

The determination was issued on July 30, 2010, and the Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on August 13, 2010 (75 FR 49532). The workers provide storage services.

The negative determination was based on the finding that a shift of production to Canada in 2006 did not contribute importantly to separations at the subject firm because, during the period of the investigation, the subject firm did not produce an article; rather, the subject firm provided storage services for other subsidiaries of AMG, the parent company, and those storage services were shifted to an affiliate domestic facility. In addition, the subject firm did not supply services to a firm that employed a worker group that is currently eligible to apply for TAA.

The request for reconsideration alleges that the workers did not supply the services identified in the determination. The worker also states that the subject firm is in the process of permanently decommissioning and shifted operations to various facilities throughout the United States as well as Canada, Brazil, England, and Mexico.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of October 2010.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010-26904 Filed 10-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-73,156]

American Spring Wire Corporation, Kankakee, IL; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated August 24, 2010, workers requested administrative reconsideration of the negative

determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on July 30, 2010 and the Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on August 13, 2010 (75 FR 49532). The workers produce metal stampings.

The negative determination was based on the findings that there was no increase in imports of metal stampings (or like or directly competitive articles) by the subject firm or its customers, and no shift to/acquisition from a foreign country by the workers' firm of article like or directly competitive with the metal stampings produced by the subject workers. The investigation also revealed that the workers did not produce a component part that was used by a firm that employed workers eligible to apply for TAA and used the component parts in the production of the article that was the basis for the certification.

The request for reconsideration alleges that the subject firm supplied component parts to firms in the automotive industry and asserts that increased imports of finished articles that contain foreign-made component parts like or directly competitive with the metal stampings produced by the subject firm contributed importantly to separations at the Kankakee, Illinois facility.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of October 2010.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010-26903 Filed 10-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-72,971]

ASC Machine Tools, Inc., Spokane Valley, WA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated September 21, 2010, a representative of the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (IAM&AW), District Lodge 751, requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of ASC Machine Tools, Inc., Spokane Valley, Washington (the subject firm). The Notice of negative determination was issued on August 11, 2010 and published in the **Federal Register** on August 30, 2010 (75 FR 52986). The workers produce custom-order metal cutting machinery used to form and cut metal, including assembled equipment, component parts of equipment, and spare parts.

The negative determination was based on the findings that the subject firm sales decline was due to loss of export sales of foreign customers' bids to competitors outside the United States. The initial investigation also revealed decreased aggregate imports of metal cutting equipment during the relevant period and that the subject firm is not a supplier or downstream producer for any firm that employed a worker group eligible to apply for TAA.

The union official, in the request for reconsideration, alleges increased imports from Sen Fung Rollform Machinery Corporation in Taiwan and Metform International in Canada. The union official also articulates the concern that "the affected workers are being penalized due to the inconsistent customer base of the company" and requests that aggregate import data during 2007 and 2008 be considered.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department

of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of October 2010.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010-26902 Filed 10-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-72,949]

Western Digital Technologies, Inc., Corporate Headquarters/Hard Drive Development Division, Lake Forest, CA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated September 14, 2010, workers requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The Department's Notice of negative determination was issued on August 5, 2010 and published in the **Federal Register** on August 23, 2010 (75 FR 51849). The workers are engaged in activity related to the supply of engineering services.

The negative determination was based on the findings that the subject firm did not increase imports services supplied by the worker's firm and that there has not been a shift to a foreign country in the supply of services by the subject firm. The investigation also revealed that the subject firm does not supply a service that was directly used in the production of an article by a firm that employed a worker group eligible to apply for TAA.

The request for reconsideration alleges that increased imports of articles (disk drives) that were produced directly using the services supplied by the subject workers (engineering) contributed importantly to separations at the subject firm.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is

of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of October 2010.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010-26901 Filed 10-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2006-0042]

Canadian Standards Association; Expansion of Recognition

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's final decision expanding the recognition of the Canadian Standards Association as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory under 29 CFR 1910.7.

DATES: The expansion of recognition becomes effective on October 25, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: MaryAnn Garrahan, Director, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, NRTL Program, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N-3655, Washington, DC 20210, or phone (202) 693-2110.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Notice of Final Decision

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) hereby gives notice that it is expanding recognition of the Canadian Standards Association (CSA) as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL). CSA's expansion covers the use of additional test standards. OSHA's current scope of recognition for CSA may be found in the following informational Web page: <http://www.osha.gov/dts/otpca/nrtl/csa.html>.

OSHA recognition of an NRTL signifies that the organization has met the legal requirements specified in 29 CFR 1910.7. Recognition is an acknowledgment that the organization can perform independent safety testing and certification of the specific products covered within its scope of recognition, and is not a delegation or grant of

government authority. As a result of recognition, employers may use products approved by the NRTL to meet OSHA standards that require product testing and certification.

The Agency processes applications by an NRTL for initial recognition, or for expansion or renewal of this recognition, following requirements in Appendix A to 29 CFR 1910.7. This appendix requires that the Agency publish two notices in the **Federal Register** in processing an application. In the first notice, OSHA announces the application and provides its preliminary finding and, in the second notice, the Agency provides its final decision on the application. These notices set forth the NRTL's scope of recognition or modifications of that scope. OSHA maintains an informational Web page for each NRTL that details its scope of recognition. These pages are available from the Web site at <http://www.osha.gov/dts/otpca/nrtl/index.html>. Each NRTL's scope of recognition has three elements: (1) The type of products the NRTL may test, with each type specified by its applicable test standard; (2) the recognized site(s) that has/have the technical capability to perform the testing and certification activities for test standards within the NRTL's scope; and (3) the supplemental program(s) that the NRTL may use, each of which allows the NRTL to rely on other parties to perform activities necessary for product testing and certification.

CSA submitted an application, dated June 25, 2008, to expand its recognition to include five additional test standards. The NRTL Program staff determined that four of these standards (listed below) are "appropriate test standards" within the meaning of 29 CFR 1910.7(c). In connection with this request, NRTL Program staff did not perform any onsite review of CSA's recognized sites. The staff only performed a comparability analysis,¹ and recommended expansion of CSA's recognition to include the additional four test standards listed below. The Agency subsequently accepted this recommendation, and published a preliminary notice announcing the expansion application in the **Federal Register** on April 26, 2010 (75 FR 21666). Comments were requested by May 11, 2010, but OSHA received no comments in response to this notice. OSHA is now proceeding

¹ This analysis involves determining whether the testing and evaluation requirements of test standards already in an NRTL's scope are comparable to the requirements in the standards requested by the NRTL.