

Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor—Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202–395–6881/Fax 202–395–5806 (these are not toll-free numbers), E-mail: [OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov) within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**. In order to ensure the appropriate consideration, comments should reference the applicable OMB Control Number (*see below*).

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Employee Benefits Security Administration.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

*Title of Collection:* Default Investment Alternatives under Individual Account Plans.

*OMB Control Number:* 1210–0132.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions.

*Cost to Federal Government:* \$0.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 648,000.

*Total Number of Responses:* 83,358,375.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 782,000.

*Total Estimated Annual Cost Burden (operating/maintaining):* \$32,116,000.

*Description:* Section 404(c) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) states that participants or beneficiaries who can hold individual accounts under their pension plans, and who can exercise control over the assets in their accounts

“as determined in regulations of the Secretary [of Labor]” will not be treated as fiduciaries of the plan. Moreover, no other plan fiduciary will be liable for any loss, or by reason of any breach, resulting from the participants' or beneficiaries exercise of control over their individual account assets.

The Pension Protection Act (PPA), Public Law 109–280, amended ERISA section 404(c) by adding subparagraph (c)(5)(A). The new subparagraph says that a participant in an individual account plan who fails to make investment elections regarding his or her account assets will nevertheless be treated as having exercised control over those assets so long as the plan provides appropriate notice (as specified) and invests the assets “in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary [of Labor].” Section 404(c)(5)(A) further requires the Department of Labor (Department) to issue corresponding final regulations within six months after enactment of the PPA. The PPA was signed into law on August 17, 2006.

The Department of Labor issued a final regulation under ERISA section 404(c)(5)(A) offering guidance on the types of investment vehicles that plans may choose as their “qualified default investment alternative”(QDIA). The regulation also outlines two information collections. First, it implements the statutory requirement that plans provide annual notices to participants and beneficiaries whose account assets could be invested in a QDIA. Second, the regulation requires plans to pass certain pertinent materials they receive relating to a QDIA to those participants and beneficiaries with assets invested in the QDIA as well to provide certain information on request. The ICRs are approved under OMB Control Number 1210–0132, which is scheduled to expire on October 31, 2010.

For additional information, see related notice published in the **Federal Register** on August 23, 2010 (75 FR 51843).

*Agency:* Employee Benefits Security Administration.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

*Title of Collection:* Regulation Relating to Loans to Plan Participants and Beneficiaries who are Parties in Interest with Respect to the Plan.

*OMB Control Number:* 1210–0076.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit.

*Cost to Federal Government:* \$0.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,900.

*Total Number of Responses:* 1,900.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 0.

*Total Estimated Annual Cost Burden (operating/maintaining):* \$673,000.

*Description:* The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) prohibits a plan fiduciary from causing the plan to engage in a transaction if he knows or should know that such transaction constitutes direct or indirect loan or extension of credit between the plan and a party in interest. ERISA section 408(b)(1) exempts from this prohibition loans from a plan to parties in interest who are participants and beneficiaries of the plan, provided that certain requirements are satisfied. In final regulations published in the **Federal Register** on July 20, 1989, (54 FR 30520), the Department provided additional guidance on section 408(b)(1)(C), which requires that loans be made in accordance with specific provisions in the plan. This ICR therefore relates to the provisions plan documents must include in order for a plan may make loans to participants. The ICR is scheduled to expire on October 31, 2010.

For additional information, see related notice published in the **Federal Register** on August 23, 2010 (75 FR 51844).

Dated: October 18, 2010.

**Linda Watts Thomas,**

*Acting Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2010–26868 Filed 10–22–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–29–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–72,587]

#### Raleigh Film and Television Studios, LLC, Los Angeles, CA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated January 24, 2010, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Raleigh Film and Television Studios, LLC, Los Angeles, California (the subject firm). The Notice of determination was issued on January 14, 2010 and published in the **Federal Register** on February 16, 2010 (75 FR 7039). The workers provide sound stages, production, office space, catering, security, and other services to the entertainment production industry.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that there was, during the relevant period, no increase in imports of services like or directly competitive with those supplied by the workers by either the subject firm or its customers, nor a shift to/acquisition from a foreign country by the subject firm of like or directly competitive services. The investigation also revealed that the workers did not produce a component part or supply a service that was directly used by a firm that employed a worker group eligible to apply for TAA.

The request for reconsideration alleges that the subject firm "is actively building large film studios in both Budapest, Hungary and Khazastan."

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of October 2010.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-26900 Filed 10-22-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-72,554]

#### General Motors Company, Pontiac Company, Pontiac, MI; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated June 11, 2010, a representative of the International Union of United Automobile, Aerospace, and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW) requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on April 12, 2010 and the Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register**

on May 20, 2010 (75 FR 28301). The workers produce the GMC Sierra and Chevrolet Silverado.

The negative determination was based on the findings that there was no increase in imports by the firm or customers or a shift to/acquisition from a foreign country by the workers' firm of articles like or directly competitive with the automobiles produced by the workers. The investigation also revealed that the workers did not produce a component part that was used by a firm that employed workers eligible to apply for TAA and that directly incorporated the component parts into the article that was the basis for the TAA certification.

The UAW's request for reconsideration states that production of standard cab and extended cab GMC Sierra and Chevrolet Silverado vehicles shifted to an affiliated facility in Mexico. The request for reconsideration also includes new information in support of the allegation.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of October 2010.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-26899 Filed 10-22-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-72,510]

#### JELD-WEN Millwork Distribution, Wilkesboro, NC; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated February 3, 2010, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The Notice

of negative determination was issued on January 11, 2010 and published in the **Federal Register** on February 16, 2010 (75 FR 7039). The workers produce wooden exterior door frames.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that there was no increase in imports of like or directly competitive articles by either the subject firm or its customers, and no shift to/acquisition from a foreign country by the workers' firm of production of like or directly competitive articles. The investigation also revealed that the subject firm did not produce a component part that was used by a firm that employed workers eligible to apply for TAA and used the component parts in the production of the article that was the basis for the certification.

The workers, in the request for reconsideration, state that subject firm's competitors and customer have increased imports of like or directly competitive articles from China. The workers also allege that the articles produced at the subject firm include door component parts ("door jambs, door T-AST, door mull posts") and window component parts ("replacement window grills"), and that those articles are being imported from China.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of October 2010.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-26898 Filed 10-22-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**