adviser to a Fund) will cause a Fund to purchase a security in an Affiliated Underwriting.

7. The Board of the Fund, including a majority of the disinterested Board members, will adopt procedures reasonably designed to monitor any purchases of securities by the Fund in an Affiliated Underwriting, once an investment by an Investing Fund in the securities of the Fund exceeds the limit in section 12(d)(1)(A)(i) of the Act, including any purchases made directly from an Underwriting Affiliate. The Board will review these purchases periodically, but no less frequently than annually, to determine whether the purchases were influenced by the investment by the Investing Fund in the Fund. The Board will consider, among other things: (a) Whether the purchases were consistent with the investment objectives and policies of the Fund; (b) how the performance of securities purchased in an Affiliated Underwriting compares to the performance of comparable securities purchased during a comparable period of time in underwritings other than Affiliated Underwritings or to a benchmark such as a comparable market index; and (c) whether the amount of securities purchased by the Fund in Affiliated Underwritings and the amount purchased directly from an Underwriting Affiliate have changed significantly from prior years. The Board will take any appropriate actions based on its review, including, if appropriate, the institution of procedures designed to assure that purchases of securities in Affiliated Underwritings are in the best interest of shareholders.

8. Each Fund will maintain and preserve permanently in an easily accessible place a written copy of the procedures described in the preceding condition, and any modifications to such procedures, and will maintain and preserve for a period of not less than six years from the end of the fiscal year in which any purchase in an Affiliated Underwriting occurred, the first two years in an easily accessible place, a written record of each purchase of securities in Affiliated Underwritings once an investment by an Investing Fund in the securities of the Fund exceeds the limit in section 12(d)(1)(A)(i) of the Act, setting forth from whom the securities were acquired, the identity of the underwriting syndicate’s members, the terms of the purchase, and the information or materials upon which the Board’s determinations were made.

9. Before investing in a Fund in excess of the limit in section 12(d)(1)(A), an Investing Fund will execute a FOF Participation Agreement with the Fund stating that their respective boards of directors or trustees and their investment advisers, or Trustee and Sponsor, as applicable, understand the terms and conditions of the order, and agree to fulfill their responsibilities under the order. At the time of its investment in shares of a Fund in excess of the limit in section 12(d)(1)(A)(i), an Investing Fund will notify the Fund of the investment. At such time, the Investing Fund will also transmit to the Fund a list of the names of each Investing Fund Affiliate and Underwriting Affiliate. The Investing Fund will notify the Fund of any changes to the list as soon as reasonably practicable after a change occurs. The Fund and the Investing Fund will maintain and preserve a copy of the order, the FOF Participation Agreement, and the list with any updated information for the duration of the investment and for a period of not less than six years thereafter, the first two years in an easily accessible place.

10. Before approving any advisory contract under section 15 of the Act, the board of directors or trustees of each Investing Management Company, including a majority of the disinterested directors or trustees, will find that the advisory fees charged under such contract are based on services provided that will be in addition to, rather than duplicative of, the services provided under the advisory contract(s) of any Fund in which the Investing Management Company may invest. These findings and their basis will be recorded fully in the minute books of the appropriate Investing Management Company.

11. Any sales charges and/or service fees charged with respect to shares of an Investing Fund will not exceed the limits applicable to a fund of funds as set forth in NASD Conduct Rule 2830.

12. No Fund will acquire securities of any investment company or company relying on section 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7) of the Act in excess of the limits contained in section 12(d)(1)(A) of the Act, except to the extent permitted by exemptive relief from the Commission permitting a Fund to purchase shares of a money market fund for short-term cash management purposes.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Florence E. Harmon,
Deputy Secretary.
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Fund; (b) delete the relief granted from the requirements of section 24(d) of the Act in the Prior Order and revise the applications on which the Prior Order was issued (“Prior Applications” to reflect such deletion; (c) modify the 80%/90% investment requirement in the Prior Applications; (d) revise the discussion of depositary receipts (“Depositary Receipts”) in the Prior Applications; and (e) permit the personnel of the Adviser (as defined below) or any sub-adviser who are responsible for the designation and dissemination of the securities to be used for creations (“Deposit Securities”) or redemptions (“Fund Securities”) to also select securities for purchase or sale by actively-managed accounts of the Adviser or any sub-adviser.

APPLICANTS: Claymore Exchange-Traded Fund Trust, Claymore Exchange-Traded Fund Trust 2, Claymore Exchange-Traded Fund Trust 3 (each, a “Trust” and together, the “Trusts”), Guggenheim Funds Investment Advisors, LLC (“Adviser”), and Guggenheim Funds Distributors, Inc. (“Distributor”).

