissions projections for Butler County to reflect modifications at a major source that will occur during the maintenance period. This direct final rule will be effective January 28, 2011, unless EPA receives adverse comments by December 29, 2010. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

III. What action is EPA taking?

III. What action is EPA taking?

III. What action is EPA taking?

III. What action is EPA taking?

III. What action is EPA taking?
I. What is the background for this action?

On May 11, 2010 (75 FR 26118), EPA redesignated the Ohio and Indiana portions of the Cincinnati-Hamilton area to attainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). At that time, EPA also approved, as a revision to the Ohio and Indiana State Implementation Plans (SIP), the States’ plans for maintaining the 8-hour ozone NAAQS through 2020 in the area.

The Ohio and Indiana plans demonstrated maintenance of the 8-hour ozone standard through 2020 by showing that current and future emissions of VOC and NO\textsubscript{X} for the Cincinnati-Hamilton area remain at or below attainment year emission levels. The Cincinnati-Hamilton area attained the 8-hour ozone NAAQS during the 2007–2009 time period. For attainment emission levels, Ohio and Indiana used 2008 inventories. Emissions inventories projections for the years 2015 and 2020 were used to demonstrate maintenance. As part of their maintenance plans, the States elected to include a “safety margin” for the area. A “safety margin” is the difference between the attainment level of emissions (from all sources) and the projected level of emissions (from all sources) in the maintenance plan which continues to demonstrate attainment of the standard. For the Ohio and Indiana portion of the Cincinnati-Hamilton area, the emissions from point, area, nonroad, and mobile sources in 2008 equaled 144.22 tpd and 230.28 tpd of VOC and NO\textsubscript{X}, respectively. In the maintenance plans, Ohio and Indiana projected VOC and NO\textsubscript{X} emission levels for 2020 to be 117.70 tpd and 197.75 tpd, respectively.

II. What revisions are being made to the maintenance plan?

Ohio submitted revisions to 2015 and 2020 NO\textsubscript{X} point source emissions projections for Butler County to reflect modifications at a major source which will occur during the maintenance period. These revised projections show an increase of 1.31 tons per day (tpd) of NO\textsubscript{X} in both 2015 and 2020. As shown in Table 1, for the Ohio and Indiana portions of the Cincinnati-Hamilton area, 2015 and 2020 emissions remain below attainment levels.

III. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is approving a revision to the maintenance plan for the Ohio portion of the Cincinnati-Hamilton 8-hour ozone area. Changes were made to the 2015 and 2020 NO\textsubscript{X} point source emissions projections for Butler County to reflect modifications at a major source that will occur during the maintenance period.

We are publishing this action without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register publication, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the State plan if relevant adverse written comments are filed. This rule will be effective January 28, 2011 without further notice unless we receive relevant adverse written comments by December 29, 2010. If we receive such comments, we will withdraw this action before the effective date by publishing a subsequent document that will withdraw the final action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA
receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. If we do not receive any comments, this action will be effective January 28, 2011.

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve State law as the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 31735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 2(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have Tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 28, 2011. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. Parties with objections to this direct final rule are encouraged to file a comment in response to the parallel notice of proposed rulemaking for this action published in the proposed rules section of today’s Federal Register, rather than file an immediate petition for judicial review of this direct final rule, so that EPA can withdraw this direct final rule and address the comment in the proposed rulemaking. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: November 15, 2010.

Susan Hedman,
Regional Administrator, Region 5.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart KK—Ohio

2. Section 52.1885 is amended by adding paragraph (ff)(11) to read as follows:

§ 52.1885 Control strategy: Ozone.

(ff) * * * *

(11) Approval—On July 6, 2010, the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency submitted a request to revise the maintenance plan for the Ohio portion of the Cincinnati-Hamilton, OH–KY–IN 8-hour ozone area. The submittal revises 2015 and 2020 NOx point source emissions projections for Butler County.

* * * *

[FR Doc. 2010–29784 Filed 11–26–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Indiana; Clean Air Interstate Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking direct final action to approve a request submitted by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) on June 29, 2009, to revise the Indiana State Implementation Plan (SIP) under the Clean Air Act (CAA). The State has submitted amendments to the Indiana Administrative Code (IAC), which supplement Indiana’s Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), for which EPA granted limited approval as an abbreviated SIP on October 22, 2007. The abbreviated SIP was to be implemented in conjunction with a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) that specified requirements for emissions monitoring, permit provisions, and other elements of CAIR programs. The State’s June 29, 2009, submittal includes elements that EPA deems necessary in order for EPA to fully approve Indiana’s CAIR SIP. This will allow a transition from an abbreviated SIP with limited approval to a full SIP with full approval under which the various CAIR implementation provisions would be governed by State rules rather than FIP