
The Office of Competition and Economic Analysis (“OCEA”) is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Secretary of Commerce to publish a summary of the certification in the Federal Register.

Under section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary’s determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

Description of Amended Certificate

FCE’s Export Trade Certificate of Review has been amended to:

1. Add the following new Members of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(1) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(1)): Riverfront Packing Co. LLC, Vero Beach, FL; and Indian River Exchange Packers, Inc., Vero Beach, FL.

2. Delete the following Members from FCE’s Certificate: Dole Citrus, Vero Beach, FL; Harbor Island Citrus Inc., Vero Beach, FL; and Minton Sun, Inc. Ft. Pierce, FL.

Dated: October 18, 2010.

Joseph E. Flynn,
Director, Office of Competition and Economic Analysis.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Application No. 94–4A007]

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of issuance of an amended export trade certificate of review to Florida Citrus Exports L.C. (“FCE”) (Application #94–4A007).

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to Florida Citrus Exports L.C. on October 13, 2010. The Certificate has now been amended nine times. The previous amendment was issued to FCE on May 8, 2000, and a notice of its issuance was published in the Federal Register on May 12, 2000 (65 FR 30564). The original Certificate for FCE was issued on February 23, 1995, and a notice of its issuance was published in the Federal Register on May 8, 1995 (60 FR 12735).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph E. Flynn, Director, Office of Competition and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, by telephone at (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail at etc@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 30, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India covering the period February 1, 2009, through January 31, 2010. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 75 FR 15679 (March 30, 2010). The preliminary results for this administrative review are currently due no later than October 31, 2010.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an antidumping duty order for which a review is requested and issue the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Due to the complexity of the issues in this case, the Department requires additional time to review and analyze the respondent’s sales and cost information and to issue supplemental questionnaires. In addition, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.307(b)(1)(iii), the Department plans to conduct verification in response to Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd.’s (“Venus”) request for revocation.1 The Department will require time to conduct the verification and to write its verification report, prior to publishing the preliminary results. Thus, it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit (i.e., October 31, 2010). Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to no later than February 28, 2011, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

1 See Venus’ February 24, 2010, letter “Request for Revocation of Dumping Order.”
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–824]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: October 25, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elii Blum, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0197.

Background

On March 2, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a new shipper review under the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from India for the period July 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009. See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Initiation of Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty New Shipper Reviews, 75 FR 10758 (March 9, 2010). This new shipper review covers one producer and exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States: SRF Limited. On August 27, 2010, the Department published a notice of extension for this new shipper review. See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review, 75 FR 52717 (August 27, 2010). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than October 22, 2010.

Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and section 351.214(i)(1) of the Department’s regulations require the Department to issue the preliminary results of review within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated, and final results of the review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Department concludes that a new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department’s regulations allow the Department to extend the 180-day period to 300 days, and to extend the 90-day period to 150 days. The Department determines that this new shipper review involves extraordinarily complicated issues pertaining to the bona fides of the new shipper, including the examination of importer and customer information. The Department also must address certain complicated methodological issues pertaining to SRF Limited’s reported sales data. Because of these issues, the Department must issue another supplemental questionnaire to SRF Limited, provide SRF Limited with time to respond, and analyze SRF Limited’s response.

Therefore, the Department is extending the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of this new shipper review by an additional total of 55 days, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2). Accordingly, the deadline for the completion of the preliminary results is now no later than December 16, 2010.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 18, 2010.

Susan H. Kuhbach,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–896]

Magnesium Metal From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of the 2008–2009 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 21, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published the preliminary results in the 2008–2009 antidumping duty administrative review of magnesium metal from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). The period of review (“POR”) is April 1, 2008, through March 31, 2009. We have determined that Tianjin Magnesium International Co., Ltd. (“TMI”), the only respondent in this review, made sales in the United States at prices below normal value (“NV”). There are no other respondents covered by this review. We invited interested parties to comment on our Preliminary Results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to our margin calculations for TMI. The final dumping margin for this review is listed in the “Final Results Margins” section below.

DATES: Effective Date: October 25, 2010.


Background

On April 21, 2010, the Department published its Preliminary Results in the antidumping duty administrative review of magnesium metal from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). On April 30, 2010, US Magnesium LLC (“Petitioner”) requested a hearing for issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. On May 14, 2010, all parties (Petitioner and TMI) submitted publicly available surrogate value data to value TMI’s factors of production. On May 24, parties submitted rebuttal comments addressing the May 14, 2010 submissions. On July 14, 2010, the Department re-opened the record to place additional wage rate information on the record for consideration in the final results, and requested parties to provide comments on that data in their case and rebuttal briefs.

We received the case briefs from Petitioner and TMI on July 22, 2010, and rebuttal briefs on July 27, 2010. In addition, on August 26, 2010, TMI provided comments on the Department’s July 14, 2010, wage rate information. On August 30, 2010, Petitioner provided rebuttal comments to TMI’s wage rate comment. On August 18, 2010, the Department extended the deadline for the final


2 See Preliminary Results.