FILING DATES: The application was filed on May 5, 2009, and amended on November 23, 2009, September 3, 2010, and October 1, 2010. Applicants have agreed to file an amendment during the notice period, the substance of which is reflected in the notice.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission’s Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on November 1, 2010, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. Applicants, 2455 Corporate West Drive, Lisle, IL 60532.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Courtney S. Thornton, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551–6812, or Mary Kay Frech, Branch Chief, at (202) 551–6821 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s website by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm, or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Applicants’ Representations

1. Each Trust is organized as a Delaware statutory trust and is registered under the Act as an open-end management investment company. Each Trust offers one or more series (each a “Fund”), each of which operates as an exchange-traded fund (“ETF”). The Adviser, a Delaware limited liability company, is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the “Advisers Act”). The Adviser serves as investment adviser to each of the Funds and may retain sub-advisers (“Sub-Advisers”) to manage the assets of one or more of the Funds. Any Sub-Adviser is registered under the Advisers Act. The Distributor, a broker-dealer registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”), serves as principal underwriter and distributor for each of the Funds.

2. Applicants currently are permitted to offer Funds that operate in reliance on the Prior Order and seek to track the performance of Underlying Indexes from Index Providers that are not “affiliated persons” (as such term is defined in section 2(a)(3) of the Act), or affiliated persons of affiliated persons, of a Trust, the Adviser, any Sub-Adviser to a Fund, the Distributor or a promoter of a Fund. Certain Funds rely on the Prior Order and track an Underlying Index provided by either AlphaShares, LLC (“AlphaShares”) or Delta Global Indices, LLC (“Delta Global”) (collectively, the “Affected Funds”). Currently, neither AlphaShares nor Delta Global is an affiliated person, nor an affiliated person of an affiliated person, of a Trust, the Adviser, or any Sub-Adviser to a Fund, the Distributor or promoter of a Fund.

3. Applicants seek to amend the Prior Order to permit an Affected Fund to track an Underlying Index that is created, compiled, sponsored, or maintained by an Index Provider that is an affiliated person, as defined in section 2(a)(3) of the Act, or an affiliated person of an affiliated person, of a Trust, the Adviser, the Distributor, promoter, or any Sub-Adviser to the Affected Fund solely because the Index Provider serves as a Sub-Adviser to a Subadvised Fund (as defined below). The Adviser proposes to offer a closed-ended fund (“Closed-End Fund”) for which Delta Global would serve as Sub-Adviser, in reliance on an order granting relief with respect to the offering of actively managed Future Funds, the Adviser and Claymore Exchange-Traded Fund Trust 3 propose to offer three actively managed ETFs for which Delta Global would serve as Sub-Adviser, because the Adviser serves or will serve as investment adviser to each, the Affected Funds and each Sub-advised Fund could be deemed to be under common control for purposes of section 2(a)(3). In addition, section 2(a)(3)(F) provides that any investment adviser to an investment company is deemed to be an affiliated person of such company. Accordingly, by serving as Sub-Adviser to a Sub-advised Fund, each of AlphaShares or Delta Global could be deemed to be an affiliated person of an affiliated person of the Adviser and/or the Fund(s) for which it serves as Index Provider. As a result, applicants would not be permitted to retain either AlphaShares or Delta Global as Sub-Adviser to a Sub-advised Fund, absent further exemptive relief.

4. Applicants state that the conflicts of interest that could result if an Index Provider has a proscribed relationship with a Trust, the Adviser, any Sub-Adviser, the Distributor, or promoter of a Fund include the ability of an affiliated person to manipulate the Underlying Index to the benefit or detriment of the Fund, as well as conflicts that may also arise with respect to the personal trading activity of personnel of the affiliated person who may have access to, or knowledge of, changes to an Underlying Index’s composition methodology or the constituent securities in an Underlying Index prior to the time that information is publicly disseminated. Applicants believe that these conflicts of interest are not applicable to the Affected Funds because the Adviser is not part of the

---

2 AlphaShares is the Index Provider for Guggenheim China Real Estate ETF, Guggenheim China Small Cap ETF, Guggenheim China All-Cap ETF, and Guggenheim China Technology ETF. Delta Global is the Index Provider for Guggenheim China All-Cap ETF, Guggenheim China Small Cap ETF, and Guggenheim China Technology ETF. Delta Global is an affiliated person, nor Delta Global would serve as Sub-Adviser. According to serving as Sub-Adviser to a Sub-advised Fund, each of AlphaShares or Delta Global could be deemed to be an affiliated person of an affiliated person of the Adviser and/or the Fund(s) for which it serves as Index Provider. As a result, applicants would not be permitted to retain either AlphaShares or Delta Global as Sub-Adviser to a Sub-advised Fund, absent further exemptive relief.

