Technical Preservation Services, Heritage Preservation Services, (WASO), 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240.

(b) NPS WASO establishes program direction and considers appeals of certification denials. * * * *

7. In § 67.4, revise paragraph (g) to read as follows:

§ 67.4 Certifications of historic significance.

(g) For purposes of the other rehabilitation tax credits under sec. 47 of the Internal Revenue Code, properties within registered historic districts are presumed to contribute to the significance of such districts unless certified as nonsignificant by the Secretary. Owners of non-historic properties within registered historic districts, therefore, must obtain a certification of nonsignificance in order to qualify for those investment tax credits. If an owner begins or completes a substantial rehabilitation (as defined by the Internal Revenue Service) of a property in a registered historic district without knowledge of requirements for certification of nonsignificance, he or she may request certification that the property was not of historic significance to the district prior to substantial rehabilitation in the same manner as stated in § 67.4(c). The owner should be aware, however, that the taxpayer must certify to the Secretary of the Treasury that, at the beginning of such substantial rehabilitation, he or she in good faith was not aware of the certification requirement by the Secretary of the Interior. * * * *

8. In § 67.5 revise the section heading to read as follows:

§ 67.5 Standards for evaluating significance within registered historic districts.

9. In § 67.7 revise the section heading to read as follows:

§ 67.7 Standards for rehabilitation.

10. In § 67.10, revise paragraphs (a), (b), and (c)(3) to read as follows:

§ 67.10 Appeals.

(a) The owner or a duly authorized representative may appeal any of the certifications or denials of certification made under this part or any decisions made under § 67.6(f).

(1) Appeals must:

(i) Be addressed to the Chief Appeals Officer, Cultural Resources, National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240;

(ii) Be received by NPS within 30 days of receipt by the owner or a duly authorized representative of the decision which is the subject of the appeal; and

(iv) Include all information the owner wishes the Chief Appeals Officer to consider in deciding the appeal.

(2) The appellant may request a meeting to discuss the appeal.

(3) NPS will notify the SHPO that an appeal is pending.

(4) The Chief Appeals Officer will consider the record of the decision in question, any further written submissions by the owner, and other available information and will provide the appellant a written decision as promptly as circumstances permit.

(5) Appeals under this section constitute an administrative review of the decision appealed from and are not conducted as an adjudicative proceeding.

(b) The denial of a preliminary determination of significance for an individual property may not be appealed by the owner because the denial itself does not exhaust the administrative remedy that is available. The owner instead must seek recourse by undertaking the usual nomination process (36 CFR part 60).

(c) * * *

(3) Resubmit the matter to WASO for further consideration; or

* * * *

11. Revise § 67.11 to read as follows:

§ 67.11 Fees for processing certification requests.

(a) Fees are charged for reviewing certification requests according to the schedule and instructions provided in public notices in the Federal Register by NPS.

(b) No payment should be made until requested by the NPS. A certification decision will not be issued on an application until the appropriate remittance is received.

(c) Fees are nonrefundable.


Eileen Sobeck,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73
[DA 10–1806; MB Docket No. 10–189; RM–1161]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Willow Creek, CA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document sets forth a proposal to amend the FM Table of Allotments. The Commission requests comment on a petition filed by Miriam Media, Inc., proposing the allotment of FM Channel 258A at Willow Creek, California.

The auction winner and permitted of Channel 253A, Willow Creek, has submitted an application to specify operation of the station on Channel 254C1 at Loleta, California.

Petitioner proposes the allotment of Channel 258A at Willow Creek in order to maintain a first local service at that community.

Petitioner concedes that the signal contour of proposed Channel 258A at Willow Creek would not provide 70 dBu city-grade coverage to the entire Census Designated Place of Willow Creek, but argues that it has demonstrated substantial compliance with section 73.315(a) of the Commission’s rules, and that the proposed allotment would serve the public interest.

Channel 258A can be allotted at Willow Creek in compliance with the Commission’s minimum distance separation requirements at 40–57–29 North Latitude and 123–42–23 West Longitude. See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION infra.

DATES: The deadline for filing comments is November 18, 2010. Reply comments must be filed on or before December 3, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve counsel for petitioner as follows: Evan Carb, Esq., Law Offices of Evan D. Carb, PLLC, 1140 Nineteenth Street, NW., Suite 600, Washington, DC 20036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah A. Dupont, Media Bureau (202) 418–7072.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission’s Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket No. 10–189, adopted September 24, 2010, and released September 27, 2010. The full text of this Commission decision is...

The Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding. Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all ex parte contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible ex parte contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73
Radio, Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under California, is amended by adding Channel 258A at Willow Creek.

Federal Communications Commission.
John A. Karousos,
Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.
[FR Doc. 2010–26061 Filed 10–14–10; 8:45 am]
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