

0172. The OMB is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
  - Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
  - Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Title of Collection:* Student Data Form.

*Form Number:* OSHA Form 182.

*OMB Control Number:* 1218-0172.

*Affected Public:* Individuals; business or other for-profit organizations; Federal government; State, Local, or Tribal governments.

*Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 2000.

*Total Estimated Number of Responses:* 2000.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 160.

*Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden:* \$0.

Dated: October 14, 2010.

**Michel Smyth,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2010-27378 Filed 10-28-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-73,722]

#### **Sojitz Corporation of America, a Subsidiary of Sojitz Corporation, Forest Products Department, Seattle, WA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated September 23, 2010, by a Washington State workforce official requested administrative

reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Sojitz Corporation of America, a subsidiary of Sojitz Corporation, Forest Products Department, Seattle, Washington (subject firm). The determination was issued on September 1, 2010. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on September 21, 2010 (75 FR 57517). The workers provide services related to the trade of forest products.

The negative determination was based on the findings that the subject firm did not, during the period under investigation, shift to a foreign country the supply of services like or directly competitive with the services performed by the workers or acquire these services from a foreign country; that the workers' separation, or threat of separation, was not related to any increase in imports of like or directly competitive services; and that the workers did not produce an article or supply a service that was directly used in the production of an article or the supply of service by a firm that employed a worker group that is eligible to apply for TAA based on the aforementioned article or service.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioners alleged that the subject firm has shifted services to a foreign country.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

#### **Conclusion**

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of October 2010.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-27388 Filed 10-28-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-71,572; TA-W-71,572A; TA-W-71,572B; TA-W-71,572C]

#### **Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration: TA-W-71,572, Severstal Wheeling, Inc., a Subsidiary of Severstal North America, Inc., Martins Ferry, OH; TA-W-71,572A, Severstal Wheeling, Inc., a Subsidiary of Severstal North America, Inc., Yorkville, OH; TA-W-71,572B, Severstal Wheeling, Inc., a Subsidiary of Severstal North America, Inc., Mingo Junction, OH; TA-W-71,572C, Severstal Wheeling, Inc., a Subsidiary of Severstal North America, Inc., Steubenville, OH**

By applications dated May 15 and May 21, 2010, United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union (USW), requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on March 3, 2010, and the Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on April 23, 2010 (75 FR 21363).

The subject workers produce galvanized coil (TA-W-71,572), cold rolled coils and back plate coils (TA-W-71,572A), hot rolled coils (TA-W-71,572B), and cold rolled coils (TA-W-71,572C).

The negative determination was based on the findings that there was no increase in imports of like or directly competitive articles produced at subject facilities and no shift to/acquisition from a foreign country by the subject firm of like or directly competitive articles produced at the subject facilities.

The investigation also revealed that the firm did not produce an article or supply a service that was used by a firm with TAA-certified workers in the production of an article or supply of a service that was the basis for TAA certification.

The Department of Labor has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.