4 Applicants state that the conflicts of interest that could result if an Index Provider has a proscribed relationship with a Trust, the Adviser, any Sub-Adviser, the Distributor, or promoter of a Fund include the ability of an affiliated person to manipulate the Underlying Index to the benefit or detriment of the Fund, as well as conflicts that may also arise with respect to the personal trading activity of personnel of the affiliated person who may have access to, or knowledge of, changes to an Underlying Index’s composition methodology or the constituent securities in an Underlying Index prior to the time that information is publicly disseminated. Applicants believe that these conflicts of interest are not applicable to the Affected Funds because the Adviser is not part of the

---

1. Each Trust is organized as a Delaware statutory trust and is registered under the Act as an open-end management investment company. Each Trust offers one or more series (each a “Fund”), each of which operates as an exchange-traded fund (“ETF”). The Adviser, a Delaware limited liability company, is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the “Advisers Act”). The Adviser serves as investment adviser to each of the Funds and may retain sub-advisers (“Sub-Advisers”) to manage the assets of one or more of the Funds. Any Sub-Adviser is registered under the Advisers Act. The Distributor, a broker-dealer registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”), serves as principal underwriter and distributor for each of the Funds.

2. Applicants currently are permitted to offer Funds that operate in reliance on the Prior Order and seek to track the performance of Underlying Indexes from Index Providers that are not “affiliated persons” (as such term is defined in section 2(a)(3) of the Act), or affiliated persons of affiliated persons, of a Trust, the Adviser, any Sub-Adviser to a Fund, the Distributor or a promoter of a Fund. Certain Funds rely on the Prior Order and track an Underlying Index provided by either AlphaShares, LLC (“AlphaShares”) or Delta Global Indices, LLC (“Delta Global”) (collectively, the “Affected Funds”). Currently, neither AlphaShares nor Delta Global is an affiliated person, nor an affiliated person of an affiliated person, of a Trust, the Adviser, or any Sub-Adviser to a Fund, the Distributor or promoter of a Fund.

3. Applicants seek to amend the Prior Order to permit an Affected Fund to track an Underlying Index that is created, compiled, sponsored, or maintained by an Index Provider that is an affiliated person, as defined in section 2(a)(3) of the Act, or an affiliated person of an affiliated person, of a Trust, the Adviser, the Distributor, promoter, or any Sub-Adviser to the Affected Fund solely because the Index Provider serves as a Sub-Adviser to a Subadvised Fund (as defined below). The Adviser proposes to offer a closed-ended fund (“Closed-End Fund”) for which Delta Global would serve as Sub-Adviser, in reliance on an order granting relief with respect to the offering of actively managed Future Funds, the Adviser and Claymore Exchange-Traded Fund Trust 3 propose to offer three actively managed ETFs for which Delta Global would serve as Sub-Adviser, because the Adviser serves or will serve as investment adviser to each, the Affected Funds and each Sub-advised Fund could be deemed to be under common control for purposes of section 2(a)(3). In addition, section 2(a)(3)(F) provides that any investment adviser to an investment company is deemed to be an affiliated person of such company. Accordingly, by serving as Sub-Adviser to a Sub-advised Fund, each of AlphaShares or Delta Global could be deemed to be an affiliated person of an affiliated person of the Adviser and/or the Fund(s) for which it serves as Index Provider. As a result, applicants would not be permitted to retain either AlphaShares or Delta Global as Sub-Adviser to a Sub-advised Fund, absent further exemptive relief.

