

Joint Chief of Staff and pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.130(g), may appoint the spouse of a senior U.S. military flag officer (military pay grade O–9 or O–10) to serve as an advisor to the Council. This senior spouse advisor shall be appointed as an expert and consultant under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 3109, and shall serve as a special government employee, unless he or she is a regular government employee in his or her own right. As an expert and consultant under Section 3109, this senior spouse advisor shall have no voting rights on the Council or its subcommittees; nor shall this senior spouse advisor participate in the deliberations of the Council or its subcommittees.

With the exception of travel and per diem for official travel, Council members appointed as special government employees shall serve without compensation.

Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.105(j) and 102–3.140, the public or interested organizations are reminded that they may submit written statements to the committee membership about the committee's mission and functions. Written statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of planned meeting of the Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council.

All written statements shall be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer for the Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council, and this individual will ensure that the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration. Contact information for the Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council's Designated Federal Officer, may be obtained from the GSA's FACA Database—<https://www.fido.gov/facadatabase/public.asp>.

The Designated Federal Officer, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150, will announce planned meetings of the Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council. The Designated Federal Officer, at that time, may provide additional guidance on the submission of written statements that are in response to the stated agenda for the planned meeting in question.

Dated: October 20, 2010.

**Mitchell S. Bryman,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2010–26837 Filed 10–22–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001–06–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### **Notice of Availability of Record of Decision for the Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Renewal of Authorization To Use Pinecastle Range, Ocala National Forest, FL**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy (DoN), after carefully weighing the environmental consequences of the proposed action as presented in the Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS), announces its decision to implement the expanded safety zones and associated mitigation measures and continue DoN training at Pinecastle Range, as detailed in the Final Environmental Impact Statement for Renewal of Authorization to Use Pinecastle Range, Ocala National Forest, Florida, dated January 2002, in furtherance of DoN's statutory obligations under Title 10 of the United States Code governing the roles and responsibilities of the DoN. In its decision, the DoN considered applicable laws and executive orders, including an analysis of the effects of its actions in compliance with the Endangered Species Act, the Coastal Zone Management Act, and the National Historic Preservation Act, and the requirements of Executive Order (EO) 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations and EO 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks.

Implementation of the proposed action could begin immediately.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The complete text of the DoN's Record of Decision (ROD) is available for public viewing on the project Web site at <http://www.pinecastleseis.com> along with copies of the SFEIS and supporting documents. Single copies of the ROD will be made available upon request by contacting the SEIS Project Manager, Mr. Tom Currin, 904–542–6301.

Dated: October 19, 2010.

**D.J. Werner,**

*Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2010–26930 Filed 10–22–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### **Record of Decision (ROD) for the Implementation of the Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC) 2005 Actions at Fort Monroe, VA**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Record of Decision.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army announces the availability of the ROD, which summarizes the decision on how to implement property disposal in accordance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (the Base Closure Act), Public Law 101–510, as amended, following the closure of Fort Monroe, Virginia.

The Army has decided to implement its preferred alternative of early transfer of surplus non-reverting federal property to other entities for reuse. Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and its implementing regulations, the Army prepared a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) that includes the evaluation of the environmental and socioeconomic impacts of disposing of surplus Federal property that does not revert to the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the implementation by others of reasonable, foreseeable reuse alternatives for the entire property. Under the early transfer alternative, the Army can transfer and dispose of non-reverting property for redevelopment before environmental remedial actions have been completed. This method of early disposal, allowable under Section 120(h)(3)(C) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), would defer the CERCLA covenant requirement to complete all necessary environmental cleanup prior to the transfer of the remediated property. In this way, parcels could become available for redevelopment and reuse sooner under this disposal alternative than under any other. The Governor of the Commonwealth of Virginia must concur with the deferral request for the non-reversionary property at Fort Monroe.

**ADDRESSES:** To obtain a copy of the ROD, contact Mr. Robert Reali, Fort Monroe BRAC Environmental Coordinator, Directorate of Public Works, 318 Cornog Lane, Fort Monroe, VA 23651–1110; via e-mail address at [monr.post.nepapublic@us.army.mil](mailto:monr.post.nepapublic@us.army.mil); or via Web site at [http://www.hqda.army.mil/acsim/brac/nepa\\_eis\\_docs.htm](http://www.hqda.army.mil/acsim/brac/nepa_eis_docs.htm).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Rob Reali at (757) 788–5363.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Fort Monroe Authority's (FMA) Reuse Plan provides the basis for the development of reasonable and foreseeable reuse scenarios evaluated in the FEIS. The FMA is the implementation authority for the redevelopment of Fort Monroe and will implement the Reuse Plan. The range of reuse alternatives evaluated in the FEIS encompasses reasonably foreseeable variations of the Reuse Plan and the results of this analysis were used by the Army in its decision regarding disposition of the property.

A Programmatic Agreement (PA) for the Closure and Disposal of Fort Monroe has been legally executed by the signing of authorized representatives of the Army, the Virginia State Historic Preservation Officer, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the Commonwealth of Virginia, the Fort Monroe Federal Area Development Authority (now the FMA), and the National Park Service. Army obligations fully described in the PA are considered mitigations required under the National Historic Preservation Act. Specific mitigation measures the Army commits to perform or has completed are outlined in the ROD.

Dated: October 8, 2010.

**Hershell E. Wolfe,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health).*

[FR Doc. 2010-26923 Filed 10-22-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-08-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Education (the Department), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the reporting burden on the public and helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. The Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the proposed information collection

requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 27, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov) or mailed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that Federal agencies provide interested parties an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests at the beginning of the Departmental review of the information collection. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: October 20, 2010.

**Darrin A. King,**

*Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.*

### Institute of Education Sciences

*Type of Review:* Revision.

*Title of Collection:* 2011-12 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:12) Field Test Student Data Collection.

*OMB Control Number:* 1850-0666.

*Agency Form Number(s):* N/A.

*Frequency of Responses:* Annually.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit; Individuals or households; Not-for-profit institutions.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 4,093.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:* 4,256.

*Abstract:* NPSAS, a nationally representative study of how students and their families finance education

beyond high school, was first implemented by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) in 1987 and has been fielded every 3 to 4 years since. This submission is for collection of student data in the eighth cycle in the series, NPSAS:12, and supplements the recently obtained approval for NPSAS:12 collection of institutional data (OMB# 1850-0666 v.7). NPSAS:12 will also serve as the base year study for the Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study (BPS) of first-time postsecondary students that will focus on issues of persistence, degree attainment, and employment outcome. Following the field test study in 2011, NCES will submit an Office of Management and Budget clearance package for the full scale. The NPSAS:12 field test sample will include about 300 institutions (full-scale sample about 1,670) and about 4,500 students (120,000 full-scale). Institution contacting for the field test begun in September 2010 (for full scale in September 2011), list collection will be conducted January through May 2011 (full-scale January through June 2012), and student data collection will take place March through June 2011 (full scale January through September 2012). Changes since the last NPSAS collection in 2008 include a new cohort of the (BPS) which will conduct follow-up studies in 2014 and 2017, and revised strata for institution sampling to reflect the recent growth in enrollment in for-profit 4-year institutions. This submission requests approval for conducting student interviews, collecting student records, and file matching for NPSAS:12 as part of the 2011 field test and a 60-day **Federal Register** public notice waiver for the 2012 full-scale study.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 4393. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov) or faxed to 202-401-0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection and OMB Control Number when making your request.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information