

Signed at Washington, DC, October 7, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-26273 Filed 10-18-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XZ76

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Proposed Listing Determinations for Three Distinct Population Segments of Atlantic Sturgeon in the Northeast Region

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of four public hearings.

SUMMARY: In November 2010, we (NMFS) will hold a total of four public hearings—one in each of the following locations: Portland, ME; Stony Brook, NY; Wilmington, DE; and Newport News, VA. These hearings are to receive comments and answer questions on the proposal to list the Gulf of Maine (GOM) Atlantic sturgeon distinct population segment (DPS) as threatened and the New York Bight (NYB) and Chesapeake Bay (CB) DPSs as endangered under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, as amended.

DATES: The hearings will be held on November 3, 2010, from 7 to 9 p.m. in Portland, ME; on November 4, 2010, from 7 to 9 p.m. in Newport News, VA; on November 8, 2010, from 7 to 9 p.m. in Stony Brook, NY; and on November 9, 2010, from 7 to 9 p.m. in Wilmington, DE. Informational sessions will be held prior to each hearing from 6:30 to 7 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The November 3, 2010, hearing will be held at the Eastland Park Hotel, 157 High Street, Portland, ME; the November 4, 2010, hearing will be held at the Point Plaza Suites at City Center, 950 J. Clyde Morris Boulevard, Newport News, VA; the November 8, 2010, hearing will be held at Stony Brook University, School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, 100 Nicholls Road, Stony Brook, NY; and the November 9, 2010, hearing will be held at the Doubletree Hotel Wilmington

Downtown, 700 N. King Street, Wilmington, DE.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lynn Lankshear, NMFS, Northeast Region (978) 282-8473; Kimberly Damon-Randall, NMFS, Northeast Region (978) 282-8485; or Marta Nammack, NMFS, Office of Protected Resources (301) 713-1401.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 6, 2010, we published a proposed rule (75 FR 61872) to list the GOM DPS of Atlantic sturgeon as threatened and the NYB and CB DPSs as endangered under the ESA. We will accept oral comment regarding the proposed listing decision for the three Northeast Region DPSs of Atlantic sturgeon at four public hearings.

Special Accommodations

These hearings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Lynn Lankshear at (978) 282-8473 at least 7 working days prior to the hearing date.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*

Dated: October 13, 2010.

James H. Lecky,

Director, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2010-26272 Filed 10-14-10; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Extension of the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative and New-Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 19, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dmitry Vladimirov, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0665.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 16, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published

in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative and new-shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China. *See Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative and New-Shipper Reviews*, 75 FR 34100 (June 16, 2010) (*Preliminary Results*). The reviews cover the period September 1, 2008, through August 31, 2009. The final results of the administrative and new-shipper reviews were originally due no later than October 14, 2010.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results up to 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published.

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1) require the Department to issue the final results of a new-shipper review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results are issued. The Department may extend the deadline for completion of the final results of a new-shipper review to 150 days after the date on which the preliminary results are issued if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

On March 29, 2010, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(j)(3), a new shipper, Nanjing Gemen International Co., Ltd., agreed to waive the applicable time limits for conducting the new-shipper review and consented to the alignment of the new-shipper review with the concurrent administrative review. *See Preliminary Results*, 75 FR at 34101. Accordingly, we may extend the deadline of October 14, 2010, for completion of the final results of the new-shipper review to coincide with completion of the final results of the administrative review.

We find that it is not practicable to complete these reviews by October 14, 2010, because we require additional time to analyze and address a complicated surrogate-value issue involving the most appropriate methodology for valuing labor for the final results of these reviews. Consequently, in accordance with