4. Applicants state that the conflicts of interest that could result if an Index Provider has a proscribed relationship with a Trust, the Adviser, any Sub-Adviser, the Distributor, or promoter of a Fund include the ability of an affiliated person to manipulate the Underlying Index to the benefit or detriment of the Fund, as well as conflicts that may also arise with respect to the personal trading activity of personnel of the affiliated person who may have access to, or knowledge of, changes to an Underlying Index’s composition methodology or the constituent securities in an Underlying Index prior to the time that information is publicly disseminated. Applicants believe that these conflicts of interest are not applicable to the Affected Funds because the Adviser is not part of the
same organization as either Index Provider. Accordingly, the Adviser will not be informed of any additions to or deletions from an Underlying Index tracked by an Affected Fund (each, an “Applicable Underlying Index”) prior to other market participants or the general public. Applicants state that the Adviser therefore will not have any ability to manipulate the components of the Applicable Underlying Indexes for its own benefit, nor will it have an informational advantage over other market participants with regard to additions to or deletions from the Applicable Underlying Indexes. Applicants further state that the Adviser will not have any role in the (a) modification of an Applicable Underlying Index’s methodology, (b) selection of an Applicable Underlying Index’s constituents, or (c) calculation or dissemination of an Applicable Underlying Index’s value, and shall have no access to the information involved with items (a)–(c) or any changes thereto prior to their public dissemination in advance of the rebalancing of an Applicable Underlying Index or any interim modification arising from any corporate action.

5. Applicants also state that the Adviser and the Index Providers have adopted policies and procedures designed to prevent the dissemination and improper use of non-public information in a manner similar to firewalls. Each of the Adviser, AlphaShares, and Delta Global has adopted written policies and procedures in accordance with rule 206(4)–7 under the Advisers Act, that contains: (a) A section that sets forth the applicable entity’s insider trading policy and that includes the applicable entity’s procedures to prevent and detect the misuse of material non-public information; and (b) the applicable entity’s code of ethics which was adopted pursuant to rule 17j–1 under the Act and rule 204A–1 under the Advisers Act, which contains provisions reasonably necessary to prevent Access Persons (as defined in rule 17j–1) of the applicable entity from trading on the basis of, improperly disseminating or otherwise engaging in any improper use of nonpublic information.

6. Applicants further state that they will adopt, and will require AlphaShares and Delta Global to adopt, policies and procedures that require the Applicable Underlying Indexes to be transparent. Each of AlphaShares and Delta Global will maintain a publicly available repository on which it will publish the basic concept of each Applicable Underlying Index and disclose (a) the composition methodology for each such Applicable Underlying Index (“Index Composition Methodology”) and (b) the components and weightings of the components of each Applicable Underlying Index (as of each rebalancing or interim modification arising from a corporate action). Applicants note that the identity and weightings of the component securities of the Applicable Underlying Index will be readily ascertainable by a third party because the Index Composition Methodology will be publicly available. Although each of AlphaShares and Delta Global reserves the right to modify its Index Composition Methodology in the future, such modifications would not take effect until the applicable Index Provider has given the Calculation Agent (as defined below) and the investing public at least 60 days’ prior written notice, disclosed on the publicly available Web site of such Index Provider, that such changes are being planned to take effect. Each Underlying Index will be reconstituted or rebalanced no more frequently than on a monthly basis.

7. Applicants state that any Index Provider to an existing Fund or a Future Fund that enters into a similar arrangement to serve as Sub-Adviser to a Subadvised Fund will be subject to the same policies and procedures as proposed herein with respect to AlphaShares and Delta Global. Applicants further state that any relief granted pursuant to the application will only apply to an Index Provider whose affiliation with a Trust, the Adviser or any Sub-Adviser to a Fund, Distributor or promoter of a Fund arises from relationships such as those described in the application. Applicants acknowledge that Index Providers whose affiliation arises from relationships other than those described in the application may not serve as Index Provider to a Fund without additional exemptive relief.

Additional Changes to Prior Order

1. Applicants seek to amend the Prior Order to delete the relief granted from section 24(d) of the Act. Applicants believe that the deletion of the exemption from section 24(d) is warranted because the adoption of the summary prospectus should supplant any need by a Fund to use a product description (“Product Description”). The deletion of the relief granted with respect to section 24(d) of the Act from the Prior Order will also result in the deletion of related discussions in the Prior Applications, revision of the Prior Applications to delete references to Product Descriptions, including in the conditions, and the deletion of condition 5 to the Prior Order.

2. The Prior Applications state that a Fund will hold, in the aggregate, at least 80 percent or 90 percent of its total assets in Component Securities representing Component Securities of its Underlying Index or in Depositary Receipts or to-be-announced transactions (“TBAs”) representing Component Securities (or underlying securities representing Depositary Receipts, if Depositary Receipts are themselves Component Securities).

3. Applicants wish to amend the Prior Order to revise certain representations regarding a Fund’s ability to invest in Depositary Receipts. The Prior Applications state, among other things, that a Fund will invest only in Depositary Receipts listed on a national securities exchange as defined in section 2(a)(26) of the Act and that all Depositary Receipts in which a Fund invests will be sponsored by the issuers of the underlying security, except in certain circumstances. Applicants seek to amend the Prior Applications to state that Depositary Receipts include American Depositary Receipts (“ADRs”) and Global Depositary Receipts (“GDRs”). With respect to ADRs, the depositary is typically a U.S. financial institution, and the underlying
securities are issued by a foreign issuer. The ADR is registered under the Securities Act on Form F–6. ADR trades occur either on an Exchange or off-exchange. Rule 6620 of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") requires all off-exchange transactions in ADRs to be reported within 90 seconds and ADR trade reports to be disseminated on a real-time basis. With respect to GDRs, the depositary may be foreign or a U.S. entity, and the underlying securities may have a foreign or a U.S. issuer. All GDRs are sponsored and trade on a foreign exchange. No affiliated persons of applicants will serve as the depositary for any Depositary Receipts held by a Fund. A Fund will not invest in any Depositary Receipts that the Adviser deems to be illiquid or for which pricing information is not readily available.

4. Applicants also seek to amend the terms and conditions of the Prior Applications to provide that all representations and conditions contained in the Prior Applications that require a Fund to disclose particular information in the Fund’s prospectus and/or annual report shall remain effective with respect to the Fund until the time the Fund complies with the disclosure requirements adopted by the Commission in the Summary Prospectus Rule. Applicants believe that the proposal to supersede the representations and conditions requiring certain disclosures in the Prior Applications is warranted because the Commission’s amendments to Form N–1A with respect to ETFs as part of the Summary Prospectus Rule reflect the Commission’s view with respect to the appropriate types of prospectus and annual report disclosures for an ETF.

5. Applicants also wish to amend the Prior Order to permit the personnel of the Adviser or any Sub-Adviser who are responsible for the designation and dissemination of Deposit Securities or Fund Securities to also select securities for purchase or sale by actively-managed accounts of the Adviser or Sub-Adviser. The Prior Applications currently state that such personnel will have no responsibilities for the selection of securities for purchase or sale by any actively-managed accounts of the Adviser or Sub-Adviser. Applicants state that the Codes of Ethics adopted by the Adviser and Distributor, among other procedures, adequately address any conflicts of interest. Applicants also note that the Commission more recently has granted exemptive relief with respect to index-based ETFs that does not contain the prohibition on adviser personnel designating securities for a creation or redemption with respect to such ETFs and also managing actively-managed accounts for the adviser.

Applicants’ Conditions

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the same conditions as those imposed by the Prior Order, except for condition 5 to the Prior Order, which will be deleted. 8

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Florence E. Harmon, Deputy Secretary.
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHXL LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Regarding Anti-Internalization Functionality for NASDAQ OMX PSX

October 6, 2010.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 1 and Rule 19b–4 2 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on September 30, 2010, NASDAQ OMX PHXL LLC ("Phlx" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Phlx Rule 3307 to provide an optional anti-internalization functionality on NASDAQ OMX PSX ("PSX"). The text of the proposed rule change is available from the Exchange’s Web site at http://nasdaqomxphlx.chwellstreet.com, at the Exchange’s principal office, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below, and is set forth in Sections A, B, and C below.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to provide a voluntary anti-internalization function for the PSX System. Under the proposal, market participants entering orders under a specific market participant identifier ("MPID") may voluntarily direct that they not execute against other orders entered into the System under the same MPID.

Under the proposal, the System, if requested, will not execute orders entered under the same MPID against each other. Instead, the System will execute against all eligible trading interest of other market participants, in accordance with PSX’s price-size execution priority, up to the point where an incoming order would interact with a resting order having the same MPID. In such a case, share amounts equal to the size of the portion of an incoming order that is designated by the order execution algorithm to interact with an order already in the System with the same MPID will be decremented from each order.

For example, if market participant ABCD had an order to sell 1,000 shares at $10 on the book, entered an order to buy 1,000 shares at $10, and the System allocated 100 shares of the incoming order to the resting ABCD order and 900 shares to other market participants’ orders, the System would execute the 900 shares allocated to other market participants and would decrement, without execution, the remaining 100 shares of the incoming order as well as 100 shares from ABCD’s resting order. Similarly, if ABCD had a resting order to sell 2,000 shares at $10, entered an order to buy 500 shares at $10, and the System allocated all 500 shares to the resting ABCD order, the System would cancel the incoming